

Creating a Tool to Evaluate MMUCC Compatibility of State Crash Reports

Neal Paschal

Graduate Research Assistant, Dept. of Civil, Const., and Env. Engineering, The University of Alabama www.gisreasearch.ua.edu

Introduction



CENTER for ADVANCED

PUBLIC SAFETY

- The Model Minimum Uniform Crash Criteria was first created to help set a standardization of crash report data between different States, as states may collect and report on different information.
- In the past, federal grant money has been tied with a certain level of MMUCC

Create a tool that can:

- . Determine MMUCC compatibility of a crash report if a State's Data Dictionary is uploaded.
- . Generate a list of what items are missing to increase MMUCC

compatibility or proof that a State is in the process of becoming more compatible.

• MMUCC currently contains 77 main elements to be collected at the scene, and 33 elements to be derived or linked to later.

compatibility.

- . Let users select items they know to map, but weren't selected by the
- tool. These items are saved by the tool for future use to help it "learn" because nomenclature may vary state to state.

Methodology

Unknown

Daylight

Unknown

Dawn

Dusk

A data dictionary (**DD**) is uploaded for the tool to use. The user then selects from it two columns for the tool to use: one for the main elements (Ex. Weather Conditions) and one for the main attributes of each element (Ex. Windy, rainy, snow, etc.).

Preliminary mapping for each MMUCC element is done automatically to see if it has a corresponding match in the data dictionary. If there is a match at the element

Identifier	MMUCC Element	MMUCC Attribute	Data Dictionary Corresponding Element	Match?	Element % matching	Data Dictionary corresponding Attribut	te	Match?	Overall elements
C10	Source of Information	Law Enforcement Agency Identifier	Enforcement Agency Name	1	100.0%	1	100	0	0.0%
		Motorist	Enforcement Agency Name	1		1	100	0	
C11	Weather Conditions	Clear	Weather Conditions	1	100.0%	Clear		1	91.7%
		Cloudy	Weather Conditions	1		Cloudy		1	
		Fog, Smog, Smoke	Weather Conditions	1		1	100	0	
		Rain	Weather Conditions	1		Rain		1	
		Sleet or Hail	Weather Conditions	1		Sleet/Hail		1	
		Freezing Rain or Freezing Drizzle	Weather Conditions	1		Freezing Rain or Freezing Drizzle		1	
		Snow	Weather Conditions	1		Snow		1	
		Blowing Snow	Weather Conditions	1		Blowing Snow		1	
		Severe Crosswinds	Weather Conditions	1		Severe Winds		1	
		Blowing Sand, Soil, Dirt	Weather Conditions	1		Blowing Sand, Soil, Dirt		1	
		Other	Weather Conditions	1		Other		1	

level, it next tries to match the attributes for that element with what is in the DD.

If there are elements/attributes that did turn not up a match result, the user has the option to select elements/attributes they know to match. The Tool then saves those selected items in a repository to be used as matching criteria for future elements.

For example, a data dictionary of the Wisconsin crash report was uploaded and had preliminary matching results shown here:

Crash	Vehicle	Person	Linkage
63.16%	83.61%	70.04%	77.88%
	Total Score]
82.39	109	75.59%	
0		(; ; _ _; _ ; _ _; ; _ _; _ ; _	in alunda di
Overall I	Mappability %	6 (attributes	included)
Overall I Crash	Mappability % Vehicle	ő (attributes i Person	ncluded) Linkage
Overall I Crash 48.18%	Mappability % Vehicle 58.15%	6 (attributes i Person 48.87%	included) Linkage 55.78%
Overall I Crash 48.18%	Mappability % Vehicle 58.15%	6 (attributes i Person 48.87%	ncluded) Linkage 55.78%
Overall I Crash 48.18%	Vappability % Vehicle 58.15% Total Score	6 (attributes i Person 48.87%	included) Linkage 55.78%

Elements Mappability % (attributes not included)

MMUCC elements that did not initial map due to different wording or nomenclature were then selected from the DD and added to the repository.

After, the new mappability score is shown for Wisconsin:

\ /	Elements Mappability % (attributes not included)						
Y	Crash	ash Vehicle Person		Linkage			
	89.47%	94.44%	97.56%	81.82%			
m							
	00 65	100	01 / 2%				

65.54 109 60.13% user selected elements from Wisconsin's DD. Afterwards, elements that still turned up without a match were selected from Alabama's DD and added to the tool's memory bank.

A data dictionary for the state of Alabama was

uploaded and then mapped with access to the

	included)	attributes	Appability %	verall N		
VVISCO	Linkage	Person	Vehicle	rash		
cocon	56.68%	59.60%	63.98%	.63%		
Secon						
from	Total Score					

onsin's data dictionary was run again a d time, but now using the saved words Alabama as well.

Results and Conclusion





Light Condition

	Missing MMUCC items from Da	ta Dictionary
MMUCC element	MMUCC element	MMUCC attribute
C9	Manner of Crash/Collision Impact	Angle
C10	Source of Information	Law Enforcement Agency Identifier
C10	Source of Information	Motorist
C11	Weather Conditions	Fog, Smog, Smoke
V8	Motor Vehicle Body Type Category	All Terrain Vehicle (ATV)
V8	Motor Vehicle Body Type Category	Other Light Trucks (10,000 lbs GVWR or less)
V8	Motor Vehicle Body Type Category	Medium/Heavy Trucks (more than 10,000 lbs GVWR
P9	Air Bag Deployed	Not Deployed
P9	Air Bag Deployed	Deployment Unknown
P10	Ejection	Ejected, Partially
P10	Ejection	Ejected, Totally
P12	Driver License Number, Class, CDL and Endorsements**	License Number
P12	Driver License Number, Class, CDL and Endorsements**	Class

Elements Mappability % (attributes not included)								
Crash	Crash Vehicle Person Linkage							
89.47%	98.61%	95.38%	81.82%					
Total Score								
100.29								

Overall Mappability % (attributes included)								
Crash	Crash Vehicle Person							
57.63%	57.63% 67.31% 59.60%							
66.54	66.54 109 61.04%							

The tool generates a report afterwards listing which MMUCC items are missing from the data dictionary and did not map, and can be added to increase MMUCC compliance.

After running the Wisconsin DD through the tool again using the saved words from Alabama also, the overall mappability still improved. This shows that as more data dictionaries are uploaded and more terminology that different states use saved in the tool's repository, it becomes more accurate just by being used.

-Upload more Data Dictionaries to create a bigger, more knowledgeable memory bank

-Make attribute-element matching more consistent and accurate

-Publish tool in a web format to be easily available for

use to anyone with access



-Dr. Andrew Graettinger, Professor, UA

-The Center for Advanced Public Safety at UA

-Alabama Department of Transportation

-Wisconsin Traffic Safety and Operations Lab