



Nonlocality of Atlantic tropical cyclone intensities

2 Kyle L. Swanson

3 Atmospheric Sciences Group, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee,

4 Wisconsin 53201, USA (kswanson@uwm.edu)

[1] The assumption that tropical cyclones respond primarily to sea surface temperatures (SSTs) local to 5their main development regions underlies much of the concern regarding the possible impacts of 6 anthropogenic greenhouse warming on tropical cyclone statistics. Here the observed relationship between 7 changes in sea surface temperature and tropical cyclone intensities in the Atlantic basin is explored. 8 Atlantic tropical cyclone intensity fluctuations and storm numbers are shown to depend not only upon SST 9 anomalies local to the Atlantic main development region, but also in a negative sense upon the tropical 10 mean SST. This behavior is shown in part to be consistent with changes in the tropical cyclone potential 11intensity that provides an upper bound on storm intensity. However, Atlantic tropical cyclone intensity 12fluctuations are more nonlocal than the potential intensity itself and specifically vary along with Atlantic 13main development region SST anomalies relative to the tropical mean SST. This suggests that there is no 14 straightforward link between warmer SSTs in the main development region and more intense tropical 15cyclones. 16

17 **Components:** 5013 words, 5 figures, 1 table

18 Keywords: tropical cyclones; climate change.

19Index Terms: 1616 Global Change: Climate variability (1635, 3305, 3309, 4215, 4513); 1630 Global Change: Impacts of20global change (1225).

- 21 Received 3 October 2007; Revised 10 December 2007; Accepted 6 February 2008; Published XX Month 2008.
- Swanson, K. L. (2008), Nonlocality of Atlantic tropical cyclone intensities, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 9, XXXXXX,
 doi:10.1029/2007GC001844.

25 1. Introduction

[2] Recent studies have posited trends in various 26measures of tropical cyclone (TC) activity, partic-27ularly in the North Atlantic basin. Emanuel [2005] 28 showed that the total power dissipation by TCs, a 29measure of TC intensity that scales as the cube of 30 the maximum TC wind speed, is highly correlated 31 with August-October sea surface temperatures 32 (SSTs) over the North Atlantic main development 33 region (MDR). The results of Hoyos et al. [2006] 34suggest that increases in TC intensity are closely 35tied to warming trends in TC main development 36

region SSTs. This appears to open the door to the 37 possibility that anthropogenic climate change, 38 which almost certainly will warm tropical SSTs, 39 might impact TC intensities, as argued for example 40 by *Mann and Emanuel* [2006] and *Trenberth and* 41 *Shea* [2006]. 42

[3] Underlying all of these arguments is the 43 assumption that TC intensities, and Atlantic TC 44 intensities in particular, respond primarily to SST 45 fluctuations in the MDR. This assumption is 46 implicit even in studies that attempt to attribute 47 recent changes in TC intensity not to climate 48 change but to some other entity such as the Atlantic 49



Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

Figure 1. (a) Smoothed normalized anomalies in PDI and MDR SST for the North Atlantic. (b) As in Figure 1a but with no interannual smoothing. (c) As in Figure 1b but with MDR SST anomalies relative to the tropical mean (MDRN SST anomalies).

Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) [*Goldenberg et al.*, 2001]. Within the context of potential intensity
(PI) theory [*Emanuel*, 1988; *Bister and Emanuel*,
1998], all other factors being equal a local increase
in SST will destabilize the atmosphere and result in

more intense TCs. However, nonlocality enters into 55 this apparently straightforward relationship be- 56 tween local SST and TC intensities, as atmospheric 57 temperature in the tropical upper troposphere is in 58 general set not by the local SST but rather by the 59 tropical mean SST [Sobel et al., 2002]. Anoma- 60 lously warm tropical mean SST increases upper 61 tropospheric temperatures, stabilizing the atmo- 62 sphere, and hence should lead to weaker tropical 63 cyclones [Tang and Neelin, 2004; Shen et al., 64 2000]. Elsner et al. [2006] highlight such suppres- 65 sion of Atlantic TC intensities by remote factors, as 66 they show that in the Atlantic basin global mean 67 temperature acts as a negative predictor of TC 68 intensity when the local impact of MDR SST is 69 removed. Indeed, the fact that global tropical SST 70 trends might have a smaller effect on tropical 71 cyclone intensities than regional fluctuations in 72 MDR SST relative to that global mean was explic- 73 itly recognized by Emanuel [2005]. 74

[4] Given this state of affairs, it is vital to understand whether local or nonlocal influences dominate TC intensities in the North Atlantic hurricane basin. The degree of localization examined here shades from totally local control, where SST anomalies within the Atlantic MDR dominate observed fluctuations in TC intensity, to nonlocal control, where fluctuations in TC intensity depend solely upon the MDR SST relative to the tropical mean SST. Note that nonlocal control defined in this manner will be more or less independent of global warming, as it depends upon the relative regional distribution of SST anomalies rather than a basin-independent increase in SST.

[5] Within this context, we show that Atlantic TC 89 intensities are nonlocal in the sense that intensity 90 fluctuations and storm numbers depend much more 91 sensitively on MDR SST anomalies relative to the 92 tropical mean than on the MDR SST anomalies 93 themselves. The implication of this behavior is that 94 Atlantic TCs are intrinsically nonlocal, and specif-95 ically that the increase in Atlantic TC intensities 96 since roughly 1980 cannot be attributed to a global 97 increase in SST. 98

2. SST and Hurricane Intensity 99 Fluctuations 100

[6] We examine TC winds for the period 1950– 101 2006 in the North Atlantic basin based upon 102 Tropical Prediction Center best track reanalysis, 103 with intensity corrections for the pre-1975 part of 104 the record following *Emanuel* [2005]. The SST 105



fields used are from the extended reconstruction of 106 global SST based on the COADS data as docu-107mented by Smith and Reynolds [2004], as archived 108 at NOAA's National Climatic Data Center. The 109emphasis is on the Atlantic main development 110 region (MDR), which is defined here as $6-18^{\circ}$ N, 111 $20-60^{\circ}$ W. In addition, we consider the tropical 112mean SST, defined as the SST averaged over 113 $0-15^{\circ}N$. This averaging is appropriate for the 114Northern Hemisphere fall season (ASO), when 115the warmest SSTs are generally located north of 116 117 the equator.

Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

[7] An apparent local relationship between SST 118 and hurricane intensity is readily shown using 119this data set. Figure 1a reproduces the result of 120*Emanuel* [2005] for the data here, showing the 121 remarkably similar time traces for SST in the 122Atlantic MDR and the power dissipation index 123(PDI) that is proportional to the cube of the wind 124speed for these storms in the Atlantic basin. Both 125quantities are filtered using a 1-3-4-3-1 filter. The 126apparent covariability of these two quantities is 127 striking; while filtering reduces the number of 128degrees of freedom to roughly 15, the null hypoth-129esis that there is no relationship between the MDR 130SST and PDI can be rejected with p < 0.0001 (t = 131 5.8) via a two-tailed Student's t test. 132

[8] However, it is important to note that this 133 agreement does not prove locality. All that has 134been shown is that there is a relationship between 135MDR SST anomalies and PDI. Specifically, the 136PDI might depend not only upon the local value of 137 MDR SST, but some other unspecified global 138quantity. Figure 1b shows that the unfiltered data 139has substantial interannual variability about the 140direct PDI/MDR SST relationship, but the two 141 quantities are still strongly linked (r = 0.53; t =1424.6; p < 0.0001). It is tempting to view this 143interannual variability as simply "noise" around 144 the MDR SST "signal"; this ultimately is the 145motivation underlying the filtering applied by 146 *Emanuel* [2005] as reproduced in Figure 1a. This 147 interpretation is incorrect, as the unfiltered PDI is 148 related to the tropical mean SST component normal 149to the Atlantic MDR SST at a level (r = -0.49; 150t = 4.2; p < 0.0001) nearly as strong as the PDI 151and Atlantic MDR SST itself. The inescapable 152implication of this is that SST anomalies remote 153from the Atlantic MDR are as important as SST 154anomalies within the MDR in determining Atlantic 155TC intensities. 156

¹⁵⁷ [9] This nonlocality of Atlantic PDI is more ¹⁵⁸ than simply a reflection of the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) signal in Atlantic basin TC 159 intensities [Gray, 1984; Pielke and Landsea, 1999; 160 Elsner et al., 2001]. By itself, the Nino 3 index 161 explains only 8% of the PDI variance (r = 0.29; t = 1622.3; p < 0.03), substantially less than the approx- 163 imately 25% captured by the tropical mean SST 164 anomaly normal to the Atlantic MDR SST. This 165 suggests a larger dynamic encompassing the entire 166 tropics underlies Atlantic TC intensity fluctuations. 167 Any anomalies in deep convection will be com- 168 municated rapidly throughout the tropics because 169 of the smallness of the Coriolis parameter, so in 170 this sense there should be nothing special about 171 convective anomalies arising from the ENSO 172 cycle. This should also apply to anomalous 173 convection over land surfaces as well. However, 174 because of equinoctial conditions, tropical land 175 surfaces in the Northern Hemisphere in general 176 will not be warmer than nearby oceans, so one 177 expects any signal due to anomalous convection 178 over land to be small. 179

[10] Figure 1c shows the Atlantic PDI and the 180 MDR SST component normal to the tropical mean 181 SST (hereafter the MDRN SST), where this normal 182 component is calculated using a Gramm-Schmidt 183 orthogonalization. The MDRN SST is quite close 184 to the Atlantic MDR SST anomaly relative to the 185 tropical mean SST, having the specific form 186

$$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{[Atlantic MDRN SST]} \simeq [\mbox{[Atlantic MDR SST]} - 0.8 \\ \times [\mbox{[Tropical Mean SST]}. \eqno(1) \end{array}$$

These two time series are more closely related (r = 1880.73; t = 7.7; p < 0.0001) than the Atlantic PDI and 189 MDR SST shown in Figure 1b. The agreement 190 over the modern (post-1975) era is even more 191 spectacular, with the MDR normal SST explaining 192 roughly 75% of the interannual variance in the 193 unfiltered PDI time series. Indeed, over this latter 194 period these two quantities are so strongly linked 195 on interannual time scales that it seems reasonable 196 to hypothesize that the MDRN SST determines the 197 PDI. It also holds when low-pass filtering these 198 quantities; the 1-3-4-3-1 low-pass filtered MDRN 199 SST anomaly time series explains roughly 10% 200 more low-pass PDI variance than the low-pass 201 MDR SST shown in Figure 1a. Similar results are 202 found for the accumulated cyclone energy metric 203 of integrated TC intensity as well. 204

3. Nonlocality

[11] The above result suggests that MDR SSTs and 206 tropical mean SST are of roughly equal importance 207

205



Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

Figure 2. Correlation as a function of locality for the potential intensity, PDI, and the accumulated cyclone energy (ACE) index. A tropical mean SST contribution of zero is purely local, i.e., is the MDR SST in isolation, while a tropical mean SST contribution of (-1) is the MDR SST relative to the tropical mean. The MDRN SST (-0.8) on this scale is shown for comparison.

in determining TC intensities in the North Atlantic. 208However, it is important to note that this behavior 209is not necessarily inconsistent with potential inten-210sity (PI) theory. PI theory uses an atmospheric 211temperature profile, along with sea level pressure 212and boundary layer moisture content, to provide an 213upper bound for TC intensities [Emanuel, 1988; 214 Bister and Emanuel, 1998]. However, because of 215the small magnitude of the Coriolis parameter in 216the tropics, upper tropospheric temperatures are not 217set locally but rather by the tropical mean SST 218 [Sobel et al., 2002]. Hence, the nonlocality above 219could well be consistent with PI theory. Indeed, the 220PI has been shown to capture aspects of TC 221 intensity in the Atlantic basin [Wing et al., 2007], 222and also appears to reflect the likelihood of 223 TC development [Emanuel and Nolan, 2004; 224 Carmargo et al., 2007]. 225

[12] To examine whether the nonlocal aspects of 226TC intensity are consistent with PI theory, we 227 construct the PI for a representative sounding for 228 the Atlantic MDR using the NCEP reanalysis over 229the period 1950-2006. This representative sound-230ing is calculated by averaging the temperature 231profiles, sea level pressure, and boundary layer 232moisture content over the MDR for the months 233 ASO in any given year. The PI is then calculated 234following Bister and Emanuel [1998], with correc-235tions prior to 1980 following Emanuel [2007]. 236Note that PI appears to be linear in the sense that 237

calculating the PI for all locations within the MDR 238 and then averaging yields basically the same result 239 as calculating the PI for a representative sounding 240 as done here (G. A. Vecchi, personal communica-241 tion, 2007). 242

[13] Figure 2 shows the correlation between the PI 243 and a hypothetical SST anomaly of the form 244

$$\Delta SST = [Atlantic MDR SST] + \alpha [Tropical Mean SST] (2)$$

as a function of the parameter α , where $\alpha \in [-1, 0]$, 246 consistent with the expectation from the previous 247 section that positive tropical mean SST anomalies 248 act contrary to TC intensity in the Atlantic basin. It 249 is apparent that PI in the Atlantic MDR is more 250 local than either PDI or ACE, as its correlation is 251 maximum when $\alpha \simeq -0.5$, compared to $\alpha \simeq -0.8$ 252 (i.e., MDRN SST) for PDI or ACE. Two questions 253 immediately arise from this result. First, is this 254 degree of locality generic to the entire Atlantic 255 basin, or is the basin on the whole more nonlocal? 256 Second, why are TC intensities more nonlocal than 257 the PDI? 258

[14] Regarding the first question, extending the 259 PI analysis beyond the MDR suggests that this 260 degree of locality is particular to the MDR, as PI in 261 the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico are both 262 more strongly correlated with the MDR relative 263 SST ($\alpha = -1$) rather than the $\alpha = -0.5$ value 264 characteristic of the MDR PI itself. Moreover, this 265 degree of locality is not found in the 21st century 266 projections of PI examined by *Vecchi and Soden* 267 [2007b], as they consistently find $\alpha = -1$ provides 268 the best description of projected changes in PI over 269 the Atlantic basin. 270

[15] Regarding why TC intensities might be more 271 nonlocal than the PI itself, there appear to be three 272 possibilities, namely storm intensity scaling, storm 273 numbers, and storm duration. Let us consider 274 scaling first. Complementary cumulative distribu- 275 tion functions (CDFs) provide a succinct means by 276 which to examine whether it is changes in the 277 transitions between different categories of TCs, i.e., 278 their scaling behavior with respect to intensity as 279 they evolve from tropical storm strength to major 280 hurricane strength, or whether it is changes in the 281 maximum potential intensity of hurricanes that 282 govern fluctuations in intensity [Emanuel, 2000; 283 Swanson, 2007]. The focus is on CDFs for storms 284 that originate in the Atlantic MDR, as such storms 285 comprise the bulk of intense storms in the North 286 Atlantic Basin. 287



Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

Figure 3. Complementary cumulative distribution functions for storms originating in the Atlantic MDR. (a) Storms originating during MDR+ and MDR- years. (b) Storms originating during PI+ and PI- years. (c) Storms originating during MDR relative+ and MDR relative- years.

[16] Figure 3a shows the complementary cumulative distribution function (CDF) for years with the
10 largest and smallest Atlantic MDR SST anomalies. There is a significant difference in storms/year

between anomalously warm (MDR+) and cold 292 (MDR-) conditions, as during MDR+ years 293 8.1 storms/year form while MDR- years only 294 see 4.1 storms/year forming. However, there is no 295 apparent difference in the CDFs between these 296 anomalously warm and cold conditions. In both 297 situations, the CDFs are approximately linear ($r^2 > 298$ 0.99); the least squares best linear fit decreases 299 from unity at the tropical storm level to intersect 300 the *x* axis at roughly 75 m s⁻¹ for both MDR+ 301 and MDR- years. 302

[17] Markedly different scaling behavior is ob- 303 served for the 10 largest and smallest MDR PI 304 years (Figure 3b). The number of storms originat- 305 ing in the MDR varies much more strongly as a 306 function of PI than for the MDR SST in isolation, 307 as PI+ years experience 8.1 storms/year versus 308 2.3 storms/year for PI- years. Curiously, the 309 scaling does not vary to the same extent as storm 310 numbers. The CDF for PI- years is no longer 311 linear, as the scaling transition between tropical 312 storm-like and hurricane-like scaling discussed at 313 length by Swanson [2007] emerges and influences 314 the number of TCs that become intense. Roughly 315 35% of TCs originating in the Atlantic MDR 316 achieve category 2 strength (>43 m s⁻¹) during $_{317}$ PI- years, compared to 60% during PI+ years. 318 This change in storm scaling is exacerbated for 319 MDR relative SSTs. Figure 3c shows that only 320 20% of tropical storm-strength systems make the 321 transition to category 2 hurricanes is when MDR 322 relative SSTs are anomalously small. 323

[18] These changes in scaling behavior provide 324 some insight into why TC intensities are more 325 local than the MDR PI itself, as anomalously cold 326 MDR relative SST anomalies strongly suppresses 327 TC intensification, particularly for tropical storm 328 strength systems. However, it is useful to enlarge 329 the perspective to include the entire Atlantic basin. 330 Moving from local to nonlocal, Table 1 outlines the 331 number of storms for the decades with respectively 332 the largest/smallest MDR SST anomalies, PI 333 anomalies, MDRN SST anomalies, and MDR rel- 334 ative SST anomalies. At the tropical storm level, 335 there is not a significant difference between event 336 numbers among these measures, as roughly half as 337 many events are found during negative anomaly 338 years compared to positive anomaly years regard- 339 less of the underlying measure. Anomalous MDR 340 relative SSTs yield the largest fractional difference 341 between extreme positive and negative years, while 342 anomalous MDR SSTs yield the smallest fractional 343 difference. However, a statistically significant dis- 344



t1.2	Intensity	MDR	PI	MDR _{normal}	MDR _{relative}	PI \perp MDR _{relative}	$MDR_{relative} \perp PI$
t1.3	$ \begin{array}{c} \geq 17 \ \text{m s}^{-1} \\ \geq 33 \ \text{m s}^{-1} \\ \geq 50 \ \text{m s}^{-1} \end{array} $	13.0/8.9	15.5 /8.7	12.9/ 7.3	14.4/7.3	13.5/9.6	11.2/8.6
t1.4		7.3/4.3	8.9 /4.5	8.0/3.3	8.9/3.3	7.1/6.5	7.6/3.9
t1.5		3.1/1.8	4.1/1.5	3.7/ 0.8	4.5/0.8	3.1/2.7	3.4/1.2

t1.1 **Table 1.** Upper/Lower Quintile Events/Year, Ranging From Local (MDR SST) to Nonlocal (MDR_{relative})^a

t1.6 ^aExtreme values for each row are in bold.

tinction emerges for more intense events. Intense 345TCs (max wind $\geq 50 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) are 6 times more likely 346 to occur during extreme positive MDR relative SST 347 years compared to extreme negative years, 3 times 348 as likely during PI+ years compared to PI- years, 349 and less than twice as likely when MDR+ years 350are compared to MDR- years. Figure 4 shows 351tracks for these intense TCs for extreme positive/ 352negative PI and MDR relative SST years; the 353 reduction in the numbers of intense TCs that form 354in the Atlantic basin as a whole during years with 355negative MDR relative SSTs compared to years 356

positive MDR relative SSTs is quite striking, spans 357 the entire basin, and is not simply a product reduced 358 events originating in the MDR. 359

[19] Further examination of the MDR relative SST 360 and PI time series suggests that the signal govern- 361 ing storm scaling lies with the MDR relative SST. 362 Specifically, the last two columns of Table 1 show 363 the number of events for decades with respectively 364 the largest/smallest anomalies, first for the PI time 365 series when the MDR relative SST component is 366 removed via a Gramm-Schmidt orthogonalization, 367 and then the MDR relative SST time series when 368



Figure 4. Cyclone tracks for (a) PI+, (b) PI- years, (c) MDR relative+, and (d) MDR relative- years.



Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

Figure 5. Bubble plot showing dependence of shear over Barbados versus MDR relative SST anomalies. The size of the bubbles indicates relative PDI; the largest bubble is a factor of 20 larger than the smallest bubble.

the PI component is removed in a similar manner. 369 The PI time series "cleaned" of the MDR relative 370 SST signal shows very little difference in the 371 scaling of TC intensity regardless of whether the 372 cleaned PI is anomalously high or low. In contrast, 373 the cleaned MDR relative SST shows basically the 374same scaling as the MDR relative SST itself 375 (column 2). This suggests that some factor other 376 than PI controls the enhanced sensitivity of TC 377 intensity to MDR relative SST. 378

379 **4. Shear**

[20] Wind shear provides one possible explanation 380 why intense TC events are more sensitive to 381 382 changes in MDR relative SST than to changes in PI, as it is broadly recognized to be detrimental to 383 tropical cyclone formation and intensification 384 [Gray, 1968; Goldenberg and Shapiro, 1996; 385 Vecchi and Soden, 2007a]. Shear in the tropics is 386 a natural quantity to be associated with nonlocal 387 control, as it depends upon the distribution of deep 388 convection in the tropics, which itself is a function 389 of SST anomalies relative to the tropical mean 390 [Sobel et al., 2002]. To avoid inconsistencies in 391 the various reanalyses associated with the inclusion 392 of satellite data in the late 1970s, we consider the 393 wind shear associated with a single sounding on 394 the western edge of the MDR, and treat that 395sounding as being representative of the conditions 396 within the MDR. The specific site we consider is 397 Barbados (WMO ID#78954), at longitude 398

59°29′W, 13°4′N. Data from 1966 to 2006 are 399 considered and are obtained from NOAA's National 400 Climatic Data Center. The measure of shear we 401 consider is the magnitude of the ASO 250– 402 850 hPa wind vector. 403

[21] Wind shear appears to provide a plausible 404 explanation why the PDI is much more nonlocal 405 than the PI, as the Barbados shear is correlated with 406 PI at r = -0.45, while it is correlated with the 407 MDR relative SST at r = -0.7. The difference in 408 correlations in this case is significant, with p < 4090.002. GCMs also appear to capture this relation- 410 ship between MDR relative SST and shear, and 411 indeed suggest if may be stronger than the single 412 sounding analysis here indicates. For ASO, the 413 20th century simulations using the HADCM3 414 model shows that the 250-850 hPa shear in the 415 vicinity of Barbados (10-20°N, 50-70°W) is 416 correlated with MDR relative SST anomalies at 417 r = -0.8. Within the GCM, this is due primarily to 418 the weakening of the Walker circulation over the 419 Pacific when MDR relative SST anomalies are 420 negative. As shown by Vecchi and Soden [2007a] 421 this weakening is accompanied by increased shear 422 in the vicinity of the Atlantic MDR. This relation- 423 ship between MDR relative SST anomalies and 424 wind shear appears to be unique to the Atlantic, 425 although the precise underlying physical mecha- 426 nism linking the two quantities is obscure. 427

[22] Wind shear appears to limit storm numbers in 428 a manner similar to MDR relative SST anomalies. 429 Specifically, during the 10 years with the highest 430 shear (1966-2006) over Barbados an average of 431 2.2 storms/year develop in the MDR, compared to 432 7.9 storms/year for the 10 years with the lowest 433 shear. High shear also impacts storm scaling in a 434 manner similar to the negative MDR relative SST 435 years shown in Figure 3c. The variability of 436 Atlantic PDI with shear and MDR relative SST 437 anomalies is summarized in the bubble plot of 438 Figure 5; the covariability of these two quantities 439 is apparent, as is the coincidence of large PDI years 440 with positive MDR relative SST anomalies and low 441 shear. It appears as if shear acts in a multiplicative 442 sense with fluctuations in storm numbers largely 443 associated with changes in PI to inhibit the transi- 444 tion of TCs from tropical storm strength to major 445 hurricane strength. Specifically, a 1°C decrease in 446 the MDR relative SST anomaly from its 2005 level 447 leads to a collapse in PDI, where collapse here is 448 used in the biological sense, meaning a decrease of 449 90%. As suggested by Figures 3 and 4, and Table 1, 450 this collapse is a product of a marked reduction in 451

452 storm numbers as well as significantly altered 453 scaling of TC intensities.

454 5. Discussion and Conclusions

Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

[23] There are two primary points arising from this 455work that deserve further comment. First, in the 456457Atlantic the MDR relative SST appears to provide a reasonable "poor man's" approximation to the PI, 458one which does not require knowledge of thermo-459dynamic profiles to calculate. This is important, as 460 it allows for interpretation of historical fluctuations 461 in TC intensity prior to the advent of consistent 462atmospheric sounding in the tropics during the 4631950s. In addition, it allows for easier interpreta-464 tion of PI variability in climate model simulations, 465as outlined by Vecchi and Soden [2007b]. Secondly, 466 this work highlights the fact that changes in TC 467behavior are not simply a response to changing 468 SSTs in the Atlantic MDR. TC intensities in the 469Atlantic appear to depend as much on the tropical 470 mean SSTs (in a negative sense) as they do upon 471SSTs local to the MDR. This dependence is a 472 function of changes both in the thermodynamic 473profile of the atmosphere as well as in the shear. 474 The strength of the statistical relationship between 475Atlantic TC intensities and Atlantic MDR SSTs 476relative to the tropical mean SST (i.e., MDRN SST 477 anomalies) shown in Figure 1c is remarkable, and 478it is curious that it has escaped notice. This is 479particularly true in light of the fact that the tight 480 relationship between these two quantities should 481 have implications for seasonal forecasting of TC 482intensities [Gray et al., 1993]. 483

[24] That much said, the tropical Atlantic certainly 484 could evolve toward a state where TC intensities 485are locally determined under climate change sce-486narios. In particular, this would occur if Atlantic 487 SSTs became much warmer than the tropical mean 488 SST, a situation in which one would expect the 489 entire thermodynamic profile over the Atlantic to 490be controlled by local SSTs driving the overlying 491 atmosphere toward a consistent moist adiabat. 492However, this does not appear to explain what 493has happened in the Atlantic MDR since the 494 1970s. While Atlantic MDR SSTs have warmed 495relative to the tropical mean SST over that period, 496at no time have they significantly exceeded the 497tropical mean. Whether this enhanced warming of 498Atlantic MDR SSTs relative to the tropical mean 499SST is a signature of global warming and will 500continue into the future is not apparent. However, 501climate change simulations suggest that Atlantic 502MDR relative SST anomalies will increasingly turn 503

negative through the 21st century [*Vecchi and* 504 Soden, 2007a, 2007b]. Given the roughly equal 505 importance of MDR SST anomalies and tropical 506 mean SST anomalies in the negative sense in 507 determining Atlantic TC intensities, it is far from 508 apparent whether efforts to apportion "blame" for 509 the hyperactive 2005 season to global warming 510 [*Trenberth and Shea*, 2006] or to the Atlantic 511 Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) along the lines 512 of *Goldenberg et al.* [2001] are well founded. 513

[25] Insofar as the relationship between MDR 514 relative SST anomalies and TC intensities extends 515 to other hurricane basins, it is worth noting that the 516 result here is consistent with the lack of trends in 517 TC intensity in other basins [Kossin et al., 2007]. 518 Not all hurricane basins can be anomalously warm 519 relative to the tropical mean at the same time, 520 hence TC intensities may not be able to be simul- 521 taneously above average. In this light, Vecchi and 522 Soden [2007b] show that a proxy for PI in the 523 Atlantic, which in their case is simply the differ- 524 ence between local SST and the tropical mean, fails 525 to show any trend in the 21st century in spite of a 526 significant increase in SST in the Atlantic MDR 527 over that period. Instead, it is the western Pacific 528 basin that warms consistently relative to the trop- 529 ical mean SST over the 21st century, and as such 530 captures the bulk of the increase in TC intensity. 531

[26] Finally, the relationship between relative 532 MDR SST anomalies and PDI shown in Figure 1c 533 suggests an additional role for TCs in the climate 534 system. It is well understood that TCs actively cool 535 local SSTs via the breaking of inertial waves that 536 entrain water from the ocean mixed layer base 537 [Emanuel, 2001; Sriver and Huber, 2007]. The 538 response to relative SST anomalies suggests that 539 TCs may act to homogenize SSTs within the 540 tropics, preventing the SST in any one area of the 541 tropics from greatly exceeding the tropical mean 542 SST. Thus, it may be that TC intensity fluctuations 543 in a given basin are self-correcting. Whether such 544 self correction actually occurs, and if so whether 545 it will continue to do so under climate change 546 scenarios is an important question that deserves 547 further examination. 548

Acknowledgments

549

[27] The author gratefully acknowledges Kerry Emanuel for 550 maintaining the North Atlantic tropical cyclone database, for 551 making available the computer code to calculate potential 552 intensity, and for comments on an earlier draft of the manu- 553 script. Discussions regarding the manuscript with Gabriel 554 Vecchi were particularly enlightening, and comments by 555



556 Adam Sobel were also helpful in focusing the discussion.

Geochemistry

Geophysics Geosystems

- 557 Climate model output from the HADCM3 model was obtained
- 558 from the PCMDI archive (http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov). K. L.
- 559~ S. was supported by a grant from NSF under the CLIVAR
- 560 program.

562 **References**

- 563 Bister, M., and K. A. Emanuel (1998), Dissipative heating and
- 564 hurricane intensity, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 65(3–4), 233– 565 240.
- 566 Carmargo, S. J., K. A. Emanueal, and A. H. Sobel (2007), Use
- 567 of genesis potential index to diagnose ENSO effects upon
- tropical cyclone genesis, J. Clim., 20, 4819–4834.
- 569 Elsner, J. B., B. H. Bossak, and X.-F. Niu (2001), Secular
- 570 Changes to the ENSO-U.S. Hurricane Relationship, *Geo-*571 *phys. Res. Lett.*, 28(21), 4123–4126.
- 572 Elsner, J. B., A. A. Tsonis, and T. H. Jagger (2006), High-
- 573 frequency variability in hurricane power dissipation and its 574 relationship to global temperature, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*,
- 87, 763–768.
 Emanuel, K. A. (1988), The maximum intensity of hurricanes,
- 577 *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 45, 1143–1155.
- Emanuel, K. A. (2000), A statistical analysis of tropical
 cyclone intensity, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, *128*, 1139–1152.
- Emanuel, K. A. (2001), The contribution of tropical cyclones
 to the oceans' meridional heat transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*, 14,771–14,782.
- Emanuel, K. A. (2005), Increasing destructiveness of tropical
 cyclones over the past 30 years, *Nature*, 436, 686–688.
- Emanuel, K. A. (2007), Environmental factors affecting tropical cyclone power dissipation, *J. Clim.*, 20, 5497–5509.
- 587 Emanuel, K. A., and D. S. Nolan (2004), Tropical cyclones
- 588 and the global climate system, paper presented at 26th 589 Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology Am
- 589 Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology, Am. 590 Meteorol. Soc., Miami, Fla.
- 591 Goldenberg, S. B., and L. J. Shapiro (1996), Physical mechan-
- isms for the association of El Niño and West African rainfall
 with Atlantic major hurricane activity, *J. Clim.*, 9, 1169–
 1187.
- 594 1107.
- Goldenberg, S. B., C. W. Landsea, A. M. Mestas-Nuñez, and
 W. M. Gray (2001), The recent increase in Atlantic hurricane
- 597 activity: Causes and implications, *Science*, 293, 474–479.
- Gray, W. M. (1968), Global view of the origin of tropical
 disturbances and storms, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 96, 669–700.
- 600 Gray, W. M. (1984), Atlantic seasonal hurricane frequency,
- 601 part I: El Nino and 30 mb quasi-biennial oscillation influ-602 ences, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 115, 1649–1668.

- Gray, W. M., C. W. Landsea, P. W. Mielke Jr., and K. J. Berry 603 (1993), Predicting Atlantic basin seasonal tropical cyclone 604 activity by 1 August, *Weather Forecasting*, 8, 73–86. 605
- Hoyos, C. D., P. A. Agudelo, P. J. Webster, and J. A. Curry 606 (2006), Deconvolution of the factors contributing to the increase in global hurricane intensity, *Science*, 312, 94–97. 608
- Kossin, J. P., K. R. Knapp, D. J. Vimont, R. J. Murnane, and 609 B. A. Harper (2007), A globally consistent reanalysis of 610 hurricane variability and trends, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, 611 L04815, doi:10.1029/2006GL028836. 612
- Mann, M. E., and K. A. Emanuel (2006), Atlantic hurricane 613 trends linked to climate change, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 87, 233–614 235. 615
- Pielke, R. A., and C. W. Landsea (1999), La Niña, El Niño, 616 and Atlantic hurricane damages in the United States, *Bull.* 617 *Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 80, 2027–2033. 618
- Shen, W., R. E. Tuleya, and I. Ginis (2000), A sensitivity study 619 of the thermodynamic environment on GFDL model hurri- 620 cane intensity: Implications for global warming, *J. Clim.*, *13*, 621 109–121. 622
- Smith, T. M., and R. W. Reynolds (2004), Improved extended 623 reconstruction of SST (1854–1997), *J. Clim.*, *17*, 2466–624 2477. 625
- Sobel, A. H., I. M. Held, and C. S. Bretherton (2002), The 626 ENSO signal in tropical tropospheric temperature, *J. Clim.*, 627 15, 2702–2706. 628
- Sriver, R. L., and M. Huber (2007), Observational evidence for 629 an ocean heat pump induced by tropical cyclones, *Nature*, 630 447, 577–580. 631
- Swanson, K. L. (2007), Impact of scaling behavior on tropical 632 cyclone intensities, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L18815, 633 doi:10.1029/2007GL030851.
- Tang, B. H., and J. D. Neelin (2004), ENSO influence on 635
 Atlantic hurricanes via tropospheric warming, *Geophys.* 636 *Res. Lett.*, 31, L24204, doi:10.1029/2004GL021072. 637
- Trenberth, K. E., and D. J. Shea (2006), Atlantic hurricanes 638 and natural variability in 2005, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, 639 L12704, doi:10.1029/2006GL026894. 640
- Vecchi, G. A., and B. J. Soden (2007a), Increased tropical 641 Atlantic wind shear in model projections of global warming, 642 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L08702, doi:10.1029/ 643 2006GL028905. 644
- Vecchi, G. A., and B. J. Soden (2007b), Effect of remote sea 645 surface temperature change on tropical cyclone potential intensity, *Nature*, 450, 1066–1070. 647
- Wing, A. A., A. H. Sobel, and S. J. Camargo (2007), Relation-648 ship between the potential and actual intensities of tropical cyclones on interannual time scales, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, 650 L08810, doi:10.1029/2006GL028581.