

On the Goldie Quotient Ring of the Enveloping Algebra of a Classical Simple Lie Superalgebra

Ian M. Musson

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Abstract

If \mathfrak{g} is a classical simple Lie superalgebra ($\mathfrak{g} \neq P(n)$), the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a prime ring and hence has a simple artinian ring of quotients $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ by Goldie's Theorem. We show that if \mathfrak{g} has Type I then $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a matrix ring over $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}_0))$. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2r)$ then by extending the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ we obtain a prime ring whose Goldie quotient ring is a matrix ring over the quotient division ring of a Weyl algebra. This is an analog of a result of Gelfand and Kirillov.

If U is a prime Noetherian ring, we denote the Goldie quotient ring of U by $Q(U)$. We work over an algebraically closed base field K of characteristic zero. However it will be necessary to consider field extensions of K which are not algebraically closed. If \mathfrak{g} is a classical simple Lie superalgebra, $\mathfrak{g} \neq P(n)$, then by a result of Bell, [Be Theorem 3.6] the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is prime. Hence $Q(U(\mathfrak{g})) \cong M_t(D)$ the algebra of all $t \times t$ matrices over a skew field D . Following [J2, 11.3] we call t and D the Goldie rank, and the Goldie field of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS 9801151

If \mathfrak{g} is an algebraic Lie algebra, then a well known conjecture of Gelfand and Kirillov states that $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is the quotient division ring of a Weyl algebra A_n over a purely transcendental field extension of K . The conjecture is known to be false in general [AOV]. The conjecture is proved for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ in [GK1]. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is a direct sum of Lie algebras, then $U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ has $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}_1)) \otimes Q(U(\mathfrak{g}_2))$ as a partial quotient ring. Using this observation the conjecture can be demonstrated for direct sums of Lie algebras of type A. It is open for all other semisimple Lie algebras.

In this paper we study the Goldie quotient ring of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and related algebras when \mathfrak{g} is a classical simple Lie superalgebra. We make progress on this problem in two situations: namely when \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra of Type I and when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2r)$. In the former case a rather easy argument shows that $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a matrix algebra over $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}_0))$. Thus the description of $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is reduced to the analogous problem for semisimple Lie algebras.

Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, and $\psi : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{h}^W)$ the Kac-Harish Chandra homomorphism. Perhaps the best result known when \mathfrak{g} is an arbitrary semisimple Lie algebra is that $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h}))$ is isomorphic to $Q(A_n \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}))$ for some n [GK2]. When \mathfrak{g} is the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2r)$ we show that $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h}))$ is isomorphic to a matrix ring over $Q(A_n \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}))$. This is a consequence of a result of Gorelik and Lauzmann, [GL] and some methods we develop to study prime and primitive factors of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ in general. As another application of these methods we describe $U(\mathfrak{g})/ann M(\lambda)$ for λ regular.

On the other hand it follows from [AL] that when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2r)$, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a domain and hence $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a division ring. We show that if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$ then $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is not the division ring of a Weyl algebra, and it is likely that this is true also for $r > 1$. Thus we have some examples of division rings which are not Weyl fields but which become matrix algebras over Weyl fields after extending the center.

It is worth remarking that the enveloping algebras of Lie superalgebras of Type I and of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2r)$ are much better understood than those of other classical simple Lie superalgebras. For simplicity we assume throughout that $\mathfrak{g} \neq Q(n)$. Then a subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g}_0 is actually a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Notation. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we denote by $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ and $M(\lambda)$ the Verma modules

over $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ with highest weight λ respectively [M1, Section 1]. The unique graded-simple factor modules of $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ and $M(\lambda)$ are denoted by $\widetilde{L}(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$.

1. Type I Basic Classical Simple Lie Superalgebras

1.1. A classical simple Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be of Type I if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^-$, a direct sum of two simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodules. The Type I Lie superalgebras consist of the series $A(m, n), C(n)$ and $P(n)$. By checking each case, or by using [Sch, Proposition 3, p.96] we have that $[\mathfrak{g}_1^+, \mathfrak{g}_1^+] = [\mathfrak{g}_1^-, \mathfrak{g}_1^-] = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{n}_0^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0^+$ be a triangular decomposition of \mathfrak{g}_0 . and set

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ = \mathfrak{n}_0^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^+, \quad \mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{n}_0^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^-$$

and

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^+.$$

Note that the definition of the Verma modules $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ depends on which \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule of \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule of \mathfrak{g}_1 we call \mathfrak{g}_1^+ . We say a type I Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is basic if $\mathfrak{g} \neq P(n)$.

Lemma. (a) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ is a triangular decomposition of \mathfrak{g} .

(b) $I = \mathfrak{g}_1^+ U(\mathfrak{p}) = U(\mathfrak{p}) \mathfrak{g}_1^+$ is a nilpotent ideal of $U(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $U(\mathfrak{p})/I \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

1.2 Lemma. (a) Let $R \subseteq S$ be a ring extension such that S is a free of rank t as a left R -module. Let Q be an ideal of R , and $J_Q = \text{ann}_S(S/SQ)$.

(a) Then there is a ring homomorphism $\phi_Q : S \longrightarrow M_t(R/Q)$ with kernel J_Q ,

(b) if N is a left R -module with annihilator Q , then $J_Q = \text{ann}_S(S \otimes_R N)$.

Proof. (a) Let x_1, \dots, x_t be a basis for S as a left R -module. For $s \in S$, there exist unique elements $\phi(s)_{ji} \in R$ such that

$$sx_i = \sum_{j=1}^t x_j \phi(s)_{ji}.$$

Clearly the map $\phi : S \longrightarrow M_t(R)$ sending s to $(\phi(s)_{ji})$ is a homomorphism. Composing ϕ with the natural map $M_t(R) \longrightarrow M_t(R/Q)$ we obtain ϕ_Q .

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}\phi_Q &= \{s \in S \mid \phi(s)_{ji} \in Q \text{ for all } i, j\} \\ &= J_Q, \end{aligned}$$

(b) follows from [BGR, Lemma 10.4].

1.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic classical simple Lie superalgebra of Type I. We identify the Goldie quotient ring of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie superalgebra of Type $A(m, n)$ or $C(n)$, and let $r = \dim \mathfrak{g}_1^+$ and $t = 2^r$. Then

$$Q(U(\mathfrak{g})) \cong M_t(Q(U(\mathfrak{g}_0))).$$

In particular the Goldie rank of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to 2^r and the Goldie fields of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $R = U(\mathfrak{p})$, $S = U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I = \mathfrak{g}_1^+ U(\mathfrak{p})$ as in Lemma 1.1. Note that S is a free left R -module of rank t . We set $\psi = \phi_I$ as in 1.2. As noted in [M1, 3.4], $\tilde{M}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M(\lambda)$, so if $s \in \text{Ker}\psi$, then $s \in \text{ann}_S \tilde{M}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Hence by [LM, Theorem C] $s \in \cap_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{ann}_S \tilde{L}(\lambda) = 0$.

Thus the image of ψ is a free $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module of rank t^2 . However the same is true for the matrix ring $M_t(U(\mathfrak{g}_0))$. Hence the image of ψ has the same Goldie quotient ring as $M_t(U(\mathfrak{g}_0))$.

2. The Lie Superalgebras $osp(1, 2r)$.

2.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2)$, C the Casimir element of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as in [Pi], $\Omega = C + 1/16$ and $P_\lambda = (\Omega - \lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. The proof of the following facts can be found in [Pi].

Lemma. (a) $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = K[\Omega]$

(b) There exists $T \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $P_0 = U(\mathfrak{g})T = TU(\mathfrak{g})$ is a primitive ideal. Also $P_0^2 = \Omega U(\mathfrak{g})$.

(c) The minimal nonzero prime ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ are the ideals P_λ , $\lambda \in K$ and all these ideals are primitive. Also P_λ is completely prime if and only if $\lambda = 0$.

Corollary. If $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2)$, then $Q = Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ has center $K(\Omega)$.

Proof. Suppose z is central in Q and set

$$I = \{a \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mid az \in U(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

Since I is a nonzero ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, I contains a product of prime ideals. Thus by the Lemma I contains a product of the ideals P_λ , and we can assume that P_0 occurs with even exponent in this product. Thus $I \cap Z(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$, and the result follows.

2.2. Theorem. If $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2)$, then $Q(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is not isomorphic to $Q(A_n \otimes_K C)$ for any commutative K -algebra C .

Proof. Let $D_n = Q(A_n)$, and let Ω be the Casimir element of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. By Corollary 2.1 it suffices to show that there cannot exist an isomorphism

$$\phi : Q(U(\mathfrak{g})) \longrightarrow Q(A_n \otimes K[t]) = D_n(t)$$

such that $\phi(\Omega) = t$.

For $\lambda \in K$, let $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ be the set of elements in $D_n[t]$ which are regular modulo the ideal $(t - \lambda)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ is Ore in $D_n[t]$, and we can define a homomorphism

$$\sigma_\lambda : D_n[t]_{\mathcal{C}(\lambda)} \longrightarrow D_n$$

such that $\sigma_\lambda(t) = \lambda$ and σ_λ restricts to the identity on D_n .

If $f = \sum a_i t^i \in D_n[t]$, $f \neq 0$, then using Van der Monde determinants, we see that there is a finite subset Λ_1 of K such that $f(\lambda) = \sum a_i \lambda^i \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda'_1 = K \setminus \Lambda_1$. Thus since $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a finitely generated algebra, there is a finite subset Λ_2 of K such that $\phi(U(\mathfrak{g})) \subseteq D_n[t]_{\mathcal{C}(\lambda)}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda'_2$.

Now for $\lambda \in \Lambda'_2$, let $\psi_\lambda = \sigma_\lambda \circ \phi$. Then $J_\lambda = \text{Ker } \psi_\lambda$ is a completely prime primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\Omega - \lambda \in J_\lambda$. This contradicts Lemma 2.1.

2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ be classical simple, and M, N \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded \mathfrak{g} -modules. Recall that $\text{Hom}_K(M, N)$ becomes a \mathfrak{g} -module when we set

$$(x.f)(m) = xf(m) - (-1)^{\bar{x}\bar{f}} f(xm).$$

where \bar{x}, \bar{f} denote the degree in \mathbb{Z}_2 of x, f respectively. We define

$$\mathcal{L}(M, N) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_K(M, N) \mid \dim U(\mathfrak{g}).f < \infty\}.$$

Note that since $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is finitely generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module, $\mathcal{L}(M, N)$ is equal to the set of elements of $Hom_K(M, N)$ which are \mathfrak{g}_0 -finite as in [J2, 6.8].

Now suppose that $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a direct sum of \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules. It is clear that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}(M, M) \cong \oplus_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$$

induced by the inclusion and projection maps. Furthermore

$$\mathcal{L}(M_j, M_k) \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_k)$$

for $i, j, k \in I$. Later we shall pass to the opposite ring for the convenience of having multiplication in $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$ resemble matrix multiplication.

2.4. The next result will help us recognize a matrix ring over a division ring.

Lemma. Let T be a ring such that

- 1) $T = \oplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} T_{ij}$ as an abelian group and $D^{(i)} = T_{ii}$ is a division ring for all i .
- 2) $T_{ij}T_{jk} \subseteq T_{ik}$ and $T_{ij}T_{k\ell} = 0$ if $j \neq k$
- 3) If $x \in T_{ij}$, $y \in T_{jk}$, $x \neq 0 \neq y$, then $xy \neq 0$.

Then $D^{(i)} \cong D^{(j)}$ for all i, j , and $T \cong M_N(D^{(i)})$

Proof. The hypotheses imply that T_{ij} has dimension one as a left vector space over $D^{(i)}$ and as a right vector space over $D^{(j)}$. Indeed if m_1, m_2 are nonzero elements of T_{ij} and $n \in T_{ji}$, $n \neq 0$, there exists $s_1, s_2 \in T_{ii}$ such that $s_1 m_1 n = s_2 m_2 n$ and this implies $s_1 m_1 - s_2 m_2 = 0$. Since $T_{ij}T_{jk}$ is a nonzero subspace of T_{ik} it follows that

$$T_{ij}T_{jk} = T_{ik}$$

for all i, j, k . If e_{ii} is the identity element of T_{ii} there exists $e_{1i} \in T_{1i}$ and $e_{i1} \in T_{i1}$ such that $e_{1i}e_{i1} = e_{11}$. Since $e_{i1}e_{1i}$ is a nonzero idempotent in T_{ii} ,

we have $e_{i1}e_{1i} = e_{ii}$. The fact that T_{1i} has dimension one over $D^{(i)}$ ensures that we can write e_{11} as a product of elements from $T_{1i}T_{i1}$ and not just as a sum of products. The conditions in 2), 3) imply that T_{ij} is a unital module over $D^{(i)}$ and $D^{(j)}$, that is $e_{ii}x = x = xe_{jj}$ for all $x \in T_{ij}$. Now set $e_{ij} = e_{i1}e_{1j}$. It is easily checked that $e_{ij}e_{k\ell} = \delta_{jk}e_{i\ell}$. Thus by [Pa, Lemma 6.1.5.] we have the result.

2.5. Next we study $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ when M is a direct sum of Verma modules over $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. First define P_0, P_0^+ as in [M3]. Then P_0 is the analog of the weight lattice for the reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 , and P_0^+ is a subsemigroup of P_0 satisfying $\lambda \in P_0^+$ if and only if $\dim L(\lambda) < \infty$. If $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/P_0$ is a coset of P_0 we define $\Lambda^+, \Lambda^{++}, \Lambda_0^+$ and Λ_0^{++} as in [M3, 0.5]. Fix $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/P_0$ and suppose that $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N M_i$ where each $M_i = M(\lambda_i)$ with $\lambda_i \in \Lambda^+$ is a Verma module for $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. If F is a field extension of K then $D_n(F)$ denotes the quotient division ring of the n^{th} Weyl algebra $A_n(F)$ over F . Set $D_n = D_n(K)$.

Theorem. Let n be the number of positive roots of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Then $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$ is a prime Noetherian ring with Goldie quotient ring $M_N(D_n)$.

Proof. Since $\lambda_i - \lambda_j \in P_0$ we have $\mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j) \neq 0$ for all i, j by [J2, 6.9 (7)]. In addition if $U_i = \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_i)$, then U_i is a homomorphic image of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by [J2, 6.9 (8)]. This hypothesis is necessary to apply the results of [J2, 11.9-11.12]. Note that $\mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$ is a $U_j - U_i$ -bimodule. Recall that a $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module is homogeneous if it has the same Gelfand-Kirillov dimension as any nonzero submodule. Since each M_i is homogeneous by [J2, 8.11(1) and 9.1(3)] it follows from [J2, Lemma 10.12 and Bemerkung 10.2] that each $\mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$ is homogeneous both as a left U_j -module and as a right U_i -module.

By [C, Théorème 10.4] U_i is a Noetherian domain whose Goldie quotient ring is isomorphic to D_n . If \mathcal{C}_i is the set of regular elements of U_i we can view $\mathcal{C} = \prod \mathcal{C}_i$ as the set of regular elements of the subring $\bigoplus_i U_i$ of $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$.

To finish the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma. The set \mathcal{C} is an Ore set of regular elements in $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$.

Proof. Suppose $c = \prod_k c_k \in \mathcal{C}$ and $r = \sum_{i,j} r_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(M, M)$ with $c_k \in \mathcal{C}_k$ and

$r_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$. Then

$$cr = \sum_{i,j,k} c_k r_{ij} \delta_{jk} = \sum_{i,j} c_j r_{ij}$$

with $c_j r_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$. Thus $cr = 0$ implies that $c_j r_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j and so by [J2, Lemma 11.9], $r_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j and $r = 0$.

In addition, by [J2, Satz 11.12], we have

$$\mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j) \mathcal{C}_i^{-1} = \mathcal{C}_j^{-1} \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j).$$

Thus with i, j fixed, there exists $d_j^{(i)} \in \mathcal{C}_j$ and $r'_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$ such that

$$d_j^{(i)} r_{ij} = r'_{ij} c_i.$$

Also the elements $d_j^{(i)}$, as i varies, have a common left multiple $d_j \in \mathcal{C}_j$ and hence there exist $r''_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j)$ such that

$$d_j r_{ij} = r''_{ij} c_i$$

for all i and j . If $d = \Pi_k d_k$ and $r'' = \sum_{i,j} r''_{ij}$ then $dr = r''c$. This shows the left Ore condition, and the right Ore condition for \mathcal{C} is shown similarly.

It is now easy to conclude the proof of the Theorem. Let $T = (\mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{L}(M, M))^{op}$ and $T_{ij} = (\mathcal{C}_j^{-1} \mathcal{L}(M_i, M_j))^{op}$. We have $T_{ii} \cong D_n^{op} \cong D_n = Fract(U_i)$ as already mentioned. It is easy to see that hypotheses 1), 2) of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied. Hypothesis 3) is satisfied by [C, Lemma 10.3 (ii)]. Hence $\mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{L}(M, M) \cong T^{op} \cong M_N(D_n)$ as required.

2.6. Theorem 2.5 applies to the algebras $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{M}(\lambda), \widetilde{M}(\lambda))$ under quite general conditions. Let Γ be the set of sums of distinct odd positive roots, and let $\kappa : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the function defined by

$$\Pi_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} (1 + e^{-\alpha}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \kappa(\gamma) e^{-\gamma}.$$

Fix $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/P_0$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\lambda - \gamma \in \Lambda_0^+$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $\Lambda = \lambda + P_0$. This condition will hold for any classical simple Lie superalgebra, provided we choose λ sufficiently dominant. By [M2, Theorem 3.2] $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ has

a finite filtration as a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with factors of the form $M(\lambda - \gamma)$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In addition the module $M(\lambda - \gamma)$ occurs with multiplicity $\kappa(\gamma)$ in this filtration. Now under the stated hypothesis on λ , each module $M(\lambda - \gamma)$ is projective in the category \mathcal{O} by [J2, Lemma 4.8].

Hence as a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module

$$\widetilde{M}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} M(\lambda - \gamma)^{\kappa(\gamma)}$$

Corollary. Under the above hypothesis $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{M}(\lambda), \widetilde{M}(\lambda))$ is a prime Noetherian ring with

$$Q(\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{M}(\lambda), \widetilde{M}(\lambda))) \cong M_N(D_n)$$

where $N = 2^{|\Delta_1^+|}$ and $n = |\Delta_0^+|$.

2.7. Following [J1, Chapter 4] we make some remarks about Verma modules defined over K -algebras. If V is a vector space over K and L is a commutative K -algebra, we set $V_L = V \otimes_K L$. We warn the reader that the notation V_L is also used here and in [J1] to refer to L -modules which do not arise in this way. We embed V into V_L as $V \otimes 1$. When V carries an additional structure, such as that of an associative or Lie algebra, then V_L carries the corresponding structure. Let \mathfrak{g} be a classical simple Lie superalgebra. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_L^* = \text{Hom}_L(\mathfrak{h}_L, L)$ we denote by L_λ the free L -module of rank one which is made into a \mathfrak{h}_L -module by allowing \mathfrak{n}_L to act trivially and h to act as multiplication by $\lambda(h)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}_L$. Then we form the Verma module $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)_L = U(\mathfrak{g}_L) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h}_L)} L_\lambda$. Note that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ then $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)_L \cong \widetilde{M}(\lambda) \otimes L$ so this notation is consistent with that used earlier.

We now specialize to the case $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2r)$. The result below extends a result of Gorelik and Lanzmann [GL] when L is an algebraically closed field.

Lemma. Suppose that L is a domain and $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha) \neq 0$ for all odd roots α . Then $\text{ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})_L} \widetilde{M}(\lambda)_L$ is generated by its intersection with the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})_L$.

Proof. To simplify the notation set $U_L = U(\mathfrak{g})_L$, $M_L = \widetilde{M}(\lambda)_L$, $J_L = \text{ann}_{U_L}(M_L)$, $Z_L = Z(U_L)$ and $I_L = J_L \cap Z_L$. Let F be the field of fractions of L and \overline{F} the algebraic closure of F . Then we have

$$J_L = U_L \cap J_{\overline{F}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= U_L \cap U_{\overline{F}} I_{\overline{F}} \\
&= U_L \cap U_F I_F \\
&= U_L I_L.
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from [GL]. For the last equality it suffices to show that $U_L/U_L I_L$ is torsion-free as an L -module by [GW, Theorem 9.17]. By [M2, Theorem 1.5] there is a subspace H of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $U(\mathfrak{g}) = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H$. Hence $U_L = (Z(\mathfrak{g})_L) \otimes_L H_L$, and so $U_L/U_L I_L \cong H_L$ a free L -module. The remaining equalities are easily verified.

2.8. Fix $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/P_0$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^{++}$, then by [M3, Corollary 3.9], $\lambda - \gamma \in \Lambda_0^+$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so Corollary 2.6 applies to $U_\lambda = \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{M}(\lambda), \widetilde{M}(\lambda))$. In addition by [M2, Theorem 1.5] there is an ad-invariant subspace H of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H \otimes Z(\mathfrak{g})$ as $ad\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Also if E is any finite dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, the multiplicity of E as a composition factor of H is equal to the dimension $\dim E^0$ of the zero weight space of E .

The condition $\lambda \in \Lambda^{++}$ implies that $(w.\lambda + \rho, \alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_1$. Thus by [GL], $ann\widetilde{M}(w.\lambda)$ is generated by its intersection with $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence we can identify H with $U(\mathfrak{g})/ann\widetilde{M}(w.\lambda)$ as an $ad\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Now $U(\mathfrak{g})/ann\widetilde{M}(w.\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g})/ann\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ embeds in U_λ . Also the multiplicity of a finite dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g})$ module E in U_λ equals $\dim E^0$, by adapting the usual argument leading to [J2, 6.9(7)], so we obtain $U(\mathfrak{g})/ann\widetilde{M}(w.\lambda) \cong U_\lambda$. In summary we have proved

Theorem. Suppose $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2r)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda^{++}$ and $w \in W$. Then the inclusion

$$U(\mathfrak{g})/ann\widetilde{M}(w.\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{M}(\lambda), \widetilde{M}(\lambda)) = U_\lambda$$

is an isomorphism, and $Q(U_\lambda) \cong M_N(D_n)$, where $N = 2^r$ and $n = r^2$.

Observe that the above proof works over an arbitrary field extension of K , since it only depends on a computation of the multiplicities of the finite dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -modules.

2.9. We assume that $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1, 2r)$ and let $F = Fract(S(\mathfrak{h}))$, $N = 2^r$ and $n = r^2$. We regard $S(\mathfrak{h})$ as a $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module via the Harish-Chandra homomorphism $\psi : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h})$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h})$ is a K -algebra whose center is isomorphic to $S(\mathfrak{h})$.

Theorem. With the above notation we have

$$Q(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h})) \cong M_N(D_n(F))$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_F^*$ be the F -linear map defined by $(\lambda + \rho)(h) = h$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let M be the Verma module over $U(\mathfrak{g})_F$ with highest weight λ . Note that if $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ then z acts on M as the scalar $\psi(z)(\lambda + \rho) = \psi(z) \in F$. Thus by Lemma 2.7 $J = \text{ann}_{U_F} M$ is the ideal of U_F generated by elements of the form

$$z \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \psi(z)$$

for $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

It is easy to see that $I = J \cap U(\mathfrak{g})_{S(\mathfrak{h})}$ is the kernel of the natural surjection from $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_K S(\mathfrak{h})$ to $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h})$. Thus

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h}) \cong (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_K S(\mathfrak{h}))/I$$

embeds in $U(\mathfrak{g})_F/J$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$ by Theorem 2.8. Since the image of a nonzero element of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ is regular in $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}(M, M)$ is isomorphic to the localization of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{Z(\mathfrak{g})} S(\mathfrak{h})$ at the set of regular central elements. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.8.

References

- [AL] M. Aubry and J. M. Lemaire, Zero divisors in enveloping algebras of graded Lie algebras, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 38 (1985), 159-166.
- [AOV] J. Alev, A. Ooms and M. Van den Bergh, A class of counterexamples to the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), 1709-1716.
- [Be] A. Bell, A criterion for primeness of enveloping algebras of Lie superalgebras, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 69 (1990), 111-120.
- [BGR] W. Borho, P. Gabriel and R. Rentschler, Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie-Algebren, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 357, Springer, Berlin 1973.

- [C] N. Conze, Algèbres d'opérateurs différentiels et quotients des algèbres enveloppantes, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 102 (1974), 379-415.
- [GK 1] I. M. Gelfand and A. A. Kirillov, Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie, Publ. Math. IHES, 31 (1996), 509-523.
- [GK 2] The structure of the Lie field connected with a split semisimple Lie algebra, Funct. Analysis and its Application, 3 (1969), 6-21.
- [GL] M. Gorelik and E. Lanzmann, The annihilation theorem for $osp(1, 2r)$, Invent. Math. 137 (1999), 651-680.
- [GW] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, Jr. An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, London Math. Society Student Texts 16, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [J1] J. C. Jantzen, Moduln mit einem höchsten Gewicht, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 750, Springer, Berlin 1979.
- [J2] J. C. Jantzen, Einhüllende Algebren halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge, Band 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Kac] V. Kac, Representations of classical Lie superalgebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 676, Springer, Berlin 1977 pp. 579-626.
- [LM] E. S. Letzter and I. M. Musson, Representations of Classical Lie Superalgebras, Letters in Math. Phys. 31 (1994), 247-253.
- [M1] I. M. Musson, A classification of primitive ideals in the Enveloping algebra of a classical simple Lie superalgebra, Adv. in Math. 91 (1992), 252-268.
- [M2] I. M. Musson, On the center of the enveloping algebra of a classical simple Lie superalgebra, J. Algebra, 193 (1997), 75-101.
- [M3] I. M. Musson, The enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra $osp(1, 2r)$, Representation Theory 1 (1997), 405-423.
- [Pa] D. S. Passman, The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977.

- [Pi] G. Pinczon, The enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra $osp(1, 2)$, J. Algebra, 132 (1990), 219-242.
- [Sch] M. Scheunert, The Theory of Lie Superalgebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 716, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.