

AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF M. BROWN'S THEOREM  
ON INVERSE SEQUENCES OF NEAR HOMEOMORPHISMS

by Fredric D. Ancel

**Abstract.** Theorem 4 of [B] is an interesting and useful result about inverse sequences of near homeomorphisms. We present a short alternative proof of this theorem. We thank Bob Daverman for a suggestion which has led to a slicker exposition.

Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be compact metric spaces. Let  $\mathfrak{M}(X, Y)$  denote the space of maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  endowed with the compact-open topology. A complete metric  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}(X, Y)$  is defined by  $\tilde{\sigma}(f, g) = \sup\{\sigma(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\}$ . A map from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a *near homeomorphism* if it belongs to the closure of the set of homeomorphisms in  $\mathfrak{M}(X, Y)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $\epsilon$ -map if  $\rho\text{-diam}(f^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}_\epsilon(X, Y)$  denote the set of all  $\epsilon$ -maps in  $\mathfrak{M}(X, Y)$ . We shall use the following two basic facts.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be compact metric spaces. Then composition  $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f : \mathfrak{M}(X, Y) \times \mathfrak{M}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X, Z)$  is continuous.*

This is easily proved using the uniform continuity of maps from  $Y$  to  $Z$ . One immediate consequence is that the composition of near homeomorphisms is a near homeomorphism.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be compact metric spaces. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_\epsilon(X, Y)$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{M}(X, Y)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_\epsilon(X, Y)$ . Set  $\delta = (1/2) \inf\{\sigma(f(x), f(z)) : x, z \in X \text{ and } \rho(x, z) \geq \epsilon\}$ . Then  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $g \in \mathfrak{M}(X, Y)$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}(f, g) < \delta$ . We assert that  $g \in \mathfrak{M}_\epsilon(X, Y)$ . If  $x, z \in X$  and  $g(x) = g(z)$ , then  $\sigma(f(x), f(z)) \leq \sigma(f(x), g(x)) + \sigma(g(z), f(z)) < 2\delta$ . This makes  $\rho(x, z) < \epsilon$ , and proves our assertion.  $\square$

**A Theorem of M. Brown** ([B], Theorem 4). *Suppose  $X_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} X_2 \xleftarrow{f_2} \dots$  is an inverse sequence of compact metric spaces and near homeomorphisms. If  $X_\infty$  is its inverse limit, then each  $f_{\infty, k} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_k$  is a near homeomorphism.*

**Proof.** Recall that  $X_\infty = \{x \in \prod X_k : f_k(x_{k+1}) = x_k \text{ for each } k \geq 1\}$ , and that each  $f_{\infty, k} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_k$  is simply projection:  $f_{\infty, k}(x) = x_k$  for  $x \in X_\infty$ . Let  $\rho_k$  be a metric on  $X_k$  such that  $\rho_k\text{-diam}(X_k) < 1/k$ . Then a metric  $\rho_\infty$  on  $X_\infty$  is defined by  $\rho_\infty(x, z) = \sup\{\rho_k(x_k, z_k) : k \geq 1\}$  for

---

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere. Partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

$x, z \in X_\infty$ . It follows that each  $f_{\infty, k} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$  is a  $(1/k)$ -map. Indeed, if  $x, z \in X_\infty$  and  $f_{\infty, k}(x) = f_{\infty, k}(z)$ , then  $x_j = z_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ; so  $\rho_\infty(x, z) = \sup\{\rho_j(x_j, z_j) : j > k\} < 1/k$ .

It suffices to prove that  $f_{\infty, 1} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_1$  is a near homeomorphism. To this end, let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the closure in  $\mathcal{M}(X_\infty, X_1)$  of the set of all maps of the form  $h \circ f_{\infty, k}$  where  $k \geq 1$  and  $h : X_k \rightarrow X_1$  is a homeomorphism. Then  $f_{\infty, 1} \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\tilde{\rho}_1$  restricts to a complete metric on  $\mathcal{F}$ . We shall complete the proof by arguing that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a dense subset consisting of homeomorphisms.

We remark that a map between compact metric spaces is onto, if it is a limit of onto maps. Hence, each of the near homeomorphisms  $f_k : X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$  is onto. It follows that each  $f_{\infty, k} : X_\infty \rightarrow X_k$  is onto. Consequently, each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is onto.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(X, Y)$ . Lemma 2 implies that  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We shall argue that  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$  is a dense subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . It suffices to show that if  $k \geq 1$  and  $h : X_k \rightarrow X_1$  is a homeomorphism then the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $h \circ f_{\infty, k}$  contains an element of  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$  for every  $\delta > 0$ . Choose  $j > k$  so that  $1/j \leq \varepsilon$ . Notice that  $h \circ f_{\infty, k} = h \circ f_k \circ \cdots \circ f_{j-1} \circ f_{\infty, j}$ . We apply Lemma 1 twice: first to conclude that  $f_k \circ \cdots \circ f_{j-1}$  is a near homeomorphism; and second to see that we can choose a homeomorphism  $g : X_j \rightarrow X_k$  so close to  $f_k \circ \cdots \circ f_{j-1}$  that  $\tilde{\rho}_1(h \circ f_{\infty, k}, h \circ g \circ f_{\infty, j}) < \delta$ . Since  $f_{\infty, j}$  is a  $(1/j)$ -map, then  $h \circ g \circ f_{\infty, j} \in \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$ .

The Baire Category Theorem implies that  $\mathcal{M} = \bigcap\{\mathcal{F}(1/k) : k \geq 1\}$  is a dense subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since each element of  $\mathcal{M}$  is one-to-one, and each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is onto, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a dense set of homeomorphisms in  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

## Reference

- [B]. M. Brown, Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11 (1960), 478-481.

From: Geometric Topology and Shape Theory (Proceedings of a Conference held in Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia, Sept. 29 - Oct. 10, 1986) S. Mardesic and J. Segal, eds., Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1283, Springer-Verlag, 1987.