| Recommendation | BUY |
| :--- | :--- |
| Target (today's value) | $\$ 240$ |
| Current Price | $\$ 222.04$ |
| 52 week range | $\$ 144.38-\$ 230.77$ |

## O'Reilly Automotive, Inc.

| Share Data |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ticker: | ORLY |
| Market Cap. (Billion): | $\$ 22.5$ |
| Inside Ownership | $2.7 \%$ |
| Inst. Ownership | $93.4 \%$ |
| Beta | 1.36 |
| Dividend Yield | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ |
| Payout Ratio | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ |
| Cons. Long-Term Growth Rate | $15.4 \%$ |


|  | '12 | '13 | '14 | '15E | '16E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales (billions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | \$6.2 | \$6.6 | \$7.2 | \$7.8 | \$8.5 |
| Gr \% | 6.8\% | 7.6\% | 8.5\% | 9.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Cons | - | - | - | \$7.8 | \$8.3 |
| EPS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | \$4.83 | \$6.14 | \$7.46 | \$8.63 | \$9.74 |
| Gr \% | 28.2\% | 26.9\% | 21.6\% | 15.6\% | 12.9\% |
| Cons | - | - | - | \$8.56 | \$9.72 |


| Ratio | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{1 6 E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ROE (\%) | $23.7 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ |
| Industry | $19.6 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| NPM (\%) | $9.5 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Industry | $3.35 \%$ | $3.27 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| A. T/O | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.12 |
| ROA (\%) | $10.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| Industry | $6.39 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.28 \%$ | $5.83 \%$ | $5.95 \%$ |
| A/E | 2.27 | 2.90 | 3.16 | 3.09 | 2.79 |


| Valuation | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{' 1 6 E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ | 21.3 | 25.24 | 25.7 | 22.8 |
| Industry | 18.8 | 21.0 | 18.8 | 16.7 |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ | 6.9 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{CF}$ | 15.8 | 17.2 | 19.0 | 17.2 |
| EV/EBITDA | 11.5 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 12.9 |


| Performance | Stock | Industry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Month | $3.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 3 Month | $18.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| YTD | $15.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 52-week | $50.1 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| 3-year | $109.7 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ |

Risks: Threats to business include deteriorating economic factors, a competitive market place, regional and weather patterns, and decelerating growth.

[^0]Summary: I recommend a buy rating with a target of $\$ 240$. Even though this only represents a $10 \%$ upside, I am bullish on the outlook for ORLY based on its fundamental and valuation metrics. ORLY is fairly priced using DCF intrinsic value; however, my target price reflects a relative $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ multiple which has a $96 \%$ R-squared with net profit margin to the industry.

## Key Drivers:

- Miles Driven: With lower average gas prices, miles driven will begin to rise resulting in an increased demand for automotive replacement parts.
- Average Age of Vehicles: The average age of vehicles has been climbing in recent years. Average vehicle age was unchanged at 11.4 for 2014; however, it could rise to 11.7 by 2019 and is up from 9.6 in 2003.
- Opening New Stores \& SSS: ORLY will grow its store base by $4.7 \%$ in 2015 and I am also modeling for strong SSS at $6.8 \%$.
- Unemployment: Unemployment levels have been consistently falling for several years now and are leading to an increase in consumer spending from which ORLY is benefiting.

Valuation: On a DCF basis, O'Reilly is fairly priced, as the intrinsic value is about $\$ 225$ and the shares currently trade at $\$ 222$. Although, using a $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ multiple, O'Reilly is worth about \$238.

## Company Overview

O'Reilly Automotive, Inc. is one of the largest specialty retailers of automotive aftermarket parts, tools, supplies, equipment, and accessories in the United States. It sells products to both do-ityourself (DIY) and professional service provider customers (DIFM) -also known as O’Reilly's "dual market strategy." The business was founded in 1957 and is now headquartered in Springfield, Missouri.

As of December 31, 2014, O'Reilly operated in 4,366 stores in 43 states. The O'Reilly stores carry an extensive product line, including the products identified below:

- New and remanufactured automotive hard parts, such as: alternators, starters, fuel pumps, water pumpers, brake system components, batteries, belts, hoses, temperature control, chassis parts and engine parts.
- Maintenance items, such as: oil, antifreeze, fluids, filters, wiper blades, lighting, engine additives and appearance products.
- Accessories, such as: floor mats, seat covers and truck accessories.

O'Reilly focuses on its' "dual market strategy", superior customer service, technically proficient store personnel, and a strategic distribution system. O'Reilly also is a growth driven company with an aggressive new store expansion rate. O'Reilly opens new stores by building in high density and growing population areas, acquiring existing locations, and buying multi chain auto parts stores.

O'Reilly has been able to continually grow average store sales while aggressively opening new stores. Since 2012, total sales have grown at an average $7.6 \%$ and SSS have averaged $4.9 \%$. Also, O'Reilly is made up of an extensive team of experienced management which has helped it become one of the largest aftermarket part suppliers in the United States currently holding a 30\% market share among its closest seven competitors.

Figure 1: Market Value In Millions


Source: Factset

Figure 2: \% Increase in Average Sales


Source: Company Reports

Main Drivers of O'Reilly's Stock

## Business and Industry Drivers

Though several factors may contribute to O'Reilly Automotives' future success, the following are the most important business drivers:

1) Miles Driven
2) Average Age of Vehicles
3) Opening New Stores
4) Same Store Sales
5) Unemployment

## Miles Driven

The number of total miles driven by US consumers will determine their need for maintenance and aftermarket parts sold by auto parts stores. As shown below in Figure 4, average miles driven were steadily increasing into 2007. Since then, the average miles driven have remained somewhat flat. However, with the recent drop in gas price, total miles driven in Q1 were up 3.9\% from Q1 2014.

Figure 3: Average Miles Driven vs Average Price of Gas Figure 4: SSS vs Miles Driven


Source: Bloomberg and DOE
Source: Bloomberg and DOE

Average miles driven have fluctuated constantly for the past several years, but have remained below their highs in 2006 and 2007. This is most likely a result of the recession in 2008, which caused a jump in unemployment, as well as a high increase in average gas prices. In Figure 4, data shows that SSS are strongly correlated with miles driven.

As shown in the Figure 6 below, during the last five years while unemployment has fallen, average miles driven have begun to increase. With unemployment now sitting at just $5.5 \%$, more consumers can afford to drive vehicles, which will directly affect the average miles driven. The exception was in 2011 and 2012 as gas prices rose.

Figure 5: Average Weekly Gas Price vs Weekly Oil Inventory Figure 6: Average Miles Driven vs Unemployment Rate


Due to record levels of oil supply, gas prices may remain low and consumers will drive more miles

An increase in the average age of vehicles drives O'Reilly sales

In Figure 5, the data illustrates the relationship between US gas price and oil inventory levels. Since September 20, 2014, oil inventory levels have risen about $17.2 \%$ and gas prices have simultaneously fallen - $30.9 \%$. With oil inventories at all-time highs, gas prices could remain lower for the near future causing an upward trend in consumer miles driven. If employment continues to improve, and gas prices remain below recent historical averages, these conditions could cause consumers to drive more thus increasing the demand for aftermarket auto parts.

## Average Vehicle Age

During the last decade, the average age of vehicles has climbed from 9.6 years in 2003 to 11.4 in 2014. Generally, the more a vehicle ages, the more replacement parts it will require. This trend may be a result of two potential factors: a decrease in new vehicle sales, or a greater reliability of vehicles allowing consumers to hold on to them longer.

Figure 7: Average Age of Vehicles vs O'Reilly's Sales


Source: Company Reports and Bloomberg

From Figure 7, the data would suggest that the average vehicle age has been increasing due to a slowdown in vehicle purchases as a result of the recession in 2008. If that's the case, the recent uptick in vehicle purchases could begin to push the average age of vehicles down, thus reducing the need for replacement parts in the future. Vehicle reliability is also a factor.

If vehicles can physically last longer, consumers may hold on to them for a longer period of time, but they may not need as many replacement parts as in the past. This could cause the illusion that an older fleet will cause more aftermarket parts to be purchased; however, if vehicles are more physically reliable, this may not be the case.

Figure 8: Average Vehicle Age vs Vehicle Sales (US)


[^1]Figure 9: Average Vehicle Age vs Total Scrapped Vehicles


[^2]Vehicle scraps rates are falling because cars are becoming more reliable

Stores continue to grow at an average of $3.4 \%$ while firm increases average sales per store

As Figure 8 shows, vehicle sales have rallied since 2009 and have increased $50 \%$ through 2013. Despite more vehicles being sold, the rate of scrapped cares is currently down $11.1 \%$ from where they were in 2009 but have settled in the range of $4.6 \%$ to $5.7 \%$ growth annually. If more cars are being purchased, and fewer are being scrapped, this could indicate that vehicles are becoming more reliable. If the average age of vehicles is increasing, it may be a result of improved reliability rather than consumer inability to purchase new cars. As a long term trend, this could begin to negatively impact O'Reilly if consumers don't need to repair their vehicles as often; however, O'Reilly believes that this will benefit them as customers will become more willing to invest in maintaining better built vehicles.

In addition, another benefit of the aging vehicle population is a larger percentage of vehicles are putting on more miles outside of the manufacturers' warranty limit. In general, vehicles out-ofwarranty require a more significant level of maintenance and repairs.

## Opening New Stores

O'Reilly has an aggressive growth strategy in order to penetrate both emerging and existing markets. In 2008, O'Reilly acquired CSK Autos in adding 1,349 stores in 22 states in order to improve its market penetration. O'Reilly has grown $32.9 \%$ since the CSK acquisition and plans on continuing to aggressively open additional stores in the future. In 2014, O'Reilly met its' goal of opening 200 additional stores and is now forecasting 205 new locations in 2015 , or $4.7 \%$ of the existing stores.

O'Reilly has 3 methods of opening new stores: 1) construct a new facility or renovate an existing property, 2) acquire an independently owned auto parts store, and 3) purchase a multi store chain. Some of the other factors considered when opening a new store include: population density, population growth patterns, demographic lifestyle segmentation, age, per capita income, vehicle traffic counts, and competing auto part stores within a predetermined radius.

Figure 10: Total Number of $0^{\prime}$ Reilly Stores


Source: Bloomberg and DOE

While aggressively opening new stores, O'Reilly has managed to improve average sales per store. Over the past five years, average sales per store have grown at $3.4 \%$ while opening stores at a rate of 4.9\% for the same time period. This shows O'Reilly's ability not only to expand, but to grow existing store sales as well.

Figure 11: Number of New Stores and Growth

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 E | 2016 E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Stores | 3740 | 3976 | 4166 | 4366 | 4571 | 4781 |
| New Stores | 170 | 236 | 190 | 200 | 205 | 210 |
| New Store Growth | 4.8\% | 6.3\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.7\% | 4.6\% |
| Sales Growth Per Store | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.5\% | 6.3\% | 6.8\% | 6.8\% |

Source: Company Reports

Organic growth is a very key piece to O'Reilly moving forward. In a highly competitive market, O'Reilly has to keep pace with other large auto part providers such as Carmax, Advanced Auto Parts, Penske Automotive Group, and several other large chains in order to maintain its current market share. O'Reilly's five year SSS growth is $5.5 \%$ compared to an average of $6 \%$ from its peers, however, that number is skewed by some of the competitors with a much smaller market cap.

## Same Store Sales

As previously mentioned, O'Reilly is focused on store growth in order to remain competitive in the auto parts industry. If $O^{\prime}$ Reilly continues to push to open new locations, it could cannibalize existing stores and same store sales could fall. That being said, it appears as though O'Reilly has been able to steadily increase store sales and open new locations at the same time. Since the CSK acquisition in 2008, O'Reilly has managed to open an additional 1,081 stores while continuing to grow same store sales.

Figure 12: Increase in Same Store Sales (SSS)


Source: Company Reports

Figure 13: Same Store Sales by Quarter


Source: Company Reports

Despite aggressive store growth, average sales per store have been increasing

O'Reilly believes that in order to improve sales and profitability it must continue to strive to improve customer service. O'Reilly also prices its products very competitively using a "Good, Better, Best" system. Best quality products provide the highest profit margins. Although the margins are not specifically stated, ORLY mentions that the best quality tends to sell more when consumer confidence is high. Since consumer confidence has been on the rise in recent years, ORLY should benefit from selling its more expensive products. However, O'Reilly firmly believes that customer service is the most important driver of sales. For example, each store must be staffed with a "Professional Parts People" who has undergone extensive and ongoing training to be technically knowledgeable in order to better serve experienced customers who they deal with on a regular basis.

O'Reilly also has a "dual market strategy" which is a method geared towards serving both "Do it Yourself" (DIY-customer who buy parts directly) customers and "Do it for Me" (DIFM-professionals who buy parts from O'Reilly to service other individuals) customers. In 2014, O’Reilly derived approximately $58 \%$ of sales from DIY customers and approximately $42 \%$ from DIFM, which is the same as the 2013 numbers. O'Reilly also has a team of 600 full-time sales staff who are ready to service the professional service provider customer.

## Employment

One of the most important drivers for O'Reilly's success is going to be employment. Employment will affect consumers' ability to purchase new vehicles, buy replacement parts, and even affect the amount of miles they drive. In December, unemployment hit a new low of $5.6 \%$, which may indicate an improving economy. As unemployment fell (1.1\%) in the last calendar year, US auto sales have risen 6.0\% from 15,883,443 vehicles sold in 2013, to 16,841,973 in 2014.

Figure 14: Unemployment Rate vs O'Reilly Sales


Source: Bloomberg and Company Reports

Figure 15: USA Employment Rate vs Consumer Spending
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Source: Bloomberg

Unemployment levels dictate consumer's ability to spend which has a positive correlation with O'Reilly sales

If US auto sales continue to climb, it could negatively affect O'Reilly's sales as the average vehicle age is driven down. However, the climb in new vehicle purchases may be offset by the benefit from climbing consumer spending levels. Figure 15 shows that consumer spending has been rising as a result of an increase in employment. With more people employed, consumers should have excess disposable income that can be used to repair or service their vehicles and drive more miles.

Also shown in Figure 16 below, O'Reilly sales are inversely correlated with unemployment. From the period December 31, 2013-December 31, 2014, unemployment fell from $6.7 \%$ to $5.6 \%$, while O'Reilly sales climbed $8.5 \%$. This confirms that despite new vehicle purchases, O'Reilly benefits greater from the increase in consumer spending.

Figure 16: Unemployment Rate vs O’Reilly Sales


[^3]Figure 17: ORLY Comps Rel. to S\&P 500 vs Unemployment $\quad$ Figure 18: ORLY Comps vs Unemployment Rate
(

## Financial Analysis

During 2015, I expect EPS to increase from $\$ 7.46$ to $\$ 8.63$. ORLY will be able to add $\$ 1.17$ in EPS through an increase in new store sales, a continuation of strong SSS, further improvement to their gross margin, a reduction in SG\&A as a percent of sales, and additional share buybacks throughout 2015.

Figure 19: 2015 E EPS


I am modeling for a \$1.17 increase to EPS for 2015E and a $\$ 1.11$ for 2016E.

205 planned net new stores and forecasted $6.8 \%$ SSS growth should boost EPS by $\$ 0.70$ in 2015. SSS should increase as a result of lower gas prices and the aging vehicle fleet. Furthermore, gross margin will continue to improve from $51.4 \%$ to $52.1 \%$ by year end and add another $\$ 0.44$ to EPS. This will mainly come as high profit margin generated by higher average ticket prices per customer due to increasing consumer spending levels. I am also modeling that SG\&A will decrease slightly to $37.5 \%$ resulting in a $\$ 0.02$ increase to EPS. Finally, I am forecasting O'Reilly will continue to utilize its share buyback program adding an additional $\$ 0.11$ to EPS.

Figure 12: 2016 E EPS


During 2016 I expect EPS to increase from $\$ 8.63$ to $\$ 9.74$. ORLY will be able to add $\$ 1.11$ in EPS through additional new store sales, an increase of SSS, further improvement to the gross margin from cost reduction, a decrease in SG\&A as a percent of sales, and additional share buybacks throughout 2016. With 210 forecasted net new stores and projected $6.8 \%$ SSS growth, I am estimating a $\$ 0.80$ increase in EPS from new store sales and SSS in 2015. Furthermore, gross margin will continue to improve to $52.5 \%$ by year end adding another $\$ 0.33$ to EPS assuming consumer spending levels remain higher. I am also modeling that SG\&A will decrease slightly to $37.0 \%$ resulting in a $\$ 0.03$ increase to EPS. Finally, I am forecasting O'Reilly will continue to utilize its share buyback program which will add an additional $\$ 0.08$ to EPS.

Figure 21 shows my quarterly and annual EPS expectations for 2015 and 2016. As you can see, my estimates are slightly more bullish than the consensus estimates.

Figure 21: Estimated EPS versus consensus

|  | FY 2014 A |  | Q1 2015 E |  | Q2 2015 E |  | Q3 2015 E |  | Q4 2015 E |  | FY 2015 E |  | Q1 2016 E |  | Q2 2016 E |  | Q3 2016 E |  | Q4 2016 E |  | FY 2016 E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My Estimates | \$ | 7.46 | \$ | 2.06 | \$ | 2.28 | \$ | 2.41 | \$ | 2.01 | \$ | 8.63 | \$ | 2.18 | \$ | 2.57 | \$ | 2.72 | \$ | 2.27 | \$ | 9.74 |
| Consensus | \$ | 7.46 | \$ | 2.06 | \$ | 2.25 | \$ | 2.34 | \$ | 2.02 | \$ | 8.56 | \$ | 2.23 | \$ | 2.58 | \$ | 2.67 | \$ | 2.34 | \$ | 9.72 |
| Sales (Mil.) | \$ | 7,216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,866 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,566 |

My Model has a slightly more bullish outlook on 2015E and 2016E EPS.

The reason my estimates are higher than consensus is I am factoring in share buybacks. O'Reilly has $\$ 742$ million remaining in it share buyback funds to be utilized. Management is expecting $\$ 8.42$ to $\$ 8.52$ in EPS for 2015 without factoring in any share buybacks. Also, I am bullish on consumer spending levels and lower gas prices for 2015 and 2016. More miles driven will result in the need for more parts, and consumer spending will lead to higher ticket prices thus generating a great profit margin for ORLY.

Bull/Bear Case
Despite being bullish on O'Reilly's outlook given its' promising business and industry drivers, as well as improving profitability, I am concerned with the impact the economy could have on its performance. The EPS I have forecasted in figure21 assumes a continuation of the current economic health. However, if the economy slows, O'Reilly sales will be as consumer spending falls. Figure 22 below shows how O'Reilly may be impacted during different economic conditions.

Figure 22: Bull/Bear EPS Cases

| 2015 E |  |  |  | 2016 E |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Bear | Base | Bull | Bear | Base | Bull |  |
| Net Income | 881,711 | 890,090 | 899,355 | 982,747 | 996,636 | $1,012,556$ |  |
|  | $\$ 8.55$ | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 8.72$ | $\$ 9.60$ | $\$ 9.74$ | $\$ 9.89$ |  |

For 2015, the base case assumes a growing growth rate of sales of $9 \%$. The bear and bull cases assume $8.5 \%$ and $9.5 \%$ respectively. For 2016, the base case assumes a sales growth rate of $8.9 \%$, and the bear and bull are $8.4 \%$ and $9.5 \%$ respectively.

## Revenues

Figure 23: 5 Year CAGR of Sales

| Company |  | 5 Year CAGR of Sales |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ORLY | O'ReILLY AUTOMOTIVE | $\mathbf{5 . 9 8 \%}$ |
| AAP | Advanced Auto Parts | $10.69 \%$ |
| LAD | LITHIA MOTORS | $20.38 \%$ |
| GPC | GENUINE PARTS | $6.63 \%$ |
| GPI | GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE | $12.52 \%$ |
| PAG | PENSKE AUTOMOTIVE | $9.90 \%$ |
| MNRO | MONRO MUFFLER BRAKE | $8.05 \%$ |
| ABG | ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE | $8.31 \%$ |
| KMX | CARMAX | $10.98 \%$ |
|  | Industry Average | $10.38 \%$ |

Source: Company reports

Figure 24: YoY Sales Growth and Total Sales


Source: Company reports

Since Q1 2012, O'Reilly has experienced a steady growth in its total revenue. As figure 24 shows, the sales growth rate YoY has also been steadily increasing, however, I am modeling for it to flatten out in the coming years as it will be difficult to maintain such a strong growth rate. In the base case, I expect O'Reilly's YoY sales growth to continue to remain positive, but at a decelerated rate through 2015 and 2016. I am modeling for sales to grow at $9 \%$ and $8.9 \%$ in 2015 and 2016 and for growth to continue to decelerate thereafter. New store growth will have less of an impact as the total number of stores increases to greater levels because it will be difficult to continue to open the same large percentage of new stores.

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5} \mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6} \mathbf{E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\$ 5,788,816$ | $\$ 6,182,184$ | $\$ 6,649,237$ | $\$ 7,216,081$ | $\$ 7,865,528$ | $\$ 8,565,560$ |
| Growth | $7.25 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ | $7.55 \%$ | $8.52 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ | $8.90 \%$ |
| \# of Stores | 3740 | 3976 | 4166 | 4366 | 4571 | 4781 |
| Growth | $4.8 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| SSS Growth | $0.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |

## Operating Income and Margins

Margins have been improving boosting earnings to record levels. For 25 consecutive quarters ORLY has been able to boost EPS by $15 \%$ or more. The reduction in costs of goods sold (due to higher profit margins from higher ticket sales) has caused gross margin to become one of the key drivers for O'Reilly's earnings growth.

Figure 26: EBIT and Gross Margin


I am modeling for the gross margin to continue to increase in 2015 and 2016 to $52.1 \%$ and 52.5\% respectively. However, as it is unrealistic to expect COGS to fall indefinitely, I have the gross margin increasing at a decelerated pace for the next two years. O'Reilly's operating margin has also improved due to gross margin growing at a faster pace than additional SG\&A needs. For 2015 and 2016, I am forecasting ORLY to improve its' operating margin to $18.4 \%$ and $18.8 \%$ respectively. Again, due to several years of increasing margin performance, I am expecting the increases to decelerate through 2015 and 2016. This idea of deceleration is supported by looking at the margin performance on a YoY basis in in figure 27 below.

Figure 27: Margin Improvement


Note that gross margin, operating margin, and net profit margin are all still growing but just at a slower rate. In addition, in every year except 2012, SG\&A as a percent of sales decreased which shows the firm's ability to grow sales without requiring similar additional SG\&A.

The table below displays the current and five year averages of gross margins, operating margins, and net margins for O'Reilly and its publicly traded competitors. ORLY is the only company above its fiveyear averages in gross, operating, and net margins. Improvements in my gross margin will help ORLY increase its net margin from $10.8 \%$ to $11.3 \%$ during 2015. By January 2016, I am forecasting a net margin of $11.6 \%$.

Figure 28: Competitor Margins

| Company | Gross Margin |  | Operating Margin |  | Net Margin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | 5 Year Avg | Current | 5 Year Avg | Current | 5 Year Avg |
| O'REILLY | 51.4\% | 49.9\% | 17.6\% | 15.6\% | 10.8\% | 9.4\% |
| LITHIA MOTORS | 14.8\% | 15.8\% | 4.4\% | 4.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% |
| GENUINE PARTS | 28.98 | 28.55 | 7.33 | 7.33 | 4.64 | 4.65 |
| GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE | 14.14 | 14.71 | 3.35 | 3.22 | 0.9 | 1.13 |
| PENSKE AUTOMOTIVE | 14.58 | 15.06 | 2.93 | 2.73 | 1.78 | 1.52 |
| ADVANCE AUTO PARTS | 45.24 | 48.99 | 9.75 | 10.33 | 5 | 5.9 |
| MONRO MUFFLER BRAKE | 38.48 | 39.55 | 11.47 | 11.65 | 6.55 | 6.68 |
| ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE | 16.03 | 16.05 | 4.88 | 4.05 | 1.91 | 1.53 |
| CARMAX | 12.42 | 12.93 | 4.27 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 4.09 |

Source: Factset

As a result of improved margins, NOPAT as a percent of sales has consistently increased for the last five years. It was $9.3 \%$ in 2011 and I expect it to be $12.0 \%$ in 2016 E . ORLY has also seen a constant growth with its ROA, which has improved from $9.6 \%$ to $13.1 \%$ for the same five-year period.

## Return on Equity

Figure 29: ROE from 2011 to 2016E

| 5-stage Dupont ROE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 E |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBIT / sales | $15.0 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales / avg assets | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 |
| EBT/EBIT | $94.1 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ |
| Net income (cont) /EBT | $62.2 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| ROA | $9.6 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Avg assets / avg equity | 1.74 | 2.27 | 2.90 | 3.16 | 3.09 |
| ROE | $16.8 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |

ORLY has seen consistent growth on its ROE ratio due to increased profitability. ROA has also improved as asset turnover has been rising, again showing an improvement in efficiency. However, debt to assets and debt to equity have both climbed consistently from 2011 to 2014 . O'Reilly has a stable business model, so the additional leverage is not necessarily alarming. This debt was used, in part, to buy back stock.

Figure 30: ROE from 2011 to 2016E

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 E | 2016 E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,426 | 2,086 | 2,221 | 2,623 | 2,871 | 3,126 |
| \% of assets | 26\% | 36\% | 37\% | 40\% | 40\% | 39\% |
| Long Term Debt | 798 | 1,096 | 1,396 | 1,397 | 1,397 | 1,397 |
| Other Liabilites | 432 | 459 | 484 | 502 | 502 | 502 |
| Total Liabilities | 2,656 | 3,641 | 4,101 | 4,522 | 4,769 | 5,025 |
| \% of assets | 48\% | 63\% | 68\% | 69\% | 66\% | 62\% |
| Total Assets | 5,501 | 5,749 | 6,067 | 6,540 | 7,197 | 8,061 |
| ROE | 17\% | 24\% | 33\% | 39\% | 40\% | 37\% |

## Free Cash Flow

In 2014, O'Reilly realized FCFE of $\$ 709$ million; this is a decrease of about $10 \%$ from $\$ 788$ million in 2013; however, the reduction is due to raising less debt. Since 2010, ORLY has experienced relatively volatile FCF. While FCFF has been up and down, FCFE has been on a downward trend since 2012. Again, this is primarily due to the firm taking in less debt in later years. Also, while NOPAT has steadily grown, net fixed assets have also grown at a great dollar rate.

For 2015 and 2016, I estimate a FCFE of $\$ 480$ and $\$ 388$ million respectively. The main reason for the drop is because I assume no new debt. NOPAT will rise and this is somewhat offset by higher growth in net fixed assets. Some of this excess cash can be used for a share repurchase program. On February 5, 2014, August 13, 2014, and February 4, 2015, ORLY approved an increase to the share repurchase program by an addition $\$ 500$ million. I expect ORLY to continue to repurchase shares during 2015 and 2016 utilizing some of the $\$ 750$ million in funds remaining.

Figure 31: FCF for 2011 to 2016E

| Items | Dec-11 | Dec-12 | Dec-13 | Dec-14 | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Cash Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | \$539,407 | \$608,063 | \$698,487 | \$808,867 | \$920,841 | \$1,027,388 |
| Growth | 24.4\% | 12.7\% | 14.9\% | 15.8\% | 13.8\% | 11.6\% |
| NOWC | 1,192,073 | 652,456 | 627,264 | 456,890 | 562,863 | 835,900 |
| Net fixed assets | 2,882,002 | 3,010,892 | 3,218,941 | 3,459,974 | 3,763,411 | 4,098,354 |
| Total net operating capital | \$4,074,075 | \$3,663,348 | \$3,846,205 | \$3,916,864 | \$4,326,273 | \$4,934,255 |
| Growth | 1.8\% | -10.1\% | 5.0\% | 1.8\% | 10.5\% | 14.1\% |
| - Change in NOWC | $(82,031)$ | $(539,617)$ | $(25,192)$ | $(170,374)$ | 105,973 | 273,038 |
| - Change in NFA | 153,474 | 128,890 | 208,049 | 241,033 | 303,437 | 334,944 |
| FCFF | \$467,964 | \$1,018,790 | \$515,630 | \$738,208 | \$511,432 | \$419,406 |
| Growth |  | 117.7\% | -49.4\% | 43.2\% | -30.7\% | -18.0\% |
| - After-tax interest expense | 31,734 | 22,317 | 28,195 | 30,685 | 30,751 | 30,751 |
| + Net new debt | 438,870 | 298,382 | 300,252 | 432 | - | - |
| FCFE | \$875,100 | \$1,294,855 | \$787,687 | \$707,955 | \$480,680 | \$388,655 |
| Growth |  | 48.0\% | -39.2\% | -10.1\% | -32.1\% | -19.1\% |
| Sources of cash (FCFE) | \$875,100 | \$1,294,855 | \$787,687 | \$707,955 | \$480,680 | \$388,655 |
| Uses of cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other expense | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Share buyback | 875,477 | 478,595 | $(483,675)$ | $(121,141)$ | 233,193 | 188,655 |
| Change in other equity | $(2,970)$ | 843,695 | 1,295,953 | 847,226 | 247,488 | 200,000 |
|  | \$872,507 | \$1,322,290 | \$812,278 | \$726,085 | \$480,681 | \$388,655 |
| Change in other liab | $(2,593)$ | 27,435 | 24,591 | 18,130 | - | - |
| Total | \$875,100 | \$1,294,855 | \$787,687 | \$707,955 | \$480,681 | \$388,655 |

In January 2011, O'Reilly's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program. The program stated the company could repurchase stock from time to time without approval and could terminate the program at any time without prior notice. In total, the program authorized a total amount of $\$ 5.0$ billion. Each additional authorization is effective for 3 years.

Through February 27, 2015, ORLY repurchased \$27.8 million in shares and had a remaining \$751.5 million remaining under its repurchase program. Below is a chart showing the impact of share buybacks on EPS for 2015E and 2016E.

Figure 32: Bull/Bear Repurchase Case

| Repurchases and EPS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Bear | Base | Bull |
| \# Shares Repurchased (Thousands) | 1000 | 1250 | 2000 |
| EPS | $\$ 8.61$ | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 8.69$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | Bear | Base | Bull |
| \# Shares Repurchased (Thousands) | 500 | 870 | 1000 |
| EPS | $\$ 9.70$ | $\$ 9.74$ | $\$ 9.75$ |

Even though share buybacks have had a noticeable impact on EPS in the past, as the stock has become more expensive the effect on EPS has fallen. However, I still believe O'Reilly will use this share buyback program as a way of returning value to shareholders. ORLY has already utilized the program early in 2015 to repurchase 100,000 shares. Again, this will become a weaker driver in the future as it begins to have less of an impact on EPS. Below, in figure 33, the effect the share repurchase program has had on EPS is displayed.

Figure 33: Bull/Bear Repurchase Case

| \$12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \% \\ & 14.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0\% |
| \$6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0\% |
| \$4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0\% |
| \$2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0\% |
| \$- | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 E | 2016 E | 0.0\% |
| - EPS Without Buyback | \$2.99 | \$3.37 | \$4.26 | \$5.69 | \$7.07 | \$8.53 | \$9.66 |  |
| $\square$ EPS With Buyback | \$3.02 | \$3.77 | \$4.83 | \$6.14 | \$7.46 | \$8.63 | \$9.74 |  |
| -\% Growth from Buybacks | 1.1\% | 11.8\% | 13.4\% | 7.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% |  |

Source: IMCP

## Valuation

O'Reilly was valued using multiples and a 3-stage discounting cash flow model. Based on multiples, the stock is slightly expensive versus other firms; however, it has superior fundamentals and strong margin growth so the valuation appears justified. On a DCF basis, the stock is worth $\$ 225$, which is right in line with its current price of $\$ 222$.

## Trading History

Figure 34 shows ORLY shares have been trading above their five year average of $23 x$ LTM P/E of for the past two years. This is probably due to their margin improvement, growing ROE, and the upward movement in the markets multiples. The above average LTM P/E shows a growing consumer willingness to put money into the market. Also, the increase to P/E comes as no surprise since ORLY has generated 25 consecutive quarters of EPS growth in excess of $15 \%$.

Figure 34: LTM and LTM P/E Average


Source: Factset
If ORLY maintains its current LTM P/E to the end of 2015, it should trade at $\$ 246$ by the end of the year. Discounting $\$ 246$ back to today at a $7.9 \%$ cost of equity, yields a price of $\$ 229.66$.

Figure 35: Bull/Bear Repurchase Case

|  | LTM P/E | Target |  | PV |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Current | 28.5 | $\$$ | 246 | $\$$ | 230 |
| 1 Year Average | 26.2 | $\$$ | 226 | $\$$ | 211 |
| 2 Year Average | 24.9 | $\$$ | 215 | $\$$ | 201 |
| 5 Year Average | 22.8 | $\$$ | 197 | $\$$ | 184 |

## Relative Valuation

O'Reilly is currently trading at a price to earnings multiple significantly higher than the average of its peers (TTM P/E of 28.5 vs 22.6). This reflects expectations of high growth ( 15.4 LTG vs 13.4 for the industry) as the company continues to improve margins, SSS, and earnings. A higher than historical average price to sales ratio reflects improving margins (discussed earlier). The firm has the highest $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ in the group, which is a little more than double the average ( 2.29 vs 1.02 ), but this is explained by is superior net margin ( $8.0 \%$ versus $4.3 \%$ ).

Figure 36: Competitor Composite

| Ticker | Company Name | Current Price | Market Value | Price Change |  |  |  |  |  | Earnings Growth |  |  |  |  |  | Beta | LT Debt/ <br> Equity | S\&P Rating | LTM Dividend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 day | 1 Mo | 3 Mo | 6 Mo | 52 Wk | YTD | LTG | NTM | 2014 | 2015E | 2016E | Pst 5yr |  |  |  | Yield | Payout |
| ORLY | O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE INC | \$222.04 | \$22,503 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 18.5 | 26.2 | 50.1 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.0\% | 36.9\% | 11.2\% | 12.9\% | 26.9\% | 0.79 | 65.2\% | B+ | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| LAD | LITHIA MOTORS INC -CLA | \$102.52 | \$2,691 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 21.0 | 32.1 | 35.2 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 10.8\% | 28.1\% | 20.0\% | 10.9\% | 66.6\% |  | 87.9\% | B | 0.64\% | 11.6\% |
| GPC | GENUINE PARTS CO | \$91.47 | \$13,967 | 1.8 | (0.3) | (1.6) | (5.8) | 6.6 | (14.2) | 7.2 | 4.9\% | 10.0\% | 4.6\% | 8.3\% | 13.0\% | 0.95 | 15.7\% | A | 2.51\% | 51.3\% |
| GPI | GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC | \$80.86 | \$1,963 | 2.4 | (5.3) | 0.6 | (5.3) | 10.6 | (9.8) | 12.3 | 82.2\% | 18.3\% | 14.8\% | 11.0\% | 19.2\% |  | 99.4\% | B | 0.85\% | 18.8\% |
| PAG | PENSKE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC | \$48.90 | \$4,413 | 0.2 | (3.8) | 1.1 | 8.1 | 4.7 | (0.3) | 11.2 | 15.5\% | 18.5\% | 12.8\% | 9.8\% | 30.9\% | 1.33 | 81.7\% | A+ | 0.16\% | 3.6\% |
| AAP | ADVANCE AUTO PARTS INC | \$145.34 | \$10,630 | 1.6 | 1.1 | (8.6) | (1.1) | 20.1 | (8.8) | 13.1 | 27.1\% | 21.6\% | 15.6\% | 12.9\% | 18.8\% | 1.33 | 81.7\% | A+ | 0.16\% | 3.6\% |
| MNRO | MONRO MUFFLER BRAKE INC | \$60.72 | \$1,922 | 1.4 | (5.9) | 6.3 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 5.1 |  | 19.2\% | 13.2\% | 22.8\% | 19.0\% | 13.5\% | 1.07 | 179.6\% | B | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| ABG | ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC | \$84.97 | \$2,315 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 14.5 | 21.3 | 36.1 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 40.6\% | 23.8\% | 18.5\% | 11.2\% | 45.5\% | 1.07 | 179.6\% | B | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| KMX | CARMAX INC | \$69.81 | \$14,581 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 12.4 | 24.9 | 56.9 | 4.9 | 15.3 | 11.4\% | 17.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% |  | 0.92 | 279.4\% | B+ | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| Average |  |  |  | 1.7 | (0.0) | 7.1 | 12.7 | 25.5 | 2.5 | 13.4 | 25.2\% | 20.9\% | 14.9\% | 12.2\% | 29.3\% | 1.07 | 118.9\% |  | 0.48\% | 9.9\% |
| Median |  |  |  | 1.8 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 13.6 | 20.1 | 4.9 | 13.3 | 15.5\% | 18.5\% | 14.8\% | 11.2\% | 23.0\% | 1.07 | 87.9\% |  | 0.16\% | 3.6\% |
| SPX | S\&P 500 INDEX | \$2,108 |  | 1.1 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 11.9 | 2.4 |  |  | 7.6\% | 1.6\% | 12.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | P/E |  |  |  | 2014 | 2014 |  |  | EV/ | P/CF | P/CF | Sales | Growth |  | Book |
| Ticker | Website | ROE | P/B | 2013 | 2014 | 2015E | TTM | NTM | 2016E | NPM | P/S | OM | ROIC | EBIT | Current | 5-yr | NTM | STM | Pst 5yr | Equity |
| ORLY | http://www.oreillyauto.com | 36.7\% | 10.50 | 39.2 | 28.6 | 25.7 | 28.5 | 24.8 | 22.8 | 10.8\% | 2.29 | 18.0\% | 23.0\% | 17.0 | 24.1 | 16.6 | 7.3\% | 6.1\% | 8.3\% | \$21.15 |
| LAD | http://www.lithia.com | 19.9\% | 4.00 | 25.7 | 20.1 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 2.5\% | 0.50 | 4.3\% | 13.1\% | 17.0 |  |  | 28.9\% | 10.8\% | 25.2\% | \$25.66 |
| GPC | http://www.genpt.com | 21.4\% | 4.24 | 21.8 | 19.8 | 19.0 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 4.6\% | 0.91 | 7.3\% | 18.6\% | 15.2 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 3.5\% |  | 8.8\% | \$21.56 |
| GPI | http://www.group1auto.com | 14.6\% | 2.01 | 16.3 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 0.9\% | 0.20 | 3.4\% | 4.8\% | 14.0 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 6.4\% | 8.4\% | 17.0\% | \$40.18 |
| PAG | http://www.penskeautomotive.com | 17.9\% | 2.67 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 1.8\% | 0.26 | 3.0\% | 11.0\% | 16.9 |  |  | 9.2\% | 7.9\% | 12.5\% | \$18.31 |
| AAP | http://www.advanceautoparts.com | 27.2\% | 5.30 | 23.7 | 19.5 | 16.8 | 21.7 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 5.0\% | 1.47 | 9.7\% | 15.9\% | 13.8 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 1.4\% | 3.6\% | 12.7\% | \$27.41 |
| MNRO | http://www.monro.com | 13.0\% | 4.19 | 36.4 | 32.1 | 26.2 | 32.7 | 27.4 | 22.0 | 7.0\% | 2.19 | 12.4\% | 9.4\% | 21.8 | 20.1 | 17.8 | 10.8\% | 13.0\% | 11.8\% | \$14.49 |
| ABG | http://www.asburyauto.com | 31.5\% | 6.12 | 24.1 | 19.4 | 16.4 | 21.5 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 1.9\% | 0.38 | 4.9\% | 10.4\% | 13.4 | 12.0 | 8.6 | 8.2\% | 5.6\% | 10.0\% | \$13.89 |
| KMX | http://www.carmax.com | 17.5\% | 4.62 | 31.0 | 26.3 | 23.1 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 20.4 | 4.2\% | 1.02 | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 38.5 | 16.2 |  | 10.6\% | 10.6\% | 13.8\% | \$15.11 |
| Average |  | 22.2\% | 4.85 | 26.2 | 21.6 | 18.8 | 22.6 | 18.5 | 16.7 | 4.3\% | 1.02 | 7.5\% | 12.4\% | 18.6 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 9.6\% | 8.2\% | 13.4\% |  |
| Median |  | 19.9\% | 4.24 | 24.1 | 19.8 | 16.8 | 21.5 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 4.2\% | 0.91 | 4.9\% | 11.0\% | 16.9 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 8.2\% | 8.2\% | 12.5\% |  |
| SPX | S\&P 500 INDEX |  |  | 19.4 | 18.0 | 17.7 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A more thorough analysis of $P / S$ and NPM is shown in figure 37. The calculated $R$-squared indicates that $96 \%$ of the change in $P / S$ is explained through NPM. In comparison to its peers, $O^{\prime}$ Reilly has the highest P/S and NPM, so it appears to be fairly valued versus the broad spectrum of peers. Assuming the relationship holds going forward, the fair value for ORLY is $\$ 238$ for the beginning of 2015.

- Estimated P/S = Estimated 2015 NPM (11.3\%) * 29.225-. $1826=3.11$
- Target Price = Estimated P/S * 2015 SPS (\$76.25) = \$237.89

Figure 37: P/S vs NPM for ORLY Comps


Source: Factset
As final comparison, I also created a composite ranking of several valuation and fundamental metrics. The analysis is shown below. A weighted composite of percentiles of $P / E, P / B$, and $P / S$ was compared to a weighted composite of percentiles for LTG, 2014 ROE and NPM. ORLY appears as the third most expensive stock according to this ranking; however, this is not too surprising as ORLY is one of the strongest stocks among its peers when looking at these metrics. However, since the stock is below the regression line, it appears it has more room to appreciate.

Figure 38: Composite Relative Valuation

| Ticker | Name | Rank | Weight |  | Fundamental Rank |  |  | Valuation Rank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12.5\% | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 12.5\% |
|  |  |  | Fund | Value | LTG | 2014 ROE | 2014 NPM | P/B | P/S | P/E TTM |
| ORLY | O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE INC | 9 | 96\% | 75\% | 70\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| LAD | LITHIA MOTORS INC -CLA | 8 | 28\% | 26\% | 100\% | 29\% | 16\% | 65\% | 14\% | 54\% |
| GPC | GENUINE PARTS CO | 4 | 32\% | 42\% | 0\% | 35\% | 37\% | 69\% | 34\% | 60\% |
| GPI | GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC | 5 | 6\% | 12\% | 43\% | 7\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 64\% |
| PAG | PENSKE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC | 7 | 14\% | 13\% | 34\% | 20\% | 9\% | 44\% | 3\% | 46\% |
| AAP | ADVANCE AUTO PARTS INC | 2 | 45\% | 65\% | 50\% | 60\% | 41\% | 87\% | 61\% | 66\% |
| MNRO | MONRO MUFFLER BRAKE INC | 1 | 52\% | 93\% | 50\% | 0\% | 62\% | 68\% | 95\% | 100\% |
| ABG | ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC | 6 | 24\% | 27\% | 53\% | 78\% | 10\% | 100\% | 9\% | 66\% |
| KMX | CARMAX INC | 3 | 36\% | 49\% | 69\% | 19\% | 33\% | 76\% | 39\% | 78\% |

Source: FactSet
Figure 39: Composite Relative Valuation


## Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

A three stage discounted cash flow model was also used to value O'Reilly.

For the purpose of this analysis, the company's cost of equity was calculated to be $7.86 \%$ using the Capital Asset Pricing Model. The underlying assumptions used in calculating this rate are as follows:

- The risk free rate, as represented by the ten year Treasury bond yield, is 2.10.
- An adjusted Beta of 0.9 was utilized to reflect ORLY as less risky than the market.
- A long term market rate of return of $8.5 \%$ was assumed which, despite the market historical return of $10 \%$, is expecting a slowdown in coming years due to the superior outperformance of the market for the last 6 years.

Given the above assumptions, the cost of equity is $7.86 \%(2.10+0.90(8.5-2.10))$.
Stage One - The model's first stage simply discounts fiscal years 2015 and 2016 free cash flow to equity (FCFE). These per share cash flows are forecasted to be $\$ 5.70$ and $\$ 6.46$, respectively. Discounting these cash flows, using the cost of equity calculated above, results in a value of \$10.84 per share. Thus, stage one of this discounted cash flow analysis contributes $\$ 10.84$ to value.

Stage Two - Stage two of the model focuses on fiscal years 2017 to 2021. During this period, FCFE is assumed to grow at an annual rate of $11 \%$. The resulting cash flows are then discounted using the company's $7.86 \%$ cost of equity.

Figure 40: Discounted FCFE for ORLY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FCFE | $\$ 5.70$ | $\$ 6.46$ | $\$ 8.07$ | $\$ 8.90$ | $\$ 9.53$ | $\$ 10.15$ | $\$ 10.74$ |
| Discounted FCFE | $\$ 5.29$ | $\$ 5.55$ | $\$ 6.43$ | $\$ 6.57$ | $\$ 6.53$ | $\$ 6.45$ | $\$ 6.33$ |

Stage Three - For the terminal value of the company, you may recall, fiscal year 2015 and 2016 earnings per share are forecasted to be $\$ 8.63$ and $\$ 9.74$, respectively. It was then assumed that earnings per share would grow, from these forecasted numbers, at an average rate of $9.8 \%$ for the next five years (figure 41). I am assuming growth will slow as the firm continues to mature.

Figure 41: EPS Estimates

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPS | $\$ 8.63$ | $\$ 9.74$ | $\$ 10.80$ | $\$ 11.81$ | $\$ 12.63$ | $\$ 13.46$ | $\$ 14.26$ |
| Growth | $15.7 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |

Stage three of the model also requires an assumption regarding the company's terminal price-toearnings ratio. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that as the company grows larger and matures, its price-to-earnings ratio will converge near to the historical average of the S\&P 500. Therefore, a price-to-earnings ratio of 21 is assumed at the end of O'Reilly's terminal year. While this may seem high at the end of 2021, O'Reilly has been trading at an average PE of over 21 for the last 5 years and is still experiencing solid growth. A lower multiple may be better to calculate fair value, but the stock will likely trade as this value since the market will be slow to price in ORLY's slowing growth.

Given the assumed terminal earnings per share of $\$ 14.26$ and a price to earnings ratio of 21 , a terminal value of $\$ 308.19$ per share is calculated. Using the $7.86 \%$ cost of equity, this number can be discounted to a present value of $\$ 181.47$

Total Present Value - Given the above assumptions and utilizing a three stage discounted cash flow model, an intrinsic value of $\$ 224.61$ per share is calculated ( $\$ 10.84+\$ 32.31+\$ 181.47$ ). Given O'Reilly's current price of $\$ 222$, this model indicates that the stock is fairly valued.

Figure 42: Terminal P/E Value Model


Source: FactSet
Sensitivity Analysis -Since it could be argued that the assumed $11.0 \%$ second stage growth rate and/or the assumed terminal P/E ratio of 21 are overly conservative or aggressive, several scenarios were considered. For example, if the market return is adjusted to $10 \%$, the new target price based on the price to earnings multiple would be $\$ 208$.

Also, variations in the given beta can have a large impact on the valuation. For example, a beta such as O'Reilly's five year average beta of 0.55 gives a new valuation of $\$ 262$. However, the assigned beta of 0.9 seems more reasonable because it is line with the industry average and reflects that O'Reilly is less risky than the market.

## Business Risks

I believe that O'Reilly is currently trading at about fair value but the company will continue to improve and grow stock value; the following are risks to my bullish outlook on O'Reilly.

1) Deteriorating Economic Conditions
2) Competitive Market Place
3) Regional and National Weather
4) Reaching Business Efficiency
5) Decelerating Growth

## Deteriorating Economic Factors

O'Reilly benefits from an increase in consumer spending as a result of positive economic conditions.
An increase in consumer spending leads to higher ticket sales. Consumers are willing to spend more, therefor; they are more willing to buy higher end parts which have a higher profit margin for ORLY. If the economy begins to slump, consumer may resort to purchasing cheaper products or none at all.

## Competitive Market Place

The automotive aftermarket business is highly competitive. In order for O'Reilly to remain competitive it must constantly employ new capital and resources to avoid losing presence in the market.

## Regional and National Weather

O'Reilly is subject to negative impacts due to unusual weather conditions or natural disasters. Long periods of harsh weather conditions deters customers from visiting stores for replacement parts. Long periods of harsh weather conditions could cause a temporary reduction in sales. However, extreme weather conditions can also drive excess need for replacement parts when weather improves.

## Reach Business Efficiency

For the last five years, ORLY has greatly improved profitability by reducing costs. However, at some point the room for margin improvement or cost reduction will reach an efficient frontier where further improvements are not possible.

## Decelerated Growth

O'Reilly has had 25 consecutive quarters of positive EPS growth of $15 \%$ or better. It is unrealistic to expect ORLY to be able to continue this trend for many years to come. SSS have also increased at an average rate of $5.5 \%$ over the last five years. It will be difficult for ORLY to maintain such a high SSS rate into the future.

Appendix 1: Comps

| Ticker | Company Name | Current Market <br> Price Value |  | Price Change |  |  |  |  |  | Earnings Growth |  |  |  |  |  | Beta | LT Debt/ Equity | S\&P <br> Rating | LTM Dividend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 day | 1 Mo | 3 Mo | 6 Mo | 52 Wk | YTD | LTG | NTM | 2014 | 2015E | 2016E | Pst 5yr |  |  |  | Yield | Payout |
| ORLY | O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE INC | \$222.04 | \$22,503 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 18.5 | 26.2 | 50.1 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.0\% | 36.9\% | 11.2\% | 12.9\% | 26.9\% | 0.79 | 65.2\% | B+ | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| LAD | LITHIA MOTORS INC -CLA | \$102.52 | \$2,691 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 21.0 | 32.1 | 35.2 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 10.8\% | 28.1\% | 20.0\% | 10.9\% | 66.6\% |  | 87.9\% | B | 0.64\% | 11.6\% |
| GPC | GENUINE PARTS CO | \$91.47 | \$13,967 | 1.8 | (0.3) | (1.6) | (5.8) | 6.6 | (14.2) | 7.2 | 4.9\% | 10.0\% | 4.6\% | 8.3\% | 13.0\% | 0.95 | 15.7\% | A | 2.51\% | 51.3\% |
| GPI | GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC | \$80.86 | \$1,963 | 2.4 | (5.3) | 0.6 | (5.3) | 10.6 | (9.8) | 12.3 | 82.2\% | 18.3\% | 14.8\% | 11.0\% | 19.2\% |  | 99.4\% | B | 0.85\% | 18.8\% |
| PAG | PENSKE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC | \$48.90 | \$4,413 | 0.2 | (3.8) | 1.1 | 8.1 | 4.7 | (0.3) | 11.2 | 15.5\% | 18.5\% | 12.8\% | 9.8\% | 30.9\% | 1.33 | 81.7\% | A+ | 0.16\% | 3.6\% |
| AAP | ADVANCE AUTO PARTS INC | \$145.34 | \$10,630 | 1.6 | 1.1 | (8.6) | (1.1) | 20.1 | (8.8) | 13.1 | 27.1\% | 21.6\% | 15.6\% | 12.9\% | 18.8\% | 1.33 | 81.7\% | A+ | 0.16\% | 3.6\% |
| MNRO | MONRO MUFFLER BRAKE INC | \$60.72 | \$1,922 | 1.4 | (5.9) | 6.3 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 5.1 |  | 19.2\% | 13.2\% | 22.8\% | 19.0\% | 13.5\% | 1.07 | 179.6\% | B | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| ABG | ASBURY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC | \$84.97 | \$2,315 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 14.5 | 21.3 | 36.1 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 40.6\% | 23.8\% | 18.5\% | 11.2\% | 45.5\% | 1.07 | 179.6\% | B | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| KMX | CARMAX INC | \$69.81 | \$14,581 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 12.4 | 24.9 | 56.9 | 4.9 | 15.3 | 11.4\% | 17.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% |  | 0.92 | 279.4\% | B+ | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
| Average |  |  |  | 1.7 | (0.0) | 7.1 | 12.7 | 25.5 | 2.5 | 13.4 | 25.2\% | 20.9\% | 14.9\% | 12.2\% | 29.3\% | 1.07 | 118.9\% |  | 0.48\% | 9.9\% |
| Median |  |  |  | 1.8 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 13.6 | 20.1 | 4.9 | 13.3 | 15.5\% | 18.5\% | 14.8\% | 11.2\% | 23.0\% | 1.07 | 87.9\% |  | 0.16\% | 3.6\% |
| SPX | S\&P 500 INDEX | \$2,108 |  | 1.1 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 11.9 | 2.4 |  |  | 7.6\% | 1.6\% | 12.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | P/E |  |  |  | 2014 | 2014 |  |  | EV/ | P/CF | P/CF | Sales | Growth |  | Book |
| Ticker | Website | ROE | P/B | 2013 | 2014 | 2015E | тіМ | NTM | 2016E | NPM | P/S | OM | ROIC | EBIT | Current | 5-yr | NTM | STM | Pst 5yr | Equity |
| ORLY | http://www.oreillyauto.com | 36.7\% | 10.50 | 39.2 | 28.6 | 25.7 | 28.5 | 24.8 | 22.8 | 10.8\% | 2.29 | 18.0\% | 23.0\% | 17.0 | 24.1 | 16.6 | 7.3\% | 6.1\% | 8.3\% | \$21.15 |
| LAD | http://www.lithia.com | 19.9\% | 4.00 | 25.7 | 20.1 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 2.5\% | 0.50 | 4.3\% | 13.1\% | 17.0 |  |  | 28.9\% | 10.8\% | 25.2\% | \$25.66 |
| GPC | http://www.genpt.com | 21.4\% | 4.24 | 21.8 | 19.8 | 19.0 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 4.6\% | 0.91 | 7.3\% | 18.6\% | 15.2 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 3.5\% |  | 8.8\% | \$21.56 |
| GPI | http://www.group1auto.com | 14.6\% | 2.01 | 16.3 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 0.9\% | 0.20 | 3.4\% | 4.8\% | 14.0 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 6.4\% | 8.4\% | 17.0\% | \$40.18 |
| PAG | http://www.penskeautomotive.com | 17.9\% | 2.67 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 1.8\% | 0.26 | 3.0\% | 11.0\% | 16.9 |  |  | 9.2\% | 7.9\% | 12.5\% | \$18.31 |
| AAP | http://www.advanceautoparts.com | 27.2\% | 5.30 | 23.7 | 19.5 | 16.8 | 21.7 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 5.0\% | 1.47 | 9.7\% | 15.9\% | 13.8 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 1.4\% | 3.6\% | 12.7\% | \$27.41 |
| MNRO | http://www.monro.com | 13.0\% | 4.19 | 36.4 | 32.1 | 26.2 | 32.7 | 27.4 | 22.0 | 7.0\% | 2.19 | 12.4\% | 9.4\% | 21.8 | 20.1 | 17.8 | 10.8\% | 13.0\% | 11.8\% | \$14.49 |
| ABG | http://www.asburyauto.com | 31.5\% | 6.12 | 24.1 | 19.4 | 16.4 | 21.5 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 1.9\% | 0.38 | 4.9\% | 10.4\% | 13.4 | 12.0 | 8.6 | 8.2\% | 5.6\% | 10.0\% | \$13.89 |
| KMX | http://www.carmax.com | 17.5\% | 4.62 | 31.0 | 26.3 | 23.1 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 20.4 | 4.2\% | 1.02 | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 38.5 | 16.2 |  | 10.6\% | 10.6\% | 13.8\% | \$15.11 |
| Average |  | 22.2\% | 4.85 | 26.2 | 21.6 | 18.8 | 22.6 | 18.5 | 16.7 | 4.3\% | 1.02 | 7.5\% | 12.4\% | 18.6 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 9.6\% | 8.2\% | 13.4\% |  |
| Median |  | 19.9\% | 4.24 | 24.1 | 19.8 | 16.8 | 21.5 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 4.2\% | 0.91 | 4.9\% | 11.0\% | 16.9 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 8.2\% | 8.2\% | 12.5\% |  |
| SPX | S\&P 500 INDEX |  |  | 19.4 | 18.0 | 17.7 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 2: Consolidated Balance Sheet 2010-2016E

| Balance Sheet | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 E |  | 2016 E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 29,721 | \$ | 361,552 | \$ | 248,128 | \$ | 231,318 | \$ | 250,560 | \$ | 358,359 | \$ | 630,327 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 183,652 |  | 203,753 |  | 181,174 |  | 198,123 |  | 213,211 |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory |  | 2,023,488 |  | 1,985,748 |  | 2,276,331 |  | 2,375,047 |  | 2,554,789 |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid expenses and other |  | 33,877 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Future income tax benefits |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Notes Receivable, Less Current |  | 18,047 |  | 10,889 |  | 5,347 |  | 13,066 |  | 13,349 |  |  |  |  |
| Other Current Assets |  | 30,514 |  | 56,557 |  | 27,315 |  | 30,713 |  | 48,418 |  |  |  |  |
| Operating assets ex cash |  | 2,289,578 |  | 2,256,947 |  | 2,490,167 |  | 2,616,949 |  | 2,829,767 |  | 3,075,422 |  | 3,332,003 |
| Operating assets |  | 2,319,299 |  | 2,618,499 |  | 2,738,295 |  | 2,848,267 |  | 3,080,327 |  | 3,433,780 |  | 3,962,330 |
| Accounts payable |  | 895,736 |  | 1,279,294 |  | 1,929,112 |  | 2,056,521 |  | 2,417,167 |  |  |  |  |
| Employee compensation payable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued liabilities |  | 45,542 |  | 41,512 |  | 42,417 |  | 41,262 |  | 62,946 |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued payroll taxes and insurance |  | 103,917 |  | 105,620 |  | 114,310 |  | 123,220 |  | 143,324 |  |  |  |  |
| Operating liabilities |  | 1,045,195 |  | 1,426,426 |  | 2,085,839 |  | 2,221,003 |  | 2,623,437 |  | 2,870,918 |  | 3,126,430 |
| NOWC |  | 1,274,104 |  | 1,192,073 |  | 652,456 |  | 627,264 |  | 456,890 |  | 562,863 |  | 835,900 |
| NOWC ex cash (NWC) |  | 1,244,383 |  | 830,521 |  | 404,328 |  | 395,946 |  | 206,330 |  | 204,504 |  | 205,573 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodwill |  | 743,975 |  | 743,907 |  | 758,410 |  | 756,225 |  | 756,384 |  |  |  |  |
| Intangible assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PP\&E |  | 2,705,434 |  | 3,026,996 |  | 3,269,570 |  | 3,606,837 |  | 3,993,509 |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets, net |  | 54,458 |  | 44,328 |  | 40,892 |  | 37,613 |  | 45,030 |  |  |  |  |
| FA, gross |  | 3,503,867 |  | 3,815,231 |  | 4,068,872 |  | 4,400,675 |  | 4,794,923 |  | 5,208,959 |  | 5,672,556 |
| Growth |  |  |  | 8.9\% |  | 6.6\% |  | 8.2\% |  | 9.0\% |  | 8.6\% |  | 8.9\% |
| Accum. D\&A |  | $(775,339)$ |  | $(933,229)$ |  | $(1,057,980)$ |  | $(1,181,734)$ |  | $(1,334,949)$ |  | $(1,445,548)$ |  | $(1,574,202)$ |
| D\&A (adj for asset chg) |  |  |  | 157,890 |  | 124,751 |  | 123,754 |  | 153,215 |  | 110,599 |  | 128,654 |
| Life |  |  |  | 23 |  | 32 |  | 34 |  | 30 |  | 45 |  | 42 |
| Net intangible assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net PP\&E |  | 1,930,095 |  | 2,093,767 |  | 2,211,590 |  | 2,425,103 |  | 2,658,560 |  |  |  |  |
| NFA |  | 2,728,528 |  | 2,882,002 |  | 3,010,892 |  | 3,218,941 |  | 3,459,974 |  | 3,763,411 |  | 4,098,354 |
| Growth |  |  |  | 5.6\% |  | 4.5\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 7.5\% |  | 8.8\% |  | 8.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invested capital |  | 4,002,632 |  | 4,074,075 |  | 3,663,348 |  | 3,846,205 |  | 3,916,864 |  | 4,326,273 |  | 4,934,255 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketable securities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total assets | \$ | 5,047,827 | \$ | 5,500,501 | \$ | 5,749,187 | \$ | 6,067,208 | \$ | 6,540,301 | \$ | 7,197,191 | \$ | 8,060,684 |
| Debt |  | 358,704 |  | 797,574 |  | 1,095,956 |  | 1,396,208 |  | 1,396,640 |  | 1,396,640 |  | 1,396,640 |
| Other liabilities |  | 434,243 |  | 431,650 |  | 459,085 |  | 483,676 |  | 501,806 |  | 501,806 |  | 501,806 |
| Debt/equity securities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock |  | 1,143,159 |  | 1,244,314 |  | 1,234,374 |  | 1,205,790 |  | 1,260,387 |  | 1,289,694 |  | 1,301,039 |
| Retained earnings |  | 2,069,496 |  | 2,577,169 |  | 2,319,220 |  | 1,693,559 |  | 1,624,515 |  | 2,267,117 |  | 3,063,754 |
| Other |  | $(2,970)$ |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Net |  | 3,209,685 |  | 3,821,483 |  | 3,553,594 |  | 2,899,349 |  | 2,884,902 |  | 3,556,811 |  | 4,364,793 |
| Treasury stock |  | - |  | 976,632 |  | 1,445,287 |  | 933,028 |  | 866,484 |  | 1,128,984 |  | 1,328,984 |
| Total |  | 3,209,685 |  | 2,844,851 |  | 2,108,307 |  | 1,966,321 |  | 2,018,418 |  | 2,427,827 |  | 3,035,809 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total supplied capital |  | 4,002,632 |  | 4,074,075 |  | 3,663,348 |  | 3,846,205 |  | 3,916,864 |  | 4,326,273 |  | 4,934,255 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ | 5,047,827 | \$ | 5,500,501 | \$ | 5,749,187 | \$ | 6,067,208 | \$ | 6,540,301 | \$ | 7,197,191 | \$ | 8,060,684 |

Appendix 3: Income Statement 2010-2016E


Appendix 4: Sales 2010-2016E

| Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec-10 | Dec-11 | Dec-12 | Dec-13 | Dec-14 | Dec-15 | Dec-16 |
| Sales | 5,397,525 | 5,788,816 | 6,182,184 | 6,649,237 | 7,216,081 | 7,865,528 | 8,565,560 |
| Growth |  | 7.2\% | 6.8\% | 7.6\% | 8.5\% | 9.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Stores BOY | 3421 | 3570 | 3740 | 3976 | 4166 | 4366 | 4,571 |
| Openings | 156 | 183 | 236 | 195 | 207 | 210 | 217 |
| Closures | (7) | (13) | 0 | (5) | (7) | (5) | (7) |
| Total Stores EOY | 3570 | 3740 | 3976 | 4166 | 4366 | 4571 | 4,781 |
| Growth |  | 4.8\% | 6.3\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.7\% | 4.6\% |
| Total sales | 5,397,525 | 5,788,816 | 6,182,184 | 6,649,237 | 7,216,081 | 7,865,528 | 8,565,560 |
| Sales Per Store | 1512 | 1,599.03 | 1,626.15 | 1,639 | 1,711 | 1780 | 1852 |
| Growth |  | 5.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% |
| New Comparable Store Sales |  | 311,000 | 293,000 | 333,000 | 479,000 | 554,905 | 598,342 |
| Total comparable store sales |  | 5,708,525 | 6,081,816 | 6,515,184 | 7,128,237 | 7,770,986 | 8,463,869.59 |
| New store sales |  | 80,291 | 100,368 | 134,053 | 87,844 | 94,542 | 101,690 |
| Sales Per Store |  | 945 | 851 | 1,411 | 878 | 922 | 968 |
| Growth |  |  | -10.0\% | 65.9\% | -37.7\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| Increase comp store sales (opened at least one year) |  | 241,000 | 215,000 | 259,000 | 389,000 | 454,905 | 488,342 |
| Increase comp store sales (opened last year) |  | 70,000 | 78,000 | 74,000 | 90,000 | 100,000 | 110,000 |
| Comparable store sales (opened at least one year) |  | 6,029,816 | 5,612,525 | 6,047,816 | 6,571,184 | 7,104,142 | 7,704,423 |
| Comparable stores (opened at least one year) |  |  | 3570 | 3740 | 3976 | 4166 | 4366 |
| Growth |  |  |  | 4.8\% | 6.3\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% |
| Sales Per Store |  |  | 1,572.14 | 1,617.06 | 1,653 | 1,705 | 1,765 |
| Growth(annual) |  |  |  | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.5\% |
| Growth in SSS |  |  | 3.98\% | 4.47\% | 6.29\% | 6.84\% | 6.77\% |

Appendix 5: Cash Flow 2010-2016E

| Cash Flow Statement | Note: This is not the actual cash flow statement. It is created using the indirect method and |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | various simplifying assumptions. |

Appendix 6: Ratios 2010 to 2016E

| Ratios | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 E | 2016 E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross margin | 48.6\% | 49.0\% | 50.1\% | 50.7\% | 51.4\% | 52.1\% | 52.5\% |
| Operating (EBIT) margin | 13.2\% | 15.0\% | 15.8\% | 16.6\% | 17.6\% | 18.4\% | 18.8\% |
| Net profit margin | 7.8\% | 8.8\% | 9.5\% | 10.1\% | 10.8\% | 11.3\% | 11.6\% |
| Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FA (gross) turnover |  | 1.58 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 |
| Total asset turnover |  | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.12 |
| Inventory Turnover |  | 1.47 | 1.45 | 1.41 | 1.42 |  |  |
| Inventory processing period |  | 247.91 | 252.15 | 258.79 | 256.53 |  |  |
| Accounts Receivables Turnover |  | 29.89 | 32.12 | 35.06 | 35.09 |  |  |
| Receivables collection period |  | 12.21 | 11.36 | 10.41 | 10.40 |  |  |
| Operating Cycle |  | 260.12 | 263.52 | 269.20 | 266.93 |  |  |
| Accounts Payables Turnover |  | 2.71 | 1.92 | 1.65 | 1.57 |  |  |
| Payable payment period |  | 134.49 | 189.81 | 221.75 | 232.79 |  |  |
| Cash Conversion Cycle |  | 125.63 | 73.70 | 47.45 | 34.14 |  |  |
| Liquidity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op asset / op liab | 2.22 | 1.84 | 1.31 | 1.28 | 1.17 | 1.20 | 1.27 |
| NOWC Percent of sales |  | 21.3\% | 14.9\% | 9.6\% | 7.5\% | 6.5\% | 8.2\% |
| Solvency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt to assets | 7.1\% | 14.5\% | 19.1\% | 23.0\% | 21.4\% | 19.4\% | 17.3\% |
| Debt to equity | 11.2\% | 28.0\% | 52.0\% | 71.0\% | 69.2\% | 57.5\% | 46.0\% |
| Other liab to assets | 8.6\% | 7.8\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 7.7\% | 7.0\% | 6.2\% |
| Total debt to assets | 15.7\% | 22.3\% | 27.0\% | 31.0\% | 29.0\% | 26.4\% | 23.6\% |
| Total liabilities to assets | 36.4\% | 48.3\% | 63.3\% | 67.6\% | 69.1\% | 66.3\% | 62.3\% |
| Debt to EBIT | 0.50 | 0.92 | 1.12 | 1.27 | 1.10 | 0.97 | 0.87 |
| EBIT/interest | 30.46 | 17.00 | 27.25 | 24.77 | 26.36 | 29.94 | 33.41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT to sales |  | 9.3\% | 9.8\% | 10.5\% | 11.2\% | 11.7\% | 12.0\% |
| Sales to IC |  | 1.43 | 1.60 | 1.77 | 1.86 | 1.91 | 1.85 |
| Total |  | 13.4\% | 15.7\% | 18.6\% | 20.8\% | 22.3\% | 22.2\% |
| Total using EOY IC | 10.8\% | 13.2\% | 16.6\% | 18.2\% | 20.7\% | 21.3\% | 20.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBIT / sales |  | 15.0\% | 15.8\% | 16.6\% | 17.6\% | 18.4\% | 18.8\% |
| Sales / avg assets |  | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.12 |
| EBT/EBIT |  | 94.1\% | 96.3\% | 96.0\% | 96.2\% | 96.7\% | 97.0\% |
| Net income (cont) /EBT |  | 62.2\% | 62.2\% | 63.3\% | 63.7\% | 63.8\% | 63.8\% |
| ROA |  | 9.6\% | 10.4\% | 11.3\% | 12.3\% | 13.0\% | 13.1\% |
| Avg assets / avg equity |  | 1.74 | 2.27 | 2.90 | 3.16 | 3.09 | 2.79 |
| ROE |  | 16.8\% | 23.7\% | 32.9\% | 39.1\% | 40.0\% | 36.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (cont) / sales |  | 8.8\% | 9.5\% | 10.1\% | 10.8\% | 11.3\% | 11.6\% |
| Sales / avg assets |  | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.12 |
| ROA |  | 9.6\% | 10.4\% | 11.3\% | 12.3\% | 13.0\% | 13.1\% |
| Avg assets / avg equity |  | 1.74 | 2.27 | 2.90 | 3.16 | 3.09 | 2.79 |
| ROE |  | 16.8\% | 23.7\% | 32.9\% | 39.1\% | 40.0\% | 36.5\% |
| ROE using EOY equity |  | 17.8\% | 27.8\% | 34.1\% | 38.6\% | 36.7\% | 32.8\% |

## Appendix 7: SWOT Analysis



## Appendix 8: Porters Five Forces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Intensity of } \\
& \text { Competition }
\end{aligned}
$$

Threat of New Entrants

## Bargaining Power of Buyers

## Bargaining Power of Suppliers

## Threat of Substitute Products

[^4]
## - Moderate

- Competition is intense; however ORLY does not stand much of a threat from new entrants
- Low
- O'Reilly's customers can shop around for parts but don't have much leverage on pricing power


## - Moderate/Low

- Many of O'Reilly's suppliers also supply other auto parts stores so the pricing is competitive

[^5]
[^0]:    Contact: Jason Laitsch
    Email: jlaitsch@uwm.edu
    Phone: 262-501-0819

[^1]:    Source: Bloomberg and DOT

[^2]:    Source: Bloomberg and DOT

[^3]:    Source: Bloomberg and FactSet

[^4]:    - High
    - The automative parts industry is highly competitive often leading to difficulty in pricing flexibility

[^5]:    - High
    - There are many options of places to shop for auto parts so O'Reilly doesn't have much of an advantage with their products over competitors

