Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

# A comparison of large scale dimension of a metric space to the dimension of its boundary $\stackrel{\bigstar}{\approx}$

Craig R. Guilbault<sup>a</sup>, Molly A. Moran<sup>b,\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, 53201, United States
 <sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Colorado College, Colorado Springs, CO, 80903, United States

ABSTRACT

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 July 2015 Received in revised form 1 December 2015 Accepted 4 December 2015 Available online 11 December 2015

MSC: primary 55M10 secondary 54F45, 20F65

Keywords: Asymptotic dimension CAT(0) group Z-structure Group boundary

#### 1. Introduction

The primary goal of this paper is to establish a connection between the asymptotic dimension of a group admitting a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure and the covering dimension of the group's boundary.

For hyperbolic G, the relationship is strong; Buyalo and Lebedeva [5] have shown that  $\operatorname{asdim} G = \dim \partial G + 1$ . In [6], a partial extension to CAT(0) groups was attempted. Specifically, it was claimed that  $\operatorname{asdim} G \ge \dim \partial G + 1$ , where  $\partial G$  is any CAT(0) boundary of G. However, in MathSciNet review MR3058238, X. Xie pointed out a critical error in the proof. Here we recover the same inequality as a special case of a more general theorem.

\* Corresponding author.







Buyalo and Lebedeva have shown that the asymptotic dimension of a hyperbolic group is equal to the dimension of the group boundary plus one. Among the work presented here is a partial extension of that result to all groups admitting  $\mathcal{Z}$ -structures; in particular, we show that  $\operatorname{asdim} G \geq \dim Z + 1$  where Z is the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -boundary.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Work on this project was aided by a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant No. 207264.

E-mail addresses: craigg@uwm.edu (C.R. Guilbault), molly.moran@coloradocollege.edu (M.A. Moran).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose a group G admits a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure,  $(\overline{X}, Z)$ . Then dim  $Z + 1 \leq \operatorname{asdim} G$ .

By a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure on G, we are referring to the axiomatized approach to group boundaries laid out in [2] and expanded upon in [8]. Groups known to admit  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structures include: hyperbolic groups (with X being a Rips complex and  $Z = \partial G$ ) [3]; CAT(0) groups (with X being the CAT(0) space and Z its visual boundary) [2]; systolic groups [15], Baumslag–Solitar groups [10]; as well as various combinations of these classes, as described in [17,7,13]. Definitions of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure and other key terms used here will be provided in the next section. Theorem 1 will be obtained from a more general observation about metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose a proper metric space (X, d) admits a controlled  $\mathfrak{Z}$ -compactification  $\overline{X} = X \cup Z$ . Then  $\dim Z + 1 \leq \dim_{\mathrm{mc}} X$ .

Here,  $\dim_{mc}$  stands for Gromov's macroscopic dimension, a type of large scale dimension for metric spaces that is less restrictive than asymptotic dimension in that, for any (X, d),  $\dim_{mc} X \leq \operatorname{asdim} X$ . To complete the proof of Theorem 1 it will then suffice to show that, for a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure  $(\overline{X}, Z)$  on a group G,  $\overline{X}$  is a controlled  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactification and  $\operatorname{asdim} X = \operatorname{asdim} G$ .

Theorem 2 is inspired by the main argument in [11] together with the point of view presented in [14].

### 2. Background and definitions

We begin by providing a few definitions and results for the different dimension theories and then we discuss controlled 2-compactifications and 2-structures.

Given a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a metric space X, mesh $\mathcal{U} = \sup\{\operatorname{diam}(U) | U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . The cover is **uniformly bounded** if there exists some D > 0 such that mesh $\mathcal{U} \leq D$ . The **order** of  $\mathcal{U}$  is the smallest integer n for which each element  $x \in X$  is contained in at most n elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 3.** The covering dimension of a space X is the minimal integer n such that every open cover of X has an open refinement of order at most n + 1.

There are various ways to show that a space has finite covering dimension. When working with compact metric spaces, we prefer the following.

**Lemma 4.** For a compact metric space X, dim  $X \le n$  if and only if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X with mesh $\mathcal{U} < \epsilon$  and order $\mathcal{U} \le n + 1$ .

Covering dimension can be thought of as a small-scale property. Gromov introduced asymptotic dimension as a large scale analog of covering dimension [9].

**Definition 5.** The asymptotic dimension of a metric space X is the minimal integer n such that for every uniformly bounded open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of X, there is a uniformly bounded open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X with order  $\mathcal{U} \leq n+1$  so that  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . In this case, we write asdim X = n.

We note here that the covers need not be open in the definition of asymptotic dimension. We chose to follow conventional definitions here. For a nice survey of asymptotic dimension, see [1]. Although Theorem 1 is stated for asymptotic dimension, we will prove a stronger result using a weaker notion of large scale dimension known as (Gromov) macroscopic dimension.

**Definition 6.** The **Gromov macroscopic dimension** of a metric space X is the minimal integer n such that there exists a uniformly bounded open cover of X with order at most n + 1. In this case, we write  $\dim_{mc} X = n$ .

Clearly  $\dim_{\mathrm{mc}} X \leq \operatorname{asdim} X$  for every metric space X.

As noted in the introduction, Theorem 1 about groups and their boundaries will be deduced from a broader observation about certain  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactifications of metric spaces. Recall that a closed subset, A, of an ANR, Y, is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -set if there exists a homotopy  $H: Y \times [0,1] \to Y$  such that  $H_0 = \operatorname{id}_Y$  and  $H_t(Y) \subset Y - A$  for every t > 0.

**Definition 7.** A controlled  $\mathfrak{Z}$ -compactification of a proper metric space X is a compactification  $\overline{X} = X \cup Z$  satisfying the following two conditions:

- Z is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -set in  $\overline{X}$
- For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every R > 0, there exists a compact set  $K \subset X$  so that every ball of radius R in X not intersecting K has diameter less than  $\epsilon$  in  $\overline{X}$ .

In this case, Z is called a **\mathfrak{Z}-boundary**, or simply a **boundary** for X.

There are a few things to take note of in the above definition. First, we have followed tradition and defined  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sets in ANRs; hence the compactification  $\overline{X}$  must be an ANR. Furthermore, since open subsets of ANRs are also ANRs, X must be an ANR to be a candidate for a controlled  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactification.<sup>1</sup> Secondly, it is important to distinguish between the (proper) metric d on X and the metric  $\overline{d}$  on  $\overline{X}$ . The second condition, which we call the *control condition*, says balls of radius R in (X, d) get arbitrarily small near the boundary, when viewed in  $(\overline{X}, \overline{d})$ . The metric d is crucial; it is given in advance and determines the geometry of X. For our purposes the metric on  $\overline{X}$  is arbitrary; any  $\overline{d}$  determining the appropriate topology can be used.

**Example 8.** The addition of the visual boundary to a proper CAT(0) space is a prototypical example in Geometric Group Theory of a controlled  $\mathcal{Z}$ -compactification.

In the presence of nice group actions, controlled Z-compactifications arise rather naturally. As a result, our discussion can be extended to asymptotic dimension of groups and covering dimension of group boundaries. The following definition is key.

**Definition 9.** A  $\mathfrak{Z}$ -structure on a group G is a pair of spaces  $(\overline{X}, Z)$  satisfying the following four conditions:

- (1)  $\overline{X}$  is a compact AR,
- (2) Z is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -set in  $\overline{X}$ ,
- (3)  $X = \overline{X} Z$  is a proper metric space on which G acts properly, cocompactly, by isometries, and
- (4)  $\overline{X}$  satisfies a *nullity condition* with respect to the action of G on X: for every compact  $C \subseteq X$  and any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\overline{X}$ , all but finitely many G translates of C lie in an element of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Remark 1.** This definition of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -structure is due to Dranishnikov [8]. It generalizes Bestvina's original definition from [2] by allowing  $\overline{X}$  to be infinite-dimensional and G to have torsion. We have added an explicit requirement that the metric on X be proper; a quick review of [8] reveals that this requirement was assumed there as well.

**Remark 2.** If  $\overline{d}$  is a metric on  $\overline{X}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can consider the open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\overline{X}$  that consists of all open balls of radius  $\epsilon$  (in the  $\overline{d}$  metric). The nullity condition in (4) can then be restated as follows: for every compact  $C \subset X$ , all but finitely many G translates of C have diameter less than  $\epsilon$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Remark 3.

### 3. Proofs

We begin with a proof of Theorem 2, as the other results will be obtained from it. A key ingredient is the following classical fact about covering dimension.

**Lemma 10.** ([12]) For any nonempty locally compact metric space X,  $\dim(X \times [0,1]) = \dim X + 1$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose X admits a controlled  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactification,  $\overline{X} = X \cup Z$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that  $\dim_{\mathrm{mc}} X = n$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a uniformly bounded open cover of X with order  $\mathcal{U} \leq n + 1$ .

Using the control condition, we may choose a compact set  $K_0$  such that  $\operatorname{diam}_{\overline{d}}U \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with the property that  $U \cap K_0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \in \mathcal{U} | U \cap K_0 = \emptyset\}$ .

Since Z is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -set, there is a homotopy  $J : \overline{X} \times [0,1] \to \overline{X}$  such that  $J_0 = \operatorname{id}_{\overline{X}}$  and  $J_t(\overline{X}) \cap Z = \emptyset$ for all t > 0. By compactness there is some T > 0 such that  $\overline{d}(z, J_t(z)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for all  $z \in Z$  and  $t \in [0,T]$ . Furthermore, we may choose T' > 0 so that  $J(Z \times (0,T']) \subset \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}'} U$ . Set  $t_0 = \min\{T,T'\}$ .

Define  $H: \overline{X} \times [0,1] \to \overline{X}$  by setting  $H(x,t) = J(x,t_0 \cdot t)$ . Restrict H to  $Z \times [0,1]$ . We will reparametrize  $H: Z \times [0,1] \to \overline{X}$  in a manner similar to [11], so that pre-images of the open sets in  $\mathcal{U}'$  have small mesh. After one additional adjustment, those pre-images will form the desired cover of  $Z \times [0,1]$ . For convenience we will use the  $\ell_{\infty}$  metric on  $Z \times [0,1]$ ,  $d_{\infty} = \max\{\overline{d}, |\cdot|\}$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the standard metric on [0,1].

Pick  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  so that  $\frac{3}{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Choose  $t_1 > t_2 > \cdots > t_{n+1} \in [0, 1]$  and compact sets  $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_{n+1} \subset X$  as follows:

- let  $t_1 = 1$  and choose  $K_1$  so that  $H(Z \times \{1\}) \subset K_1$
- for i = 2, 3, ..., n, choose  $t_i$  so that  $H(Z \times [0, t_i]) \cap K_{i-1} = \emptyset$  and  $K_i \subset X$  so that  $H(Z \times [t_i, 1]) \cup K_{i-1} \subset K_i$ and  $K_i$  contains all elements of  $\mathcal{U}'$  that intersect  $K_{i-1}$ . (By properness, elements of  $\mathcal{U}'$  have compact closures in X.)
- let  $t_{n+1} = 0$  and  $K_{n+1} = \overline{X}$ .

Let  $\lambda : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a strictly increasing piecewise linear function with  $\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $\lambda(1) = 1$ , and  $\lambda\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = t_{n-i+1}$ . Reparametrize H using  $\lambda$  and then push  $Z \times [0,1]$  completely into X by using the map  $F : Z \times [0,1] \to X$  defined by  $F(z,s) = H(z,\lambda(s))$  for  $s \in [\frac{1}{n},1]$  and  $F(z,s) = H(z,\frac{1}{n})$  for  $s \in [0,\frac{1}{n}]$ .

We show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{F^{-1}(U) | U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$  is an open cover of  $Z \times [0, 1]$  with mesh at most  $\epsilon$  and order at most n + 1.

Let  $(z, s), (z', s') \in F^{-1}(U)$  and set y = F(z, s), y' = F(z', s') and  $t = \lambda(s), t' = \lambda(s')$ . Choose  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$  such that  $y \in K_j - K_{j-1}$ . By the choice of  $K_i$  and  $t_i$  values from above,  $t_{j+1} < t < t_{j-1}$ . Thus,  $\frac{n-j}{n} < s < \frac{2+n-j}{n}$ . Since  $y, y' \in U$  and  $y \in K_j$ , then  $U \cap K_j = \emptyset$ , so  $y' \in K_{j+1}$ . Furthermore,  $y' \notin K_{j-2}$  because if it were,  $U \cap K_{j-2} \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \subset K_{j-1}$ , a contradiction to the choice of j. Thus,  $y' \in K_{j+1} - K_{j-2}$ . Similar reasoning as above for t shows that  $t_{j+2} < t' < t_{j-2}$  and  $\frac{n-1-j}{n} < s' < \frac{n+3-j}{n}$ . Thus,

$$|s-s'| < \frac{n+3-j}{n} - \frac{n-j}{n} = \frac{3}{n} < \epsilon$$

Moreover,

$$\overline{d}(z, z') \leq \overline{d}(z, y) + \overline{d}(y, y') + \overline{d}(y', z')$$

$$= \overline{d}(z, H(z, \lambda(s))) + \overline{d}(y, y') + \overline{d}(z'H(z', \lambda(s')))$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

By the above  $d_{\infty}((z,s),(z',s')) < \epsilon$ , proving  $\operatorname{mesh}_{d_{\infty}} \mathcal{V} < \epsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  consists of the pre-images of  $\mathcal{U}'$  and order  $\mathcal{U}' \leq n+1$ , then  $\operatorname{order} \mathcal{V} \leq n+1$ . Using the definition of dimension in Lemma 4 we have  $\dim(Z \times [0,1]) \leq n$  and an application of Lemma 10 finishes the claim.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.** We have chosen to follow the traditional definition of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sets and require  $\overline{X}$  to be an ANR. However, the above proof also applies to more general metric spaces. In particular, we make no use of the ANR properties of  $\overline{X}$  or X; if Z is a closed subset of any compact metric space  $\overline{X}$  and it is possible to instantly homotope  $\overline{X}$  off of Z, then the proof of Theorem 2 will go through as above.

From Theorem 2 we obtain a correct proof of the main assertion of [6, Cor. 1.2], which does not involve groups.

## **Corollary 11.** If X is a proper CAT(0) space, then asdim $X \ge \dim \partial X + 1$ .

To obtain Theorem 1, we first must show that the notion of controlled  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactification applies to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure  $(\overline{X}, Z)$  on a group G. Since  $Z \subseteq \overline{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -set, all that remains to show is that open balls in X become small near the boundary. The cocompact action by isometries combined with the nullity condition will grant that control.

**Lemma 12.** Suppose a group G admits a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure,  $(\overline{X}, Z)$ . Then  $\overline{X}$  is a controlled  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactification of  $X = \overline{X} - Z$ .

**Proof.** Fix a metric d on X and  $\overline{d}$  on  $\overline{X}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  and R > 0. By cocompactness, there is a compact set  $C \subset X$  such that  $X \subset GC$ . Choose r > 0 and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $C \subset B(x_0, r) \subset X$ . By the nullity condition, all but finitely many G translates of  $\overline{B(x_0, r+R)}$  have diameter less than  $\epsilon$  in  $\overline{X}$  (see Remark 2). Set  $\Gamma = \{g \in G | \operatorname{diam}_{\overline{d}} gB(x_0, r+R) \ge \epsilon\}$  and let  $K' = \overline{X} - \bigcup_{g \in G - \Gamma} gB(x_0, r+R)$ . Thus, K' is a compact subset of X that satisfies the property that if  $gB(x_0, r+R) \cap K' = \emptyset$  for some  $g \in G$ , then  $\operatorname{diam}_{\overline{d}} gB(x_0, r+R) < \epsilon$ . Let  $K = \overline{N_{2r+R}(K')}$  be the closed 2r+R neighborhood of K' in X. We show this is the desired compact set. Thus, let  $B(x, R) \subset X$  for some  $x \in X$  with  $B(x, R) \cap K = \emptyset$ . Choose  $g \in G$  such that  $gx \in C$ . Then,  $B(x, R) \subset g^{-1}B(x_0, r+R)$  since for any  $y \in B(x, R)$ ,

$$d(y, g^{-1}x_0) \le d(y, x) + d(x, g^{-1}x_0) < R + r$$

Furthermore,  $g^{-1}B(x_0, r+R) \cap K' = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, there would be some  $z \in g^{-1}B(x_0, r+R) \cap K'$  and  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, g^{-1}x_0) + d(g^{-1}x_0, z) < 2r + R$ . However,  $B(x, R) \cap K = \emptyset$ , so, d(x, K') > 2r + R. Because  $z \in K'$ , we obtain the required contradiction.

Thus  $\operatorname{diam}_{\overline{d}}g^{-1}B(x_0, r+R) < \epsilon$ . B(x, R), being a subset of  $g^{-1}B(x_0, r+R)$ , will also have diameter smaller than  $\epsilon$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose a group G admits a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -structure  $(\overline{X}, Z)$ . By Lemma 12,  $\overline{X}$  is a controlled  $\mathbb{Z}$ -compactification of X. Thus, by Theorem 2,  $\operatorname{asdim} X \ge \dim Z + 1$ . Since G acts geometrically on X, G is coarsely equivalent to X (see Corollary 0.9 in [4]). Moreover, by [16], asymptotic dimension is a coarse invariant; so  $\operatorname{asdim} X = \operatorname{asdim} G$ .  $\Box$ 

#### References

- [1] G. Bell, A. Dranishnikov, Asymptotic dimension in Będlewo, Topol. Proc. 38 (2011) 209–236.
- [2] M. Bestvina, Local homology properties of boundaries of groups, Mich. Math. J. 43 (1996) 123–139.
- [3] M. Bestvina, G. Mess, The boundary of negatively curved groups, J. Am. Math. Soc. 4 (1991) 469–481.
- [4] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, A. Mitra, Svarc–Milnor: a proof by definition, Topol. Proc. 31 (2007) 31–36.

- [5] S. Buyalo, N. Lebedeva, Dimensions of locally and asymptotically self-similar spaces, Algebra Anal. 19 (1) (2007) 60-92.
- [6] N. Chinen, T. Hosaka, Asymptotic dimension and boundary dimension of proper CAT(0) spaces, Tsukuba J. Math. 36 (2) (2012) 185–191.
- [7] F. Dahmani, Classifying spaces and boundaries for relatively hyperbolic groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 86 (3) (2003) 666–684.
- [8] A. Dranishnikov, On Bestvina–Mess formula, Contemp. Math. 394 (1) (2006) 77–85.
- [9] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, in: G. Niblo, M. Roller (Eds.), Geometric Group Theory, vol. 2, Sussex, 1991, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 182, Cambridge University Press, 1993, pp. 1–295.
- [10] C. Guilbault, M. Moran, C. Tirel, Boundaries for Baumslag–Solitar groups (2015), in preparation.
- [11] C. Guilbault, C. Tirel, On the dimension of Z-sets, Topol. Appl. 160 (13) (2013) 1849–1852.
- [12] W. Hurewicz, Sur la dimension des produits cartesiens, Ann. Math. 36 (1) (1935) 194–197.
- [13] A. Martin, Non-positively curved complexes of groups and boundaries, Geom. Topol. 18 (1) (2014) 31–102.
- [14] M. Moran, Finite-dimensionality of Z-boundaries, Groups Geom. Dyn. (2014) 1–6, in press, arXiv:1406.7451.
- [15] D. Osajda, P. Przytycki, Boundaries of systolic groups, Geom. Topol. 13 (5) (2009) 2807–2880.
- [16] J. Roe, Lectures on Coarse Geometry, University Lecture Series, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [17] C. Tirel, Z-structures on product groups, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11 (5) (2011) 2587–2625.