# THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE College of Engineering and Applied Science 

## FACULTY MEETING

## Friday, October 4, 2019 10:30 a.m. EMS E180

## MINUTES

The meeting was called to order at 10:30 a.m. with Dean Brett Peters presiding.
PRESENT: Professors Abu-Zahra, Amano, Armstrong, Avdeev, Boyland, Bravo, Church, Cuzner, Dhingra, D'Souza, Dumitrescu, El-Hajjar, Ghorbanpoor, Goyal, Graettinger, Hanson, Helwany, Hosseini, Hu, Jang, Kouklin, Law, Liao, Liu, Mali, Misra, Munson, Niu, Nosonovsky, Otieno, Patrick, Petering, Peters, Pillai, Priyatha, Qu, A.Rahman, M.Rahman, Ranji, Reisel, Rohatgi, Salowitz, Seifoddini, Sobolev, Stern, Sung, Suzuki, L.Wang, W.Wang, Y.Wang, Xu, D.Yu, J.Yu, Z.Yu, Zhang, J.Zhao, T.Zhao

EXCUSED: Professors Cheng, McRoy, Qin, Titi, Venugopalan
GUESTS: S. Aylesworth, J. Broskowski, J. Goodman, J. Opitz

## I. DEAN UPDATE- See Attachment 1

During the last year, Professors Qin, Stern, and Titi were promoted to professor. Additionally, several faculty were recognized for receiving positive post-tenure reviews.

The university's primary points of emphasis continue to be Student Success, Research Excellence, and Community Engagement.

CEAS needs to give thought to how to move forward to become a Top-100 Engineering college. Big Goals of $\$ 25$ million in annual research expenditures and producing 525 BS graduates from CEAS each year (with a $70+\%$-year graduation rate) were proposed and discussion on these goals is welcome.

To achieve these goals, considerable thought and planning on the curricula in the college is needed, as well as new plans on how to grow the size of the faculty and increase collaboration between faculty to generate more external research funding.

Increasing the challenges associated with reaching these goals are budget realities, including an expected $5 \%$ decrease in UWM's budget in FY21, the need of CEAS to cover $30 \%$ of the expected pay increases, and the implementation of the new budget model which currently requires CEAS to be supported by other units on campus through budget adjustments. Furthermore, the ability to carry forward cost savings from one year for use in future years has mostly ended. More positive budget impacts are likely to be seen with the UW System Freshwater Collaboration, the outcomes-based funding approved by the legislature's JFC , and $\$ 500,000$ in funds to plan for a new engineering building.

## II. INTRODUCTIONS

## A. Faculty

1. Andrew Graettinger, Associate Dean for Research, Professor, CEE
2. Priyatha Premnath, Assistant Professor, Biomedical Engineering
3. Jacob Rammer, Assistant Professor, Biomedical Engineering

## B. Staff

1. Steven Anderson, Academic Support and Retention Coordinator
2. Michelle Boehm, Marketing Specialist and Event Coordinator

## III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Development Update - Jean Opitz

The UWM Comprehensive Campaign has ended, with a total of $\$ 251.5 \mathrm{M}$ raised from 21,000 donors.

In CEAS, $\$ 16.5 \mathrm{M}$ was raised for CEAS and $\$ 3.85 \mathrm{M}$ for the Connected Systems Institute.
There were 1156 donors to CEAS, with $83 \%$ of the total gifts being from alumni and other individuals. Regarding the value of the gifts, $43 \%$ of the amount donated was from corporations and $31 \%$ from alumni.

In CEAS, roughly $50 \%$ of the funds were for student success, and $50 \%$ for research.
B. UITS - Multi-factor authentication - John Goodman

Faculty and staff should enroll in multi-factor authentication by October 31.
C. Accessibility Resource Center (ARC) - Jonathan Broskowski and Shannon Aylesworth

Federal law requires that universities provide reasonable accommodations to students with physical or mental impairments that limit their ability to engage in major life activities.

Accommodations provided include altering the environment, curriculum formatting, and providing equipment and assisting technologies.

Students need to self-identify with the ARC, and then provide documentation of a diagnosis, have an interactive meeting with a counselor, and then need to have an interactive meeting between the student and the instructor.

Generally, instructors will see accommodations such as additional time for exams and note takers.

Accessibility training is available for instructors through the ARC website.
D. New CEAS Millionaires Club Members

Profs. Lingfeng Wang and Robert Cuzner were welcomed into the Millionaires Club.
E. CEAS Award Recipients

Outstanding Teaching Award for the 2018-2019 Academic Year: Iftekharuddin Khan

Outstanding Faculty Research Award for the 2018-2019 Academic Year: Junjie Niu
IV. INFORMAL REPORTS - See Attachment 2
V. DETERMINATION OF THE PRESENCE OF A QUORUM FOR FACULTY MEETING

As 56 voting faculty members were present, a quorum was present.

## VI. AUTOMATIC CONSENT BUSINESS

A. Minutes of the April 26, 2019 Meeting
B. New Courses - See Attachment 3
C. Computer Engineering Program Change - See Attachment 4

## VII. NEW BUSINESS

A. Integrated BS/MS Program Change - See Attachment 5

CEAS FAC.DOC.
NO. 261

Prof. Suzuki moved the Program Change for the Integrated BS/MS Program.
Prof. J. Zhao moved to amend the motion to allow up to 15 double-counted Credits, and that "The remainder (3-15 credits) may be backward-counted.

The motion to amend was seconded, and failed on a vote of 14-18.
The original motion passed on a voice vote.
B. Doctoral Program Committee Change - See Attachment 6

Prof. Suzuki moved to accept the proposed doctoral program committee change.

The motion passed on a voice vote.

CEAS FAC.DOC.
NO. 262
VIII. GENERAL DISCUSSION - None

## X. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting Adjourned at 12:11 p.m.

John R. Reisel, Secretary CEAS Faculty
JRR
Attachments

## Faculty and Staff Meeting

October 2019

## Promotions - Congratulations!

Xiao Qin - Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering

Nathaniel Stern - Professor, Mechanical Engineering and Art \& Design (PSOA)

Hani Titi - Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering

## Post-tenure Review - Congratulations!

Brian Armstrong, EE
Hector Bravo, CEE
Amol Mali, CS
Susan McRoy, CS
Dev Misra, EE (\& BME)
Mahsa Ranji, EE
Hamid Seifoddini, IME
Tian Zhao, CS

## UWM Strategic Directions

- Diversity, Equity and Inclusion
- Outstanding Learning Environment
- Research Excellence
- Community Engagement and Talent Pipeline

Sustainable Future for the Campus

- Enrollment Management Actions

Fiscal Actions
Brand, Visibility and Image

## College Strategic Goals

1. Make CEAS an outstanding environment in which to learn and to work
2. Create a dynamic environment and infrastructure to enhance innovative research
3. Anticipate and respond to market demands in order to produce graduates who are prepared to address and adapt to the changing needs of the marketplace and society
4. Build partnerships with stakeholders and enhance awareness of CEAS strengths and accomplishments

## Move into the Top 100 Colleges of Engineering

## Good to Great (Collins)

## Big Hairy Audacious Goals (BHAG)

\$25 Million in Research Expenditures

- 525 BS graduates/year with 70\% six-year graduation rate

Other things are important complements, but these are the driving goals

- Genius of the AND - embrace both extremes of multiple dimensions at the same time
- Confront the Brutal Facts - be realistic but keep the faith
- Hedgehog Concept - Areas of Focus
- Preserve the Core/Stimulate Progress - remain true to fundamentals; innovate to drive growth
- Fire Bullets then Cannonballs - focused experiments first then go all in

Flywheel Effect - relentless action eventually yields incredible results

## What do you want?

Top 100 College
Elevated research activity (\$25M in funding)
Strong, vibrant program (525 successful graduates)

## These have to be your goals; you have to want them; and you have to believe we can achieve them!

College of Engineering \& Applied Science

## How do we get there?

We have to think and act very differently
Bigger and bolder
Fundamentally redesign our activities
Do more with less - not just by working harder but by changing what we do and how we do it

For example, produce more graduates while teaching fewer courses so faculty can spend more time on research

Pareto analysis - what is really critical in our curriculum? Where is the $25 \%$ that is nice but not absolutely necessary?

Not lower standards but streamline
Provide students with fundamentals and set them up for life-long learning Where are there inefficiencies/redundancies within a major and across majors?

Where is $80 \%$ sufficient?

## Academic Plan

## Research Leads the Way

Research growth and enhancement is the path to fulfilling the strategic goal of being top 100 College of Engineering

- Grow externally funded research
- Grow visible, well-regarded, impactful research
- Grow prestigious, scholarly publications
- Enhance visibility of our faculty
- Grow and enhance PhD program


## Research Activities

Build larger research initiatives in key areas
Departments contemplating research clusters as a means to foster research focus and leverage
Help each faculty member reach next level of activity

- Reinvestment and stimulus program
- Expand avenues to explore collaborative opportunities
- Faculty workload allocation to support increased research activity
- On-going discussions in departments about flexible models and approaches

Strengthen and expand partnerships
Increase probability of success on research proposals by targeting right opportunities with right partners
Industry; National Labs; Universities

## Academic Plan

## Education is our Foundation

- Attract, retain, and graduate increasing numbers of well prepared students
- Departments reviewing curricula
- Focus on the essential; Add limited set of compelling opportunities
- Considering revising curricula to develop and leverage common set of courses in first year (pre-calc) and second year
- Help our students be successful

Free up faculty time
Engage the students in meaningful activities

- Undergraduate research, engineering design, innovation and entrepreneurship
- Early and consistent involvement with departments, faculty, and upper class and graduate students


## Budget (Confront the Brutal Facts)

Not good; Not getting better in short-term
University anticipating 5\% decline for FY21
Pay plan is expected!
$2 \%+2 \% ; 90 \%$ based on solid performance
But University (which means College) must fund $30 \%$ of the increase
New Budget Model uncertainty
College is heavily dependent on adjustment process FY20: $\$ 12.8 \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \$ 16.5 \mathrm{M}$
Extra expenses and responsibilities are still being revealed

- Carry-forward has largely been taken; still need permission (year in advance) to spend what is left

College of Engineering \& Applied Science

## Path Forward

Freshwater Collaborative
Looks promising; funding across UW System with UWM as lead
Should include funding for water-oriented faculty hires in engineering ( 2-5 ?)
UW System Outcomes-based Funding
Approved by JFC; \$22.5M in one-time FY'20; \$22.5M in base FY'21
Details yet to be specified; but Engineering should benefit
New Engineering Building
$\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ for planning; working to convince UW System to move project forward
May present significant fund-raising opportunity
Plan for New Faculty
Emulate model of Freshwater Collaborative with Engineering focus
Build regional collaboration with other campuses and tech colleges (SEWETEC)
Major expansion of capacity (faculty, staff, technicians)
\{Funding for salary adjustments\}

## UWMILWAUKE

## Summary

We are Good but struggling for adequate resources
Let's be Great and take resource matters into our own hands Significant Research Growth
Significant Improvements in Student Success

BE THE UNDISPUTED SECOND \# IIN WISCONSIN
BECOME TOP 100 IN NATION
GET POSITIONED TO ADVOCATE FOR PARITY

## ATTACHMENT 2

## INFORMAL REPORTS

Office of Student Services - Todd Johnson
No Report
Career Services - Juli Pickering
No Report
Curriculum Committee - Prof. A. Rahman
No Report
Graduate Program Subcommittee - Prof. Suzuki
No Report
Academic Planning Committee - Prof. ?
No Report
Faculty Senate - Prof. Reisel
Following the Chancellor's Plenary Address, the Senate conducted mostly routine business. The primary item of business was the modification of the Post Tenure Review policy. The modifications concentrated on clarifying the document in various areas, specifying a final responsible party for the creation of remediation plans, and specifically integrating the unit's review criteria into the review process.

## ATTACHMENT 3

INTRODUCTION TO BIOMEDICAL IMAGING, 3 cr., U/G
Biomedical imaging modalities and underlying principles: X-radiography, computerized tomography, Radon transforms; image reconstruction techniques; ultrasonic imaging; nuclear medicine; magnetic resonance imaging; experimental techniques. BME 437/ELECENG 437 are jointly offered and count as repeats of each other.
Prereq: sr st, BME 310 (P) or ELECENG 310 (P)

INTRODUCTION TO BIOMEDICAL OPTICS, 3 cr , U/G
Tissue Optical Properties, Light Transport, Fourier Transforms in Spatial Domain, Wave theory, Spectroscopy, Optical imaging, Laser-Tissue interaction, Photoconversion, Photodynamic Therapy, Microscopy, Fluorescence imaging, and OCT. BME439/ELECENG 439 are jointly offered and count as repeats of each other. Prereq: sr st, BME 310 (P) or ELECENG 310 (P)

ELECENG 437 INTRODUCTION TO BIOMEDICAL IMAGING, 3 cr., U/G
Biomedical imaging modalities and underlying principles: X-radiography, computerized tomography, Radon transforms; image reconstruction techniques; ultrasonic imaging; nuclear medicine; magnetic resonance imaging; experimental techniques. BME 437/ELECENG 437 are jointly offered and count as repeats of each other.
Prereq: sr st, BME 310 (P) or ELECENG 310 (P)

ELECENG 439 INTRODUCTION TO BIOMEDICAL OPTICS, 3 cr , U/G
Tissue Optical Properties, Light Transport, Fourier Transforms in Spatial Domain, Wave theory, Spectroscopy, Optical imaging, Laser-Tissue interaction,
Photoconversion, Photodynamic Therapy, Microscopy, Fluorescence imaging, and OCT. BME439/ELECENG 439 are jointly offered and count as repeats of each other. Prereq: sr st, BME 310 (P) or ELECENG 310 (P)

## ATTACHMENT 4

## COMPUTER ENGINEERING PROGRAM CHANGES

The following are the program changes for the Computer Engineering BS program:

1) Set total number of credits to 120 .
2) Remove CompSci 240 and MechEng 101 and CompSci 469 from the list of required courses.
3) Add CompSci 469 to the technical elective group A.

## ATTACHMENT 5

## INTEGRATED BS/MS PROGRAM CHANGE

Motion: That the BS/MS program be changed to allow up to 9 double-counted credits, of which no more than 6 can be forward-counted. The remainder (3-9 credits) can be backward-counted.
$\qquad$

## Admission

An Integrated BS-MS program is available for exceptional undergraduate students. In this program, students take 6 graduate credits while completing the BS degree.

Minimum admission requirements:

- 3.2 GPA.
- 36 credits or less remaining for the BS.
- Approval from their major department.
——Proposed Catalog Text


## Admission

An Integrated BS-MS program is available for exceptional undergraduate students. In this program, students may take up to 9 credits that count in both the BS degree and the MS degree. Up to 6 graduate credits may be taken while completing the BS degree. For the remaining 3-9 credits, students may be admitted to the MS program prior to completing the BS degree, in accordance with UWM Graduate School rules.

Minimum admission requirements:

- 3.2 GPA.
- 36 credits or less remaining for the BS when enrolling in graduate credits during BS studies, and
-3-9 credits or less remaining for the BS at the time of admission to the MS program, depending on the number of double-counting credits that will be taken during the MS.
- Approval from their major department.


## DOCTORAL PROGRAM COMMITTEE CHANGE

## Original Catalog Language

## Doctoral Program Committee

The Doctoral Program Committee is proposed by the major professor in consultation with the student and the department. The Committee must include at least five graduate faculty (three from major area, one from minor area, and one from another area). The member from another area may be a person from outside the University (such as another university, a research laboratory, or a relevant industrial partner), provided that person meets Graduate School requirements. The Committee may have more than five members, provided that the majority of the Committee members are from the student's major field.


## Doctoral Program Committee

The Doctoral Program Committee is proposed by the major professor in consultation with the student and the department. The Committee must include at least five graduate faculty (three from major area, one from minor area, and one from any area, including the major and minor areas). The last member may be a person from outside the University (such as another university, a research laboratory, or a relevant industrial partner), provided that person meets Graduate School requirements. The Committee may have more than five members, provided that the majority of the Committee members are from the student's major field.

