| Recommendation | Neutral |
| :--- | :--- |
| Target (today's value) | $\$ 170.00$ |
| Current Price | $\$ 160.13$ |
| 52 week range | $\$ 125.33-\$ 176.62$ |


| Share Data |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ticker: | SWK |
| Market Cap. (Billion): | $\$ 24.5$ |
| Inside Ownership | $0.7 \%$ |
| Inst. Ownership | $82.6 \%$ |
| Beta | 1.05 |
| Dividend Yield | $1.5 \%$ |
| Payout Ratio | $30 \%$ |
| Cons. Long-Term Growth Rate | $12.0 \%$ |


|  | '15 | '16 | '17 | '18E | '19E |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sales (billions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | $\$ 11.2$ | $\$ 11.4$ | $\$ 12.7$ | $\$ 13.3$ | $\$ 14.0$ |  |
| Gr \% | $-1.5 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| Cons | - | - | $\$ 12.5$ | $\$ 13.4$ | $\$ 14.0$ |  |
| EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | $\$ 5.96$ | $\$ 6.60$ | $\$ 7.42$ | $\$ 8.44$ | $\$ 9.64$ |  |
| Gr \% | $22.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |  |
| Cons | - | - | $\$ 7.40$ | $\$ 8.32$ | $\$ 9.32$ |  |


| Ratio | $\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{\prime} 17$ | $\mathbf{\prime} 18 \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathbf{\prime} 19 \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ROE (\%) | $14.3 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| Industry | $19.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ |
| NPM (\%) | $8.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Industry | $10.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| A. T/O | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| ROA (\%) | $5.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Industry | $9.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| A/E | 2.50 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 2.49 |


| Valuation | $\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 E}$ | $\mathbf{} 19 \mathrm{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P/E | 17.5 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 20.3 |
| Industry | 21.2 | 24.3 | 22.6 | 20.6 |
| P/S | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| P/B | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| P/CF | 11.48 | 19.7 | 16.4 | 15.3 |
| EV/EBITDA | 11.8 | 11.8 | 18.5 | 16.2 |


| Performance | Stock | Industry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Month | $-4.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| 3 Month | $-2.0 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ |
| YTD | $-5.6 \%$ | $-19.4 \%$ |
| 52-week | $26.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| 3-year | $63.10 \%$ | $-28.6 \%$ |

[^0]Valuation: Using a relative valuation approach, SWK is fairly valued compared to its peers. Due to greater precision of inputs, DCF analysis provides the best peers. Due to greater precision of inputs, DCF analysis provides the bes
way to value the stock. A combination of the approaches suggests that Stanley Black \& Decker is fairly valued, as the stock's value is about $\$ 170$, and the shares trade at $\$ 160.13$.

Risks: Threats to the business include declining international sales, foreign
currency fluctuations, loss of brand identity, and competition.


Summary: I recommend a neutral rating with a target of $\$ 170$. Although SWK has the ability to improve efficiency and increase margins, stagnant foreign sales growth and future improvement in growth already being priced in has created doubt. The stock is fairly valued, based on relative and DCF analysis.

## Key Drivers:

- Acquisition and divestment: SWK purchased Newell tools and Craftsman and sold part of its mechanical security line. SWK is moving resources from less profitable operations to areas where they have seen higher sales growth and profit margins.
- Foreign sales growth: SWK's foreign sales growth has been falling since 2013. Part of this was due to currency movements, but in 2016, only $1 \%$ can be attributed to movements
- Competition: SWK has not been able to grow its profit margin to the degree of its competition and is only helped by its large market share.


## Company Overview

Stanley Black \& Decker (SWK) is a global producer of hand tools, power tools and related accessories, electronic security and monitoring systems, healthcare solutions, engineered fastening systems and various other products and services for industrial applications. Stanley Black \& Decker was founded in 1843 by Fredrick T. Stanley and is headquartered in New Britain, CT. In March 2010, the Company merged with The Black \& Decker Corporation and changed its name from The Stanley Works to Stanley Black \& Decker. The company services a wide variety of consumers that range from industrial professionals to ordinary homeowners. Stanley Black \& Decker has a global footprint when it comes to its operations; nearly half of SWK's revenue (48\%) is derived from international sources. SWK has continued its strategy of growth and acquisition through avenues such as geographic and customer diversification. Some of the firm's notable brands include the following: DEWALT, Black \& Decker, and Stanley. SWK's high level of customer service has resulted in a loyal customer base. Stanley Black \& Decker generates revenue from the following three segments:

- Tools \& Storage is comprised of the power and hand tools, accessories, and storage. It is sometimes referred to as the "Do It Yourself Division." Some of the products include drills, impact wrenches and drivers, saws, routers, sanders, nail guns, and lawn and garden products. It is the largest segment of SWK: 66\% of total revenue. It has seen steady growth over the last four years, averaging 3.5\% growth.
- Security is comprised of the convergent security solutions and mechanical access solutions. Some products include supplies and installs, and electronic security systems such as alarm monitoring, video surveillance, and system maintenance. The last four-year growth rate is $4.6 \%$, underperforming relative to the Tool \& Storage segment.
- Industrials include the engineered fastening and infrastructure businesses. Some of the products for the engineered fastening line include stud welding systems, engineered plastic and mechanical fasteners, and high-strength structural fasteners. The infrastructure business focuses on oil \& gas and hydraulics businesses.

Figures 1 and 2: Revenue Sources for SWK, Year-end 2017 (left) and Revenue History since 2014 (right)


Source: Company reports

## Business/Industry Drivers

Though several factors must be considered when analyzing Stanley Black \& Decker's future success, the following are the most important business drivers:

1) Acquisitions and divestments
2) Foreign sales growth
3) Domestic and international competition
4) Macroeconomic Trends

## Acquisition of Newell Tools

Stanley Black \& Decker made several acquisitions and divestments over the past 12 months that will greatly impact future earnings. SWK recently purchased Newell Tools for $\$ 1.95$ billion (around $15 \%$ of total revenue). In addition, the firm purchased Craftsman from Sears for \$525 million and 2.5$3.5 \%$ of Craftsman's revenue over the next 15 years. Lastly, the company sold a majority of the mechanical security business for $\$ 725$ million. These moves outline SWK's plan to shift resources from less profitable operations to areas where they have seen higher sales growth and profit margins.

Figure 3: 2016 Acquisitions
and Divestments for SWK

| (in \$ Millions) | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Acquisitions: |  |
| Newell Tools | $\$ 1,950$ |
| Craftsman | $\$ 525$ |
| Divestments: |  |
| Mechanical |  |
| Security | $\$ 725$ |
| Net Cash Flow | $(\$ 1,750)$ |

Source: Company reports

SWK's purchase of Newell Tools from Newall Brands closed in March of 2017. SWK increased its market share in the Tools and Storage segment, an area where the firm is already a large part of the market. Tools \& Storage is $66 \%$ of revenue, and this acquisition will boost revenues to $10 \%$. The Tools \& Storage segment also had the highest profit margin for SWK in 2016. Based on past performance, the acquistion could net up to an additional \$0.60 EPS by year three.

Figure 4: Newell Tools - past historical performance

|  | Sales (\$) millions | Net Income (\$) millions | Net Margin (\%) | EPS conversion to SWK shares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | \$ 761 | \$ 85 | 11.2\% | \$0.55* |
| 2015 | \$ 791 | \$ 85 | 10.8\% | \$0.55* |
| 2014 | \$ 852 | \$ 95 | 11.1\% | \$0.62* |

[^1]International revenue has been falling as a percent of sales since 2013.

SWK's growth the last three years was driven by positive US growth.

The Tools \&
Storage business has the highest profit margin of all business segments.

## Foreign Sales Growth

SWK has had organic growth through innovation and growth in foreign markets.
While SWK generates a large portion of revenue from outside the US and this presents opportunities, this business is shrinking in dollars, down $\$ 19$ million in 2016, and as a percentage of sales, down $6 \%$ since 2013. The negative growth in 2016 is attributed to currency which drove sales down $\$ 250$ million in 2016. In 2017, the dollar began to retreat and currency effects were less than one percent of sales compared to two percent in 2016.

Figure 5: Net Sales and \% of Sales by Geography


## Source: Company Reports

Figure 6: Year over Year Growth Rate by Geographic Region

|  | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net Sales | $2.1 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| United States | $4.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Other Americas | $-5.9 \%$ | $-11.4 \%$ | $-2.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| Europe | $2.8 \%$ | $-9.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| Asia | $-2.8 \%$ | $-7.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ |

Source: Company Reports

## Competitor Analysis

The Tool \& Storage industry is very competitive internationally and domestically. Major consumers are everyday people who are concerned with price and quality. Consumers have very inelastic demand for products because of many substitute products. As a result, it is important to monitor profit margins for signs that competitive pressures are leading to lower prices and profits.

SWK's percent of sales and percent of market cap are the same. This implies that the market believes that prospects for SWK and its competition are about the same.

Figures 8 and 9: Comparison of SWK comps by market cap (left) and Revenue (right)


Source: FactSet

## Snap-On

Incorporated (SNA) and Makita Corp (6586-JP) have higher profit margins than Stanley Black \& Decker (SWK).

SWK's profit margins have been steadily increasing over the past five years as shown in Figure 10, but the margins are still below average. Its margins follow the industry, which have been improving over time. SWK's margins could jump as the firm offloads less profitable segments such as mechanical security operations and reallocates resources to the more profitable Tools \& Storage segment.

Figure 10 Comparison of SWK and comps profit margins

| Company | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SWK | $8.5 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| SNA | $14.5 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| TTC | $9.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $7.58 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| HUSQVY | $5.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| 6586-JP | $10.8 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 669-HK | $7.5 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |

Source: FactSet

## Macroeconomic Trends

While many believe that housing drives the industry since individuals need more tools as construction increases, housing starts only have a 0.02 correlation with the industry.
Figures 11 \& 12: Housing Starts, Compared to SWK Comps (left), Housing Starts Compared to SWK Comps Relative to the S\&P 500 (right)


Source: Bloomberg, IMCP

The takeaway is that the industry is not entirely reliant on US housing. Of course, US sales are only $53 \%$ of total sales and many of the comps are foreign firms. However, this correlation proves the importance of the international market in diversifying SWK's business.

## Financial Analysis

I anticipate EPS to grow to $\$ 8.92$ in FY 2018. Sales will continue to receive a boost with the acquisitions of Newell Tools and Craftsman, contributing to approximately $\$ 0.59$ in EPS assuming no change in profit margins. This sales growth will also be helped by SWK's renewed focus on its Tools segment. In addition, Gross Margins will increase due to the increase in production in the Tool segment, adding $\$ 0.38$. To continue, reduction in SG\&A as a percent of sales will add $\$ 0.06$, due to divestment in SWK's Security segment which has low profit margins. Lastly, I forecast that SWK will continue to pay restructuring costs from the acquisition, lowering EPS $\$ 0.29$.

Figure 13: Quantification of 2018 EPS drivers


Source: Company Reports, IMCP

I expect 2019 EPS to increase $\$ 1.27$ to $\$ 10.37$. Sales will continue to climb with the addition of Craftsman tool sales in 2018. Furthermore, sales in the emerging market will continue to improve, helped by the falling of the US dollar, resulting in a net EPS increase of $\$ 0.52$. I anticipate a reduction in SG\&A as SWK's supply chain efficiency improves. With continued growth of the Tools \& Storage segment as a percent of sales, SG\&A as a percentage of sales will decrease, resulting in a higher EBIT margin, adding $\$ 0.38$ to EPS. In addition, the ending of restructuring costs of the previous mergers will increase EPS by $\$ 0.37$

Figure 14: Quantification of 2019 EPS drivers


Source: Company Reports, IMCP

Figure 15: EPS and YoY growth estimates

|  | FY 2018E | FY2019E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| YoY Growth | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Revenue-Consensus | $\$ 13,415$ | $\$ 14,156$ |
| YoY Growth | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| EPS-Estimate | $\$ 8.39$ | $\$ 9.33$ |
| YoY Growth | $3 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| EPS-Consensus | $\$ 8.32$ | $\$ 9.32$ |
| YoY Growth | $1 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

Source: FactSet

I am close to consensus estimates for 2018 and 2019 in sales. In 2018, I expect sales to grow at 6\% compared to $7 \%$ by consensus. In all, I expect earnings to outperform 2018 estimates by a large margin. These earnings will continue to improve in 2019 as SWK continues to improve its supply chain and finalizes all its restructuring from its recent acquisition.

## Revenues

Stanley Black \& Decker's revenue growth rebounded since 2015. Recent economic trends in the US have helped boost revenue growth, but growth will slow as the economy cools down. I expect growth rates will rise, carried by a strengthening Tools \& Storage segment due to recent acquisitions, along with the weakening of the dollar vs Euro and emerging market currencies. Sales growth in Tools \& Storage will fall back to normal levels of 5\% by 2019 after rising $10 \%$ in 2017 due to the acquisition. SWK's industrial division has had stable growth, and I project growth of 5\% in 2018 and 2019. The security division will decline in 2017 due to the divestment in 2016 and will recover back to positive growth rates by 2019.

International revenue rebounds to 5\% growth in 2017 and maintains this in 2018 and 2019 due to the weakening of the US dollar relative to the Euro and emerging market currencies. Continued expansion of SWK's production operation to other countries could also help offset any possible losses from currency if my assumption for exchange rates is incorrect.

Figures 16 \&17: Stanley Black and Decker segment revenue growth rate, 2014-2019E, geographical growth rates, 2014-2019E



Source: Company Reports

## Operating Income and Margins

Operating margins are expected to rise as SWK focuses more on improvements to its supply chain and places more focus on its Tools \& Storage segment. By 2018, the division will make up $70 \%$ of SWK's total revenue. The higher weight should help boost EBIT margins as the Tools \& Storage segment is the most profitable. In addition, restructuring will be finished by 2019, resulting in a more efficient and less expensive supply chain.

Figures 17 and 18 segment \% of sales 2013-2019E, 2016 segment profit margin


2016 Segment Summary

|  | Sales | Profit Margin |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Tools and Storage | $\$ 7,469$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Security | $\$ 2,097$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| Industrials | $\$ 1,840$ | $16.5 \%$ |

Source: Company Reports
In addition, the industrials segment is growing with the economy after three years of decline and will have high margins.

## Return on Equity

Stanley Black \& Decker's ROE has risen from $11.4 \%$ in 2014 to $16.7 \%$ in 2017 and will fall to $15.6 \%$ by 2019. EBIT margins are expected to improve over time. Improvements in SWK's supply chain, divestment of unprofitable businesses and the firm's focus on $5-6 \%$ organic growth will boost margins.

Figure 19: ROE breakdown, 2014-2019E

| 5-stage Dupont |  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11.0 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| EBIT / sales | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Sales / avg assets | $86.9 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ |
| EBT / EBIT | $70.1 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ |
| Net income /EBT | $4.7 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| ROA | 2.42 | 2.50 | 2.51 | 2.37 | 2.24 | 2.12 |
| Avg assets / avg equity | $11.4 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| ROE |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company Reports
Higher margins are offset somewhat by lower asset turns. However, lower interest and tax burdens contribute to a higher ROA. ROE is still rising even as the firm deleverages. A/E will decline as the increase in assets is lower than the rise in equity. I forecast no additional debt in 2018-19.

## Free Cash Flow

SW's free cash flow has been volatile over the last several years. I forecast that NOPAT will grow rapidly over the course of the next three years. This will be offset by increases in net operating capital due to restructuring. Net working capital excluding cash will slowly increase due to SWK acquiring many new assets.

Figure 20: Free cash flows 2014-2019E

| Free Cash Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018E | 2019E |
| NOPAT | \$987 | \$1,032 | \$1,100 | \$1,372 | \$1,519 | \$1,654 |
| Growth | 52.1\% | 4.5\% | 6.6\% | 24.8\% | 10.7\% | 8.9\% |
| NOWC | 1,118 | 862 | 1,985 | 210 | 420 | 1,229 |
| Net fixed assets | 11,900 | 11,466 | 10,846 | 14,514 | 15,238 | 15,495 |
| Total net operating capital | \$13,019 | \$12,328 | \$12,832 | \$14,723 | \$15,658 | \$16,725 |
| Growth | -4.9\% | -5.3\% | 4.1\% | 14.7\% | 6.3\% | 6.8\% |
| - Change in NOWC | (55) | (256) | 1,123 | $(1,776)$ | 210 | 809 |
| - Change in NFA | (618) | (435) | (619) | 3,667 | 724 | 258 |
| FCFF | \$1,660 | \$1,723 | \$596 | -\$520 | \$585 | \$587 |
| Growth |  | 3.8\% | -65.4\% | -187.2\% | 212.5\% | 0.3\% |
| - After-tax interest expense | 129 | 130 | 135 | 147 | 109 | 109 |
| + Net new short-term and long- | (351) | (47) | 25 | (971) | - | - |
| FCFE | \$1,180 | \$1,546 | \$486 | -\$1,637 | \$475 | \$477 |
| Growth |  | 31.0\% | -68.6\% | -437.0\% | 129.0\% | 0.4\% |

Source: Company Reports, IMCP
I expect both FCFF and FCFE to bounce back in 2018 after being negative as a result of acquisitions. In 2018 and 2019 growth in NOPAT was $7.9 \%$, with most of it being used for acquisition purposes. FCFF will rebound in 2018 and 2019 due to growth in NOPAT ( $7.9 \%$ and $5.8 \%$ ) and will outpace increases in NFA and NOWC (5.6\% and 5.9\%).

## Valuation

SWK was valued using multiples and a 3-stage discounting cash flow model. Based on earnings multiples, the stock is slightly expensive relative to other firms and is worth $\$ 184$. Price to book valuation yielded a price of $\$ 183$. A detailed DCF analysis values SWK slightly higher, at $\$ 171$. Lastly, a probability-weighted scenario analysis values the stock at $\$ 177.54$. As a result of these valuations, I value the stock at $\$ 175$.

## Trading History

SWK is currently trading at its five-year relative NTM P/E high to the S\&P 500. This is the result of steady increases in earnings and the fact that most analysts project earnings to continue to grow at above market rates. SWK's current NTM P/E is at 19.9 compared to its five year average of 19.5. I do not expect regression towards that number as the economy continues to heat up.

Figure 21: SWK NTM P/E relative to S\&P 500


Source: Factset

Assuming the firm maintains a 19.9 NTM P/E at the end of 2018, it should trade at $\$ 202.78$ by the end of the year.

- Price $=P / E \times E P S=19.9 \times \$ 10.19=\$ 202.78$

Discounting $\$ 202.78$ back to today at a $10.4 \%$ cost of equity (explained in Discounted Cash Flow section) yields a price of $\$ 183.67$. Given SWK's potential earnings growth and continued profitability, this seems to be a reasonable valuation for current market conditions. However, I am also more bullish on near-earnings than consensus.

## Relative Valuation

Stanley Black \& Decker is currently trading at a P/E much lower than its peers, with a P/E TTM of 21.0 compared to an average of 25.4. Investors may be skeptical of SWK delivering consistent and sustainable earnings growth (12.4\% EPS growth in 2017, 13.7\% EPS growth in 2018, and 12.4\% in 2019). SWK's P/S ratio is also lower than its peers which reflects its lower operating margin.

Figure 22: SWK comparable companies

| Ticker | Name | Current Price | Market Value | Price Change |  |  |  |  |  | Earnings Growt |  |  |  |  |  |  | Beta | LT DebiS\&P |  | LTM Dividend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 day | 1 Mo | 3 Mo | 6 Mo | 52 Wk | YTD | LTG | NTM | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Pst 5yr |  | Equity | Ratin: | Yield | Payout |
| SWK | STANLEY BLACK \& DECKER INC | \$169.16 | \$25,941 | (0.8) | 1.0 | 3.4 | 18.9 | 36.1 | (0.3) | 12.0 | 4.4\% | 2.1\% | 12.4\% | 13.7\% | 12.4\% | 20.7\% | 1.28 | 34.3\% | B+ | 1.43\% | 30.1\% |
| SNA | SNAP-ON INC | \$181.03 | \$10,320 | (1.0) | 3.6 | 13.2 | 20.7 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 9.8\% | 13.4\% | 13.6\% | 9.6\% | 10.6\% | 14.8\% | 1.05 | 25.8\% | A | 1.69\% | 29.2\% |
| TTC | TORO CO | \$66.97 | \$7,140 | (0.2) | 2.9 | 6.5 | (6.4) | 12.0 | 2.7 |  | 9.9\% | 15.3\% | 36.4\% | 17.0\% | 8.3\% | 17.8\% | 0.49 | 49.5\% | A | 1.11\% | 29.0\% |
| DHR | DANAHER CORP | \$102.70 | \$71,439 | 1.3 | 9.9 | 13.3 | 25.3 | 26.7 | 10.6 | 8.1 | 24.9\% | 1.1\% | -16.1\% | 10.4\% | 10.9\% | 5.4\% | 1.02 | 42.3\% | A | 0.60\% | 16.1\% |
| 6586-JP | MAKITA CORP | \$46.83 | \$12,712 | (1.5) | 6.4 | 12.7 | 18.1 | 29.5 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 19.8\% | 3.0\% | -8.6\% | 16.5\% | 18.2\% | 6.9\% | 0.65 | 0.0\% |  | 1.08\% | 28.6\% |
| 669-HK | TECHTRONIC INDUSTR | \$6.30 | \$11,553 | (0.5) | (0.2) | 11.2 | 34.6 | 84.1 | (3.3) |  |  | 18.8\% | 15.8\% | 18.2\% | 19.2\% | 18.9\% | 0.18 |  |  | 1.13\% |  |
| Average |  |  | \$23,184 | (0.5) | 3.9 | 10.1 | 18.5 | 31.6 | 3.5 | 9.6 | 13.7\% | 8.9\% | 8.9\% | 14.2\% | 13.3\% | 14.1\% | 0.78 | 30.4\% |  | 1.17\% | 26.6\% |
| Median |  |  | \$12,133 | (0.7) | 3.3 | 12.0 | 19.8 | 28.1 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 9.9\% | 8.2\% | 13.0\% | 15.1\% | 11.7\% | 16.3\% | 0.83 | 34.3\% |  | 1.12\% | 29.0\% |
| SPX | S\&P 500 INDEX | \$2,839 |  | 0.1 | 5.8 | 11.0 | 14.6 | 23.5 | 6.2 |  |  | -0.1\% | 0.5\% | 10.3\% | 11.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ticker | Website | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \\ & \text { ROE } \end{aligned}$ | P/B | P/E |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20172017 |  |  | ROIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EV/ } \\ & \text { EBIT } \end{aligned}$ | P/CF P/CF |  | Sales Growth |  |  | Book <br> Equity |
|  |  |  |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | тМ | NTM | 2018 | 2019 | NPM | P/S | OM |  |  | Current 5-yr |  | NTM | STM | Pst 5yr |  |
| SWK | http://www.stanleyblackanddecker.com http://www.snapon.com | 13.7\% | 3.57 | 16.9 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 22.9 | 20.3 | 8.8\% | 2.27 | 12.9\% | 11.5\% | 17.7 | 18.9 | 13.1 | 7.2\% | 5.7\% | 4.6\% | \$47.38 |
| SNA |  | 17.9\% | 3.53 | 19.2 | 21.2 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 16.9 | 18.0 | 16.2 | 13.9\% | 2.74 | 23.3\% | 16.5\% | 12.3 | 13.6 | 13.5 | -5.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.8\% | \$51.25 |
| TTC | http://www.thetorocompany.com | 35.7\% | 11.60 | 24.4 | 24.2 | 27.2 | 27.8 | 25.3 | 27.8 | 25.7 | 9.2\% | 2.99 | 14.2\% | 29.7\% | 19.8 | 22.2 | 18.5 | 5.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.0\% | \$5.77 |
| DHR | http://www.danaher.com | 8.4\% | 2.81 | 17.9 | 19.2 | 25.3 | 30.3 | 24.3 | 30.2 | 27.2 | 12.7\% | 4.23 | 16.2\% | 6.3\% | 22.0 | 20.9 | 15.7 | 6.0\% | 4.3\% | 1.0\% | \$36.49 |
| 6586-JP | http://www.makita.co.jp | 7.2\% | 2.67 | 16.9 | 21.0 | 26.4 | 29.3 | 24.5 | 31.6 | 26.8 | 9.8\% | 3.61 | 15.1\% | 9.1\% | 13.9 | 23.2 | 15.9 |  |  | 7.0\% | \$17.55 |
| 669-HK | http://www.ttigroup.com | 15.9\% | 4.56 | 20.1 | 21.5 | 16.3 |  |  | 24.2 | 20.3 | 7.4\% | 2.11 |  | 14.8\% | 14.0 | 17.3 | 11.3 |  |  | 8.3\% | \$1.38 |
| Average |  | 16.5\% | 4.79 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 21.9 | 25.4 | 22.2 | 25.8 | 22.8 | 10.3\% | 2.99 | 16.3\% | 14.6\% | 16.6 | 19.3 | 14.7 | 3.3\% | 4.8\% | 5.1\% |  |
| Median |  | 14.8\% | 3.55 | 18.5 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 27.8 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 23.0 | 9.5\% | 2.86 | 15.1\% | 13.2\% | 15.9 | 19.9 | 14.6 | 5.6\% | 4.8\% | 4.9\% |  |

A more thorough analysis of $P / B$ and ROE is shown in figure 23. The calculated $R$-squared of the regression indicates that $94.5 \%$ of a sampled firm's $P / B$ is explained by its NTM ROE. SWK has below average $P / B$ and ROE of this grouping, and according to this measure is slightly undervalued.

- Estimated $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}=$ Estimated 2018 ROE (15.9\%) $\times 27.895-0.5809=3.86$
- $\quad$ Target Price $=$ Estimated $P / B(3.86) \times 2018 E$ BVPS $(\$ 47.36)=\$ 182.81$

Discounting $\$ 182.81$ back to the present at a $10.4 \%$ cost of equity leads to a target price of $\$ 165.59$ using this metric.

Figure 23: P/B vs NTM ROE


Source: Factset

For a final comparison, I created a composite ranking of several valuation and fundamental metrics. Since the variables have different scales, each was converted to a percentile before calculating the composite score. An equal weighting of NTM earnings growth and 2017 ROE were compared to an equal weight composite of 2016 P/E and P/B. After eliminating Toro Co and Makita Corp which were
both outliers, the regression line had an R -squared of 0.96 . One can see that SWK is near the line, meaning that it is fairly valued using fundamental analysis.

Figure 24: Composite valuation, \% of range

| Ticker | Name Weight | Fundamentals |  | Valuation |  | Fund | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 50\% <br> Earnings <br> Growth <br> NTM | 50\% ROE | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 2016 \text { P/E } \end{aligned}$ | 50\% P/B |  |  |
| SWK | STANLEY BLACK \& DECKER INC | 0\% | 79\% | 0\% | 43\% | 39\% | 22\% |
| SNA | SNAP-ON INC | 26\% | 100\% | 98\% | 41\% | 63\% | 70\% |
| DHR | DANAHER CORP | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| 669-HK | TECHTRONIC INDUSTR | 50\% | 79\% | 35\% | 100\% | 64\% | 67\% |

Source: IMCP

Figure 25: Composite relative valuation


Source: IMCP

## Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

A three stage discounted cash flow model was also used to value DCF.

For the purpose of this analysis, the company's cost of equity was calculated to be $10.4 \%$ using the Capital Asset Pricing Model. The underlying assumptions used in calculating this rate are as follows:

- The risk-free rate, as represented by the ten year Treasury bond yield, is 2.33\%.
- A beta of 1.05 was utilized since the company has slightly higher risk than the market and its performance has aligned with the S\&P 500.
- A long term market rate of return of $10 \%$ was assumed, since historically, the market has generated an annual return of about 10\%.

Given the above assumptions, the cost of equity is $10.40 \%(2.33+1.05(10.0-2.33))$.

Stage One - The model's first stage simply discounts fiscal years 2018 and 2019 free cash flow to equity (FCFE). These per share cash flows are forecasted to be ( $\$ 1.00$ ) and $\$ 8.46$, respectively. Discounting these cash flows, using the cost of equity calculated above, results in a value of $\$ 6.04$ per share. Therefore, stage one of this discounted cash flow analysis contributes $\$ 6.04$ to value.

Stage Two - Stage two of the model focuses on fiscal years 2020 to 2024. During this period, FCFE is calculated based on revenue growth, NOPAT margin and capital growth assumptions. The resulting cash flows are then discounted using the company's $10.40 \%$ cost of equity. I assume a conservative $5 \%$ growth rate from 2020-2024. The ratio of NWC to sales will fall back to 2017 levels and NFA turnover will gradually rise from 0.92 in 2020 to 0.94 in 2021 as a result of improvements in operations, in part due to acquisitions. Also, the NOPAT margin is expected to rise to $13.5 \%$ in 2023 from $12.0 \%$ in 2020 due to continued synergies in the Tool Segment from acquisitions.

Figure 25: FCFE and discounted FCFE, 2018-2024

|  | 2018 | 2019 |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FCFE | $(\$ 1.00)$ | $\$ 8.46$ | $\$ 3.41$ | $\$ 9.59$ | $\$ 9.21$ | $\$ 6.48$ | $\$ 12.24$ |
| Growth |  | $749.4 \%$ | $-59.7 \%$ | $181.5 \%$ | $-4.0 \%$ | $-29.6 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |

Source: IMCP

Added together, these discounted cash flows total \$20.09.
Stage Three - Net income for the years 2020-2024 is calculated based upon the same margin and growth assumptions used to determine FCFE in stage two. EPS is expected to grow from \$11.24 in 2020 to \$14.92 in 2024.

Figure 26: EPS estimates for 2018-2024

|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EPS | $\$ 8.92$ | $\$ 10.19$ | $\$ 11.51$ | $\$ 11.84$ | $\$ 12.71$ | $\$ 13.63$ | $\$ 15.20$ |

Source: IMCP

Stage three of the model requires an assumption regarding the company's terminal price-toearnings ratio. For the purpose of this analysis, it is generally assumed that as a company grows larger and matures, its P/E ratio will converge near to the historical average of the S\&P 500. Stanley Black \& Decker, on the other hand will not face this situation. SWK's comps average NTM P/E is 22.2 and SWK has seen a steady increase in P/E over time. As a result, a P/E ratio of 19.50 is assumed at the end of SWK's terminal year. This P/E multiple though seeming rather high, fits the conditions of today's market and the possible future as the firm is a strong company with good brands.

Given the assumed terminal earnings per share of $\$ 14.92$ and a price to earnings ratio of 19.5 , a terminal value of $\$ 290.90$ per share is calculated. Using the $10.40 \%$ cost of equity, this number is discounted back to a present value of $\$ 145.69$.

Total Present Value - given the above assumptions and utilizing a three stage discounted cash flow model, an intrinsic value of $\$ 170.26$ is calculated ( $4.48+20.09+145.69$ ). Given SWK's current price of $\$ 169.69$, this model indicates that the stock is fairly valued.

## Scenario Analysis

To better understand the catalysts in determining SWK's stock price, I valued the firm using six scenerios that are based on changes in NOPAT margin and sales growth.

Figure 27: Scenario analysis

| Sales | NOPAT Margin | DCF Value | Probability | Weighted Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strong Growth $\mathrm{p}=0.25$ | Growing ( $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ ) | \$191.35 | 12.50\% | \$23.92 |
|  | Stable ( $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ | \$183.42 | 12.50\% | \$22.93 |
| Modest Growth $p=0.50$ | Growing ( $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ ) | 180.99 | 25\% | \$45.25 |
|  | Stable ( $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ | \$173.73 | 25\% | \$43.43 |
| Weak Growth $\mathrm{p}=0.25$ | Growing ( $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ ) | 171.37 | 12.50\% | \$21.42 |
|  | Stable ( $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ | \$164.75 | 12.50\% | \$20.59 |

If SWK is unable to maintain its consistent sales growth of $5 \%$ annually, the value drops to $\$ 164.75$. However, if NOPAT margins are able to improve from $11.5 \%$ to $12.5 \%$ as SWK moves more into the Tool industry, value increases by nearly $\$ 7$ across all estimates. The scenario analysis shows that NOPAT margin is the more important in determining SWK's value compared to sales. It appears the market is expecting moderate growth with stable margin for SWK.

## Business Risks

Although I have many reasons to be optimistic about Stanley Black \&Decker, there are several good reasons why I find the stock to be fairly priced only a few dollars below its 52-week high:

## Global Competition:

Stanley Black \& Decker competes with both larger and smaller companies that offer similar products, but are located in countries in which labor and other production costs are substantially lower. SWK may have to reduce prices on its products and services to stay competitive and retain market share.

## Change in customer preferences:

Customers change what they demand all the time. If SWK does not adapt the to the needs of its customers, SWK could see a decline in sales.

## Loss of brand reputation:

SWK's biggest asset is its brand recognition. It keeps the firm profitable and competitive. If the company violates trademark agreements and product requirements, its brand could be damaged.

## Exposure to currency fluctuations:

Nearly 50\% of SWK's revenues are denominated in currencies other than the dollar. Strengthening of the dollar relative to currencies such as the Euro could reduce margins.

## Possible Valuation Changes

If SWK is able to increase profit margins at a faster rate, the stock may become a buy. Profit margins are key driver in SWK's stock price and buy bringing those future cash flows closer to present time will increase the stock's valuation.

SWK could be considered a sell if current market conditions deteriorate. SWK's current valuation is dependent on strong sales growth over the near future. If the economy (especially in the US) sees a sudden slowing of consumer spending, SWK's valuation could change drastically.

## Appendix 1: Income Statement

| Income Statement (in \$ millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 E | 2019E |  |
| Sales | $\$ 10,890$ | $\$ 11,338$ | $\$ 11,172$ | $\$ 11,406$ | $\$ 12,747$ | $\$ 13,561$ | $\$ 14,255$ |  |
| Direct costs | 6,986 | 7,235 | 7,100 | 7,085 | 7,969 | 8,408 | 8,838 |  |
| Gross Margin | 3,904 | 4,103 | 4,072 | 4,321 | 4,778 | 5,153 | 5,417 |  |
| SG\&A, R\&D, and other | 3,169 | 2,854 | 2,756 | 2,924 | 3,069 | 3,255 | 3,350 |  |
|  | EBIT | 735 | 1,248 | 1,316 | 1,397 | 1,709 | 1,899 |  |
| Interest | 147 | 164 | 165 | 171 | 183 | 137 | 137 |  |
| EBT | 588 | 1,085 | 1,151 | 1,226 | 1,526 | 1,762 | 1,930 |  |
| Taxes | 69 | 227 | 249 | 261 | 301 | 352 | 386 |  |
| Income | 519 | 858 | 902 | 965 | 1,226 | 1,410 | 1,544 |  |
| Other | 29 | 97 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 20 |  |
| Net income | 490 | 761 | 884 | 965 | 1,225 | 1,335 | 1,524 |  |
| Basic Shares | 155.2 | 156.1 | 148.2 | 146.0 | 149.6 | 149.6 | 149.6 |  |
|  | EPS | $\$ 3.16$ | $\$ 4.87$ | $\$ 5.96$ | $\$ 6.60$ | $\$ 8.19$ | $\$ 8.92$ |  |
| DPS | $\$ 1.93$ | $\$ 1.93$ | $\$ 2.14$ | $\$ 2.26$ | $\$ 2.42$ | $\$ 2.68$ | $\$ 3.06$ |  |

## Appendix 2: Balance Sheet

| Balance Sheet (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Cash | 469 | 497 | 465 | 1,132 | 460 | 842 | 1,669 |
| Operating assets ex cash | 3,547 | 3,452 | 3,197 | 3,657 | 4,560 | 4,824 | 4,929 |
| Operating assets | 4,017 | 3,949 | 3,662 | 4,789 | 5,020 | 5,666 | 6,598 |
| Operating liabilities | 2,843 | 2,830 | 2,800 | 2,803 | 3,464 | 3,618 | 3,803 |
| NOWC | 1,173 | 1,118 | 862 | 1,985 | 1,556 | 2,048 | 2,796 |
| NOWC ex cash (NWC) | 704 | 622 | 397 | 854 | 1,096 | 1,206 | 1,127 |
| NFA | 12,519 | 11,900 | 11,466 | 10,846 | 14,647 | 15,056 | 15,309 |
| Invested capital | \$13,692 | \$13,019 | \$12,328 | \$12,832 | \$16,203 | \$17,103 | \$18,105 |
| Marketable securities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total assets | \$16,535 | \$15,849 | \$15,128 | \$15,635 | \$19,667 | \$20,721 | \$21,907 |
| Short-term and long-term debt | \$4,192 | \$3,841 | \$3,795 | \$3,820 | \$4,393 | \$4,393 | \$4,393 |
| Other liabilities | 2,619 | 2,665 | 2,674 | 2,639 | 2,639 | 2,639 | 2,639 |
| Debt/equity-like securities | - | - | - | - | 728 | 728 | 728 |
| Equity | 6,881 | 6,512 | 5,859 | 6,374 | 7,247 | 8,148 | 9,149 |
| Total supplied capital | \$13,692 | \$13,019 | \$12,328 | \$12,832 | \$15,006 | \$15,907 | \$16,908 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$16,535 | \$15,849 | \$15,128 | \$15,635 | \$18,470 | \$19,525 | \$20,711 |

Appendix 3: Sales Forecast

| Sales (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec-13 | Dec-14 | Dec-15 | Dec-16 | Dec-17 | Dec-18 | Dec-19 |
| Sales | 10,890 | 11,338 | 11,172 | 11,406 | 12,747 | 13,561 | 14,255 |
| Growth |  | 4.1\% | -1.5\% | 2.1\% | 11.8\% | 6.4\% | 5.1\% |
| Tools \& Storage | 5,271 | 7,033 | 7,141 | 7,469 | 8,862 | 9,548 | 10,121 |
| Growth |  | 33.4\% | 1.5\% | 4.6\% | 18.7\% | 7.7\% | 6.0\% |
| \% of sales | 48.4\% | 62.0\% | 63.9\% | 65.5\% | 69.5\% | 70.4\% | 71.0\% |
| Security | 2,316 | 2,261 | 2,093 | 2,097 | 1,939 | 1,970 | 2,009 |
| Growth |  | -2.4\% | -7.4\% | 0.2\% | -7.5\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% |
| \% of sales | 21.3\% | 19.9\% | 18.7\% | 18.4\% | 15.2\% | 2.0\% | 14.1\% |
| Industrial | 3,303 | 2,044 | 1,938 | 1,840 | 1,946 | 2,043 | 2,125 |
| Growth |  | -38.1\% | -5.2\% | -5.1\% | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% |
| \% of sales | 30.3\% | 18.0\% | 17.3\% | 16.1\% | 15.3\% | 15.1\% | 6.0\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| United States | 5,208 | 5,492 | 5,882 | 6,136 | 7,011 | 7,459 | 7,841 |
| Growth |  | 5.5\% | 7.1\% | 4.3\% | 14.3\% | 6.4\% | 5.1\% |
| \% of sales | 47.8\% | 48.4\% | 52.6\% | 53.8\% | 55.0\% | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |
| Canada | 600 | 591 | 516 | 515 | 561 | 597 | 641 |
| Growth |  | -1.5\% | -12.7\% | -0.2\% | 8.8\% | 6.4\% | 7.5\% |
| \% of sales | 5.5\% | 5.2\% | 4.6\% | 4.5\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% |
| Other Americas | 818 | 788 | 707 | 636 | 663 | 705 | 784 |
| Growth |  | -3.6\% | -10.4\% | -10.0\% | 4.3\% | 6.4\% | 11.2\% |
| \% of sales | 7.5\% | 7.0\% | 6.3\% | 5.6\% | 5.2\% | 5.2\% | 5.5\% |
| France | 705 | 696 | 596 | 583 | 637 | 678 | 713 |
| Growth |  | -1.3\% | -14.4\% | -2.2\% | 9.4\% | 6.4\% | 5.1\% |
| \% of sales | 6.5\% | 6.1\% | 5.3\% | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| Other Europe | 2,418 | 2,585 | 2,372 | 2,469 | 2,677 | 2,848 | 2,922 |
| Growth |  | 6.9\% | -8.3\% | 4.1\% | 8.4\% | 6.4\% | 2.6\% |
| \% of sales | 22.2\% | 22.8\% | 21.2\% | 21.6\% | 21.0\% | 21.0\% | 20.5\% |
| Asia | 1,141 | 1,186 | 1,100 | 1,069 | 1,195 | 1,271 | 1,354 |
| Growth |  | 4.0\% | -7.2\% | -2.8\% | 11.8\% | 6.4\% | 6.5\% |
| \% of sales | 10.5\% | 10.5\% | 9.8\% | 9.4\% | 9.4\% | 9.4\% | 9.5\% |

## Appendix 4: Ratios

| Ratios | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross margin | 36.2\% | 36.4\% | 37.9\% | 37.5\% | 38.0\% | 38.0\% |
| Operating (EBIT) margin | 11.0\% | 11.8\% | 12.3\% | 13.4\% | 14.0\% | 14.5\% |
| Net profit margin | 6.7\% | 7.9\% | 8.5\% | 9.6\% | 9.8\% | 10.7\% |
| Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NFA (gross) turnover | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.91 | 0.93 |
| Total asset turnover | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Liquidity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op asset / op liab | 1.40 | 1.31 | 1.71 | 1.05 | 1.09 | 1.27 |
| NOWC Percent of sales | 10.1\% | 8.9\% | 12.5\% | 8.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.8\% |
| Solvency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt to assets | 24.2\% | 25.1\% | 24.4\% | 14.9\% | 14.1\% | 13.4\% |
| Debt to equity | 59.0\% | 64.8\% | 59.9\% | 34.3\% | 30.8\% | 27.7\% |
| Other liab to assets | 16.8\% | 17.7\% | 16.9\% | 18.7\% | 17.8\% | 16.8\% |
| Total debt to assets | 41.1\% | 42.8\% | 41.3\% | 33.7\% | 31.9\% | 30.2\% |
| Total liabilities to assets | 58.9\% | 61.3\% | 59.2\% | 56.5\% | 54.1\% | 51.6\% |
| Debt to EBIT | 3.08 | 2.88 | 2.73 | 1.67 | 1.50 | 1.38 |
| EBIT/interest | 7.63 | 7.97 | 8.16 | 9.36 | 13.89 | 15.12 |
| Debt to total net op capital | 29.5\% | 30.8\% | 29.8\% | 19.3\% | 18.2\% | 17.0\% |
| ROIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT to sales | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 9.6\% | 10.8\% | 11.2\% | 11.6\% |
| Sales to NWC | 17.10 | 21.94 | 18.25 | 59.90 | $(1,288.14)$ | 34.16 |
| Sales to NFA | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.91 | 0.93 |
| Sales to IC ex cash | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.91 | 0.90 |
| Total ROIC ex cash | 7.7\% | 8.5\% | 9.3\% | 10.6\% | 10.2\% | 10.5\% |
| NOPAT to sales | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 9.6\% | 10.8\% | 11.2\% | 11.6\% |
| Sales to NOWC | 9.89 | 11.28 | 8.01 | 11.62 | 43.08 | 17.29 |
| Sales to NFA | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.91 | 0.93 |
| Sales to IC | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.88 |
| Total ROIC | 7.4\% | 8.1\% | 8.7\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.2\% |
| NOPAT to sales | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 9.6\% | 10.8\% | 11.2\% | 11.6\% |
| Sales to EOY NWC | 18.23 | 28.17 | 13.36 | (29.79) | 33.33 | 33.33 |
| Sales to EOY NFA | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.92 |
| Sales to EOY IC ex cash | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.90 |
| Total ROIC using EOY IC ex cash | 7.9\% | 8.7\% | 9.4\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 10.4\% |
| NOPAT to sales | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 9.6\% | 10.8\% | 11.2\% | 11.6\% |
| Sales to EOY NOWC | 10.14 | 12.96 | 5.75 | 60.82 | 32.29 | 11.60 |
| Sales to EOY NFA | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.92 |
| Sales to EOY IC | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.85 |
| Total ROIC using EOY IC | 7.6\% | 8.4\% | 8.6\% | 9.3\% | 9.7\% | 9.9\% |
| EBIT / sales | 11.0\% | 11.8\% | 12.3\% | 13.4\% | 14.0\% | 14.5\% |
| Sales / avg assets | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| EBT / EBIT | 86.9\% | 87.4\% | 87.7\% | 89.3\% | 92.8\% | 93.4\% |
| Net income /EBT | 70.1\% | 76.8\% | 78.7\% | 80.3\% | 75.7\% | 79.0\% |
| ROA | 4.7\% | 5.7\% | 6.3\% | 7.1\% | 6.8\% | 7.4\% |
| Avg assets / avg equity | 2.42 | 2.50 | 2.51 | 2.37 | 2.24 | 2.12 |
| ROE | 11.4\% | 14.3\% | 15.8\% | 16.7\% | 15.2\% | 15.6\% |

## Appendix 5: 3-stage DCF Model



## Appendix 6: Porter's 5 Forces

Threat of New Entrants - Low
New entrants are rare in the tools and hardware industry due to the large amounts of capital needed in order to enter the marketplace. In addition, the companies already in the industry have loyal customers.

Threat of Substitutes - High
Stanley Black \& Decker has strong brand recognition that attracts and keeps loyal customers. Lower cost options are still there and place a threat to growing profit margins.

Buyer Power- High
It is difficult for Stanley Black \& Decker to increase profit margins due to consumer's elasticity of demand. Consumers have numerous other options in the tools and hardware industry and have a high degree of power over the manufacturer.

Supplier Power- Low
Suppliers compete on price in the industry because of the interchangeable nature of raw materials.
Competitive Rivalry- High
SWK's competitive threat can mostly be found in the international market. Most of the major players in the industry are foreign-based so fluctuations in the US dollar can have a great affect SWK's international market share.

Appendix 7: SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
| :--- | :--- |
| Well-known brands | Margins |
| Large Market Share | Currency Fluctuations |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| Acquisitions <br> Economic Conditions <br> Emerging Markets | Loss of Customers |


[^0]:    Contact: Nick Thillman
    Email: npt@uwm.edu
    Phone: 920-227-7422

[^1]:    Source: Company Reports

