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## 1. Introduction

A recurring theme in geometric topology is the importance of the 1-ULC property. For example, if $\Sigma$ is an $(n-1)$-sphere topologically embedded in $S^{n}(n \neq 4)$, then $\Sigma$ is flat if and only if $S^{n}-\Sigma$ is 1 -ULC. (See [2], [8], and [5].) If $U$ is a component of $S^{n}-\Sigma$, it is natural to ask: For which sets $T \subset \Sigma$ is it true that $U \cup T$ is $1-$ ULC? (Of course, $T=\Sigma$ always works.) For $n=3$, R. H. Bing has shown [3] that for some 0-dimensional $T \subset \Sigma, U \cup T$ is 1-ULC. For $n \geq 5$, Robert J. Daverman has found [6] a 1-dimensional $T \subset \Sigma$ such that $U \cup T$ is $1-$ ULC. (It is suspected that the dimension of Daverman's set cannot, in general, be lowered but no example is yet at hand.)

In Theorem 1 we extend Daverman's result to cover the case $n=4$. Moreover, as constructed our 1-dimensional set $T$ is easily seen to have embedding dimension at most 1 relative to $\Sigma$ (" $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$ "), in the sense of [13] and [10]. We cannot hope to strengthen Daverman's high-dimensional result to obtain $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$, when $n \geq 5$. For in Theorem 2 we observe that when $n \geq 6$, if $T$ can be found with $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$, then $T$ can be chosen so that $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 0$. But in [7], Daverman constructs embeddings of $\Sigma$ in $S^{n}$, for all $n \geq 4$, for which $T$ can never be chosen to have $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 0$. In fact, in these examples $T$ must satisfy $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \geq n-3$.

We account for our inability to obtain $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$ when $n>4$ by remarking that for a $\sigma$-compactum $T$ in $\Sigma$, "dem $\Sigma T \leq 1$ " is a stronger statement when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma>3$ than when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=3$. For when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma>3, \operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$ implies $\Sigma-T$ is 1-ULC. No such implication holds when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=3$. We can appreciate the relative weakness of the statement " $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$ " when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=3$ in another way: James W. Cannon has observed that when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=3$, " $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$ " is equivalent to the existence of a 0 -dimensional subset $S$ of $T$ such that $(\Sigma-T) \cup S$ is 1-ULC. However when $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma>3$, any codimension $2 \sigma$-compactum $T$ in $\Sigma$ contains a 0 -dimensional subset $S$ for which $(\Sigma-T) \cup S$ is 1-ULC.

Examples are easily constructed in all dimensions $n \geq 3$ with the property that any subset $T$ of $\Sigma$ for which $U \cup T$ is 1-ULC must be dense in $\Sigma$. Thus the subset $T$ constructed by Daverman and the present authors is, in general, noncompact. In fact, Carl Pixley has noted that for $n \geq 5$, if $T$ is a compact

[^0]1-dimensional subset of $\Sigma$ for which $U \cup T$ is 1-ULC, then three is a (possibly noncompact) 0 -dimensional subset $T^{\prime}$ of $T$ for which $U \cup T^{\prime}$ is 1-ULC. A proof of Pixley's observation can be based on the last statement of the previous paragraph. The extension of this proof to the case $n=4$ seems to require the stronger hypothesis " $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$." This suggests that for $n=4$, " $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq$ 1 " is an important part of the conclusion of Theorem 1.

Throughout the paper, $\Sigma$ will denote an $(n-1)$-sphere topologically embedded in $S^{n}$. Let $U$ be a component of $S^{n}-\Sigma$, and put $C=\mathrm{Cl} U .(\mathrm{Cl}$ denotes closure.) We will denote an $n$-simplex by $\Delta^{n}$, with $\partial \Delta^{n+1}=S^{n}$. Suppose $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are metric spaces, $Y \subset Y^{\prime}$. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Then $Y$ is $k$-ULC in $Y^{\prime}$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that each mapping ( $=$ continuous function) of $\partial \Delta^{k+1}$ into a subset of $Y$ of diameter less than $\delta$ can be extended to a map of $\Delta^{k+1}$ into an $\varepsilon$-subset of $Y^{\prime}$. (Usually, $Y=U$, $Y^{\prime}=U \cup T$, and $k=0$ or 1.) Also we would say " $Y$ is $k$-ULC" rather than " $Y$ is $k$-ULC in $Y$ ".

Here is a summary of some basic facts.
Proposition 1. The notation is as above.
(a) $C$ is 0-ULC and 1-ULC. (In fact, $C$ is a compact absolute retract and hence is uniformly locally contractible.)
(b) $U$ is 0-ULC.
(c) If $f: \Delta^{2} \rightarrow C$ is a map, $P$ is a closed 1-dimensional subpolyhedron of $\Delta^{2}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, then there is a map $f^{\prime}: \Delta^{2} \rightarrow C$ such that $f^{\prime}(P) \subset U$ and $d\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$.
(d) If $T \subset \Sigma$, then $U \cup T$ is 0 -ULC.
(e) If $T \subset \Sigma$ and $U$ is 1-ULC in $U \cup T$, then $U \cup T$ is 1-ULC.
(f) If $T \subset \Sigma$ and $U \cup T$ is 1-ULC, then there is a $\sigma$-compact subset $T^{\prime}$ of $T$ such that $U \cup T^{\prime}$ is 1-ULC.

Reference [4] is a compendium of information on ULC properties. In particular, a proof of Proposition 1 can be extracted from the statements and proofs of Propositions 2A, 2B.1, 2C.2, 2C.2.1, 2C.3, and 2C.7(2) of [4].

Suppose $G$ is an open subset of a $P L$ manifold $Q$ and that there is a compact subpolyhedron $X$ of $Q$ of dimension at most $k$ such that $X \subset G$, there is a compact metric space $Y$, and there is a continuous proper surjection $p: Y \times(0,1] \rightarrow G$ such that $p^{-1}(X)=Y \times\{1\}, p \mid Y \times(0,1)$ is injective and

$$
\operatorname{diam} p(\{y\} \times(0,1])<\varepsilon \quad \text { for every } y \in Y
$$

In this situation, we say that $G$ is an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood of $X$ in $Q$ and that $X$ is a $k$-spine of $G$.

Suppose $X$ is a nonempty compact subset of the interior of a $P L$ manifold $Q$. For an integer $k \geq 0$, we say that the dimension of the embedding of $X$ in $Q$ is at most $k$ (abbreviated $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} X \leq k$ ), if for each $\varepsilon>0, X$ is contained in an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a $k$-spine in Int $Q$. We say that the dimension of the embedding of $X$ in $Q$ is $k$ (abbreviated $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} X=k$ ) if $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} X \leq k$ but not $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} X \leq k-1$. For a nonempty $\sigma$-compact subset $F$
of the interior of a $P L$ manifold $Q$, we define the dimension of the embedding of $F$ in $Q$ (abbreviated $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} F$ ) to be

$$
\max \left\{\operatorname{dem}_{Q} X: X \text { is a compact subset of } F\right\}
$$

We put $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} \emptyset=-\infty$.
Proposition 2. Suppose $F$ is a $\sigma$-compact subset of the interior of a $P L$ manifold $Q(\operatorname{dim} Q=q)$. Then:
(a) $\operatorname{dim} F \leq \operatorname{dem}_{Q} F$,
(b) Suppose $k \geq 0$ is an integer. Then $\operatorname{dem}_{Q} F \leq k$ if and only if for each closed subpolyhedron $P$ of $Q$ of dimension at most $q-k-1$, there is an ambient isotopy of $Q$ which pushes $P$ off $F$, is arbitrarily close to the identity on $Q$ and is fixed outside an arbitrarily tight neighborhood of $P \cap F$.
(c) Suppose $F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$ where each $X_{i}$ is compact for $i=1,2,3, \ldots$ Then

$$
\operatorname{dem}_{Q} F=\max \left\{\operatorname{dem}_{Q} X_{i}: i=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}
$$

Reference [10] is a comprehensive source about the dimension of an embedding. The proof of Proposition 2 follows from Propositions 1.1(1), 1.1(4), $1.2\left(2^{\prime}\right)$, and $2.2\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ of [10].

We end this section by establishing some notation and recalling a wellknown method of obtaining an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a $k$-spine in the interior of a $P L$ manifold. First suppose $K$ is a simplicial complex: then for $i=0,1,2, \ldots$, let $K^{i}=\{\alpha \in K$ : $\operatorname{dim} \alpha \leq i\}$, the $i$-skeleton of $K$. Let $|K|$ denote the union of all the simplices of $K$; and let $K^{\prime}$ stand for some first derived subdivision of $K$. Second, suppose $Q^{q}$ is a $P L q$-manifold, $\varepsilon>0$ and $k$ is one of the integers $0,1, \ldots, q-1$. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex of mesh less than $\varepsilon$ which triangulates $Q^{q}$. If $L$ is a subcomplex such that

$$
\partial Q^{q} \cup\left|K^{q-k-1}\right| \subset|L|
$$

and if we let

$$
L_{*}=\left\{\alpha \in K^{\prime}: \alpha \cap|L|=\emptyset\right\}
$$

(the subcomplex of $K^{\prime}$ which is dual to $L$ ), then $L_{*} \subset\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{k},\left|L_{*}\right| \cap \partial Q^{q}=\emptyset$, and $Q^{q}-|L|$ is an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a $k$-spine $\left|L_{*}\right|$ in Int $Q^{q}$.

## 2. Topologically planar subsets of 3-manifolds

Theorem 3 of [12] is the foundation of the results of this section. Before describing this theorem, we define a topological space to be topologically planar if it can be embedded in the Euclidean plane, $R^{2}$. Furthermore, let us observe that Proposition 2(b) implies that a compact subset $X$ of Euclidean 3 -space $R^{3}$ has the "strong arc pushing property" as defined in [12] if and only if $\operatorname{dem}_{R^{3}} X \leq 1$. Consequently, Theorem 3 of [12], when restricted to compacta, translates into the following proposition: If $X$ is a compact, topologically
planar subset of $R^{3}$ and $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 1$, then $\operatorname{dem}_{R^{3}} X \leq 1$. We extend this proposition slightly to a form which is more convenient for our purposes.

Proposition 3. If $X$ is a compact, topologically planar subset of the interior of a PL 3-manifold $Q^{3}$ and $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 1$, then $\operatorname{dem}_{Q^{3}} X \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}$ so that for each $i=1,2, \ldots, k, X_{i}$ is a compactum, $R_{i}$ is an open subset of Int $Q^{3}$ which is $P L$ homeomorphic to $R^{3}$, and $X_{i} \subset R_{i}$. Thus $\operatorname{dem}_{R_{i}} X_{i} \leq 1$; so since $R_{i} \subset Q^{3}$ implies dem $Q_{Q^{3}} X_{i} \leq \operatorname{dem}_{R_{i}} X_{i}$, we have $\operatorname{dem}_{Q^{3}} X_{i} \leq 1$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Now Proposition 2(c) implies $\operatorname{dem}_{Q^{3}} X \leq 1$.

We combine the next proposition with the preceding one to produce the corollary which is the goal of this section. Although this result is needed mainly for the case $Q^{3}=S^{3}$, the proof does not seem to simplify much in the special case.

Proposition 4. Suppose $Q^{3}$ is a compact PL 3-manifold with fixed metric. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is $a \delta>0$ such that if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$ are disjoint compact subpolyhedra of Int $Q^{3}$ each of dimension at most one and each of diameter less than $\delta$, then there is a connected open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $G$ with a 1 -spine in Int $Q^{3}$ such that $\bigcup X_{i} \subset G$ and each $X_{i}$ contracts to a point in a subset of $G$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon$.

The idea of the proof is to take a triangulation $T$ of $Q^{3}$ of small mesh, and to choose $\delta$ so small that each $X_{i}$ lies in the interior of a small PL 3-cell $C_{i}$ in $Q^{3}$. In each $C_{i}$ we judiciously form a "singular cone" over $X_{i}$ so that distinct singular cones intersect nicely. We then put $T^{1}$ in general position with respect to the collection of singular cones. Finally we pipe $\left|T^{1}\right|$ entirely off the collection of singular cones. In removing an intersection point of $\left|T^{1}\right|$ with one singular cone, it may be necessary to push other singular cones out of the way keeping their bases fixed. $G$ is chosen to be the complement of $\left|T^{1}\right| \cup \partial Q$. Then $G$ is an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood of an appropriate subcomplex of the 1 -skeleton of a first derived subdivision of $T$, and $G$ contains all the singular cones.

Proof. Let $T$ be a triangulation of $Q^{3}$ of mesh less than $\varepsilon / 3$. Let $\delta>0$ be so that any subset of Int $Q^{3}$ of diameter less than $\delta$ lies in the interior of a $P L$ 3-cell in $Q^{3}$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 3$.

Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$ are disjoint compact subpolyhedra of Int $Q^{3}$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, there is a $P L 3$-cell $C_{i}$ in $Q^{3}$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 3$ such that $X_{i} \subset \operatorname{Int} C_{i}$. If $v \in C_{i}$, let $v * X_{i}$ denote the set obtained by joining $v$ to the points of $X_{i}$ by straight line segments in the linear structure of $C_{i}$. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ we
can successively choose a point $v_{i} \in \operatorname{Int} C_{i}$ and a triangulation $K_{i}$ of $X_{i}$ which is linear in the linear structure of $C_{i}$ such that:
(i) If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are distinct 1 -simplices of $K_{i}$, then

$$
\left(v_{i} * \operatorname{Int} \alpha\right) \cap\left(v_{i} * \operatorname{Int} \beta\right)=\left\{v_{i}\right\} ;
$$

(ii) $\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \cap X_{j}$ is a finite set of points for $1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i$;
(iii) $\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \cap\left(v_{j} * X_{j}\right)$ is a subpolyhedron of $v_{i} * X_{i}$ of dimension at most 1 for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$.

General position techniques provide a small $P L$ homeomorphism $h_{1}$ of $Q^{3}$ such that:
(i) $h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left[X_{i} \cup\left(v_{i} *\left|K_{i}^{0}\right|\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \cap\left(v_{j} * X_{j}\right)\right]=\emptyset$;
(ii) $h_{1}\left(\left|T^{0}\right|\right) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)=\emptyset$;
(iii) $h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)$ is a finite set of points, at each of which $h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)$ pierces $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)$;
(iv) $h_{1}(T)=\left\{h_{1}(\alpha): \alpha \in T\right\}$ is a triangulation of $Q^{3}$ of mesh less than $\varepsilon / 3$;
(v) $h_{1}=$ identity on $\partial Q^{3}$.

For $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, let

$$
h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right) \cap\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)=\left\{p_{i 1}, \ldots, p_{i s(i)}\right\}
$$

Then for $1 \leq k \leq s(i)$, there is a 1 -simplex $\alpha_{i k} \in K_{i}$ such that

$$
p_{i k} \in \operatorname{Int}\left(v_{i} * \alpha_{i k}\right) .
$$

Now we can find a disjoint collection

$$
\left\{\lambda_{i k}: 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq s(i)\right\}
$$

of $P L$ arcs in $Q^{3}$ satisfying:
(i) Int $\lambda_{i k} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(v_{i} * \alpha_{i k}\right) ; p_{i k}$ is one endpoint of $\lambda_{i k}$; and the other endpoint lies in Int $\alpha_{i k}$,
(ii) $\lambda_{i k} \cap h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)=\left\{p_{i k}\right\}$;
(iii) $\lambda_{i k} \cap X_{j}=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i$;
(iv) $\lambda_{i k} \cap\left(v_{j} * X_{j}\right)$ is a finite set of points not containing $p_{i k}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$, $j \neq i$.

Each $\lambda_{i k}$ serves as the core of a pipe $P_{i k}$. Indeed, we can construct a disjoint collection

$$
\left\{P_{i k}: 1 \leq i \leq r ; 1 \leq k \leq s(i)\right\}
$$

of PL 3-cells in $Q^{3}$ such that:
(i) $\lambda_{i k} \subset \operatorname{Int} P_{i k}$;
(ii) $P_{i k} \subset \operatorname{Int} C_{i}$;
(iii) $P_{i k} \cap\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \subset\left(v_{i} * \alpha_{i k}\right)-\left(v_{i} * \partial \alpha_{i k}\right)$;
(iv) $P_{i k} \cap X_{j}=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i$;
(v) there is a $P L$ homeomorphism of quintuples from

$$
\left(P_{i k}, \lambda_{i k}, P_{i k} \cap\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right), P_{i k} \cap \alpha_{i k}, P_{i k} \cap h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)\right)
$$

to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
([0,3] \times[-1,1] \times[-1,1],[1,2] \times\{0\} \times\{0\},[0,2] \times[-1,1] \times\{0\} \\
\{2\} \times[-1,1] \times\{0\},\{1\} \times\{0\} \times[-1,1])
\end{array}
$$

Consequently there is a $P L$ homeomorphism $g_{i k}$ of $P_{i k}$ such that
(vi) $g_{i k}\left(P_{i k} \cap h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right) \cap\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)=\emptyset\right.$ and
(vii) $g_{i k}=$ identity on $\partial P_{i k}$.

Define the $P L$ homeomorphism $h_{2}$ of $Q^{3}$ by

$$
h_{2}= \begin{cases}g_{i k} & \text { on } P_{i k} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq s(i) \\ \text { identity } & \text { on } Q^{3}-\bigcup\left\{\operatorname{Int} P_{i k}: 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq s(i)\right\}\end{cases}
$$

For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, define the $P L$ homeomorphism $g_{i}$ of $Q^{3}$ by

$$
g_{i}= \begin{cases}g_{j k} & \text { on } P_{j k} \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i, 1 \leq k \leq s(j) \\ \text { identity } & \text { on } Q^{3}-\bigcup\left\{\operatorname{Int} P_{j k}: 1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i, 1 \leq k \leq s(j)\right\}\end{cases}
$$

Then:
(i) $h_{2}$ and each $g_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are $P L \varepsilon / 3$-homeomorphisms of $Q^{3}$ which are the identity on $\partial Q^{3}$. Hence $h_{2} h_{1}(T)=\left\{h_{2} h_{1}(\alpha): \alpha \in T\right\}$ is a triangulation of $Q^{3}$ of mesh less than $\varepsilon$.
(ii) For $1 \leq i \leq r, g_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}$; thus $X_{i}$ contracts to a point in $g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{diam} g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)<\varepsilon$.
(iii) For $1 \leq i \leq r, g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \cap\left(\partial Q^{3} \cup h_{2} h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)\right)=\emptyset$.

Observe that we need to shift $v_{i} * X_{i}$ to $g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)$. For if $j \neq i$ and $1 \leq k \leq$ $s(j)$, then even though $h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)$ misses $v_{i} * X_{i}$ inside $P_{j k}$, nevertheless $h_{2} \mid P_{j k}$ may push $h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)$ onto $v_{i} * X_{i}$. However $h_{2} h_{1}\left(\left|T^{1}\right|\right)$ misses $g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)$ inside $P_{j k}$ because $h_{2}\left|P_{j k}=g_{i}\right| P_{j k}$.

Let $T^{*}=\left\{\alpha \in T\right.$ : either $\operatorname{dim} \alpha \leq 1$ or $\left.\alpha \subset \partial Q^{3}\right\}$. Then

$$
g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \cap h_{2} h_{1}\left(\left|T^{*}\right|\right)=\emptyset \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq r
$$

If $T^{\prime}$ is a first derived subdivision of $T$ and

$$
T_{*}=\left\{\alpha \in T^{\prime}: \alpha \cap\left|T^{*}\right|=\emptyset\right\}
$$

-the subcomplex of $T^{\prime}$ which is dual to $T^{*}$-then $G=Q^{3}-h_{2} h_{1}\left(\left|T^{*}\right|\right)$ is an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a 1 -spine $h_{2} h_{1}\left(\left|T_{*}\right|\right)$ in Int $Q^{3}$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq r, X_{i} \subset g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right) \subset G, X_{i}$ contracts to a point in $g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{diam} g_{i}\left(v_{i} * X_{i}\right)<\varepsilon$.

Finally we combine the previous two propositions to produce the main result of this section.


Piping along $\lambda_{i k}$

Corollary 5. Suppose $Q^{3}$ is a compact PL 3-manifold with fixed metric. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is $a \delta>0$ such that if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$ are disjoint compact topologically planar subsets of $\operatorname{Int} Q^{3}$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$, then there is an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $G$ with a 1 -spine in Int $Q^{3}$ such that $\bigcup X_{i} \subset G$ and $X_{i}$ contracts to a point in a subset of $G$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon$.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$, Proposition 4 supplies a $0<\delta<\varepsilon / 4$ such that if $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ are disjoint compact subpolyhedra of Int $Q^{3}$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$, then there is an open $\varepsilon / 4$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $H$ with a 1 -spine in Int $Q^{3}$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, s$, $Y_{i} \subset H$ and $Y_{i}$ contracts to a point in a subset of $H$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 4$. Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$ are disjoint compact topologically planar subsets of Int $Q^{3}$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$. Then there are compact $P L$ 3-manifolds $Q_{1}^{3}, Q_{2}^{3}, \ldots, Q_{r}^{3}$ embedded disjointly as $P L$ submanifolds of $Q^{3}$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, r, X_{i} \subset \operatorname{Int} Q_{i}^{3}$ and diam $Q_{i}^{3}<\delta$. Proposition 3 implies $\operatorname{dem}_{Q_{i}{ }^{3}} X_{i} \leq 1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Thus for each $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, r, X_{i}$ is contained in an open $\delta$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $G_{i}$ with a 1 -spine $Y_{i}$ in Int $Q_{i}^{3}$. Then $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ are disjoint compact subpolyhedra of Int $Q^{3}$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$. It follows that there is an open $\varepsilon / 4$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $H$ with a 1-spine $Z$ in Int $Q^{3}$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, r, Y_{i} \subset H$ and $Y_{i}$ contracts to a point in a subset $\widetilde{Y}_{i}$ of $H$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 4$.

For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, if $T_{i}$ denotes the track of the homotopy pulling $X_{i}$ down the fibers of $G_{i}$ into $Y_{i}$, then there is a fiber-preserving homeomorphism $g_{i}$ of $G_{i}$ which is fixed on $Y_{i}$ and outside a compact neighborhood of $Y_{i}$ in $G_{i}$ such that $T_{i} \subset g_{i}\left(G_{i} \cap H\right)$. Define the homeomorphism $g$ of $Q^{3}$ by

$$
g= \begin{cases}g_{i} & \text { on } G_{i} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, r \\ \text { identity } & \text { on } Q^{3}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} G_{i}\end{cases}
$$

Then $g$ is an $\varepsilon / 4$-homeomorphism of $Q^{3}$ which fixes

$$
Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \cup \cdots \cup Y_{r} \cup \partial Q^{3}
$$

Thus $g(H)$ is an open $3 \varepsilon / 4$-mapping cylinder neighborhood of $g(Z)$ in Int $Q^{3}$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, r, X_{i} \subset T_{i} \cup g\left(\tilde{Y}_{i}\right), X_{i}$ contracts to a point in $T_{i} \cup g\left(\tilde{Y}_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(T_{i} \cup g\left(\tilde{Y}_{i}\right)\right)<\varepsilon
$$

Unfortunately, $g(Z)$ may not be a subpolyhedron of $Q^{3}$. We remedy this by invoking Theorem 3 of [1] to obtain a $P L$ homeomorphism $g^{\prime}: H \rightarrow g(H)$ such that $d\left(g \mid H, g^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon / 8$. Then $g^{\prime}(Z)$ is a subpolyhedron of $Q^{3}$. It follows that $g^{\prime}(H)$ is an open $\varepsilon$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a 1 -spine $g^{\prime}(Z)$ in Int $Q^{3}$, and for each $i=1,2, \ldots, r, X_{i} \subset g^{\prime}(H)$ and $X_{i}$ contracts to a point in the subset $T_{i} \cup g\left(\tilde{Y}_{i}\right)$ of $g^{\prime}(H)$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon$.

## 3. Proof of theorem 1

We return to the situation and notation of the introduction, with $n=4$. Our goal is to establish:

Theorem 1. Let $\Sigma$ be a 3-sphere topologically embedded in $S^{4}$. Let $U$ be a complementary domain of $\Sigma$, with closure $C$. Then there is a $\sigma$-compact $T \subset \Sigma$ such that $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$ and $U \cup T$ is $1-$ ULC.

Let $\mathscr{C}$ denote the separable metric space of all maps

$$
f:\left(\Delta^{2}, \partial \Delta^{2}\right) \rightarrow(C, U)
$$

with the supremum metric. Let

$$
\mathscr{D}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{C}: \operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma}\left(f\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma\right) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

The following lemma implies that $\mathscr{D}$ is a dense subset of $\mathscr{C}$. So we may select a countable subset $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ of $\mathscr{D}$ which is dense in $\mathscr{C}$. Let $T=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{i}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma\right)$. Then $U$ is 1-ULC in $U \cup T$. Hence Proposition 1(e) implies $U \cup T$ is 1-ULC, while Proposition 2(c) implies $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete modulo a few lemmas.

The Density Lemma. $\mathscr{D}$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $\mathscr{C}$.
If for $i=1,2,3, \ldots, \mathscr{U}_{i}$ denotes the set of all $f \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $f\left(\Delta^{\dot{2}}\right) \cap \Sigma$ lies in an open $1 / i$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a 1 -spine in $\Sigma$, then $\mathscr{U}_{i}$ is an open subset of $\mathscr{C}$, and $\mathscr{D}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{U}_{i}$.

Let $f$ be a map in $\mathscr{C}$ and let $\varepsilon>0$. We must construct a map $f^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}$ such that $d\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma}\left(f^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma\right) \leq 1$. To obtain $f^{\prime}$, we construct three sequences: $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$, where each $f_{i}$ is a map in $\mathscr{C} ; \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots$, where each $\varepsilon_{i}>0$; and $G_{0}, G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots$, where each $G_{i}(i \neq 0)$ is an open $\varepsilon_{i}$-mapping cylinder neighborhood with a 1 -spine in $\Sigma$. The sequences $\left\{f_{i}\right\},\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$, and $\left\{G_{i}\right\}$ satisfy
(i) $f_{0}=f$;
(ii) $G_{0}=\Sigma$;
(iii) $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon$;
and for $i=1,2,3, \ldots$
(iv) $\varepsilon_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\varepsilon_{i-1}, d\left(f_{i-1}\left(\Delta^{2}\right), \Sigma-G_{i-1}\right)\right\}$;
(v) $d\left(f_{i-1}, f_{i}\right)<\varepsilon_{i}$; and
(vi) $f_{i}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma \subset G_{i}$.

Once we have these sequences, (i) through (vi) imply that there is a map $f^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}$ defined by $f^{\prime}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}$ such that $d\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma \subset G_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3, \ldots$ Thus, $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma}\left(f^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma\right) \leq 1$.

Clearly the following lemma is exactly the tool needed to perform the construction of the sequences $\left\{G_{i}\right\},\left\{f_{i}\right\}$, and $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$ inductively.

The Approximation Lemma. If $f$ is a map in $\mathscr{C}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, then there is a map $f^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}$ and an open e-mapping cylinder neighborhood $G$ with a 1 -spine in $\Sigma$ such that $d\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma \subset G$.

Proof. Invoke Corollary 5 to obtain a $\delta>0$ so that if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}$ are disjoint, compact topologically planar subsets of $\Sigma$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$, then there is an open $\varepsilon / 3$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $G$ with a 1 -spine in $\Sigma$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, r, X_{i} \subset G$ and $X_{i}$ contracts to a point in a subset of $G$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 3$.

There is a complex $K$ triangulating $\Delta^{2}$ and there is a general position map

$$
g:\left(\Delta^{2}, \partial \Delta^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{4}, U\right)
$$

such that:
(i) $d(f, g)<\varepsilon / 3$;
(ii) if $A \in K$, then $\operatorname{diam} g(A)<\min \{\delta, \varepsilon / 3\}$;
(iii) $g\left(\left|K^{1}\right|\right) \subset U$; and
(iv) $S=\left\{x \in g\left(\Delta^{2}\right): g^{-1}(x)\right.$ is not a singleton $\}$ is a finite set of points and $\Sigma \cap S=\emptyset$.
$K$ and $g$ are obtained via a sequence of small modifications of $f$. First choose $K$ to be a triangulation of $\Delta^{2}$ of mesh so fine that $\operatorname{diam} f(A)<\min \{\delta, \varepsilon / 3\}$ for each $A \in K$. Then use Proposition $1(\mathrm{c})$ to pull $f\left(\left|K^{1}\right|\right)$ slightly into $U$. Take a close general position approximation (into $S^{4}$ ) to the resulting map, and push its singularities (which are a finite number of points) off $\Sigma$ by a very small homeomorphism of $S^{4}$. It is understood that each successive modification of the map must be small enough to preserve the progress made in previous modifications.

Let $X=g^{-1}\left(S^{4}-U\right)$. Then $X \subset \Delta^{2}-\left|K^{1}\right|, \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Bd} X \leq 1$, and $g$ embeds $\operatorname{Bd} X$ in $\Sigma$. Hence

$$
\{g(A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X): A \in K \text { and } A \cap X \neq \emptyset\}
$$

is a finite disjoint collection of (nonempty) compact topologically planar subsets of $\Sigma$ each of dimension at most 1 and each of diameter less than $\delta$. So there is an open $\varepsilon / 3$-mapping cylinder neighborhood $G$ with a 1 -spine in $\Sigma$ such that if $A \in K$ and $A \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then $g(A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X) \subset G$ and $g(A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X)$ contracts to a point in a subset of $G$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 3$. It follows that for each $A \in K$ with $A \cap X \neq \emptyset$, there is an open subset $H_{A}$ of $G$ of diameter less than $\varepsilon / 3$ and there is a map

$$
\phi_{A}:\{(A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X) \times[0,1]\} \cup\{(X \cap A) \times\{1\}\} \rightarrow H_{A}
$$

such that

$$
\phi_{A}(x, 0)=g(x) \quad \text { for each } x \in A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X
$$

and $\phi_{A}((X \cap A) \times\{1\})$ is a singleton. Since $H_{A}$ is an ANR, Borsuk's homotopy extension theorem provides a map

$$
\psi_{A}:(X \cap A) \times[0,1] \rightarrow H_{A}
$$

such that

$$
\psi_{A} \mid\{(A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X) \times[0,1]\} \cup\{(X \cap A) \times\{1\}\}=\phi_{A} .
$$

Define $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}$ by

$$
f^{\prime}(x)= \begin{cases}\psi_{A}(x, 0) & \text { if } A \in K \text { and } x \in A \cap X \\ g(x) & \text { if } x \in \Delta^{2}-\operatorname{Int} X\end{cases}
$$

If $A \in K$ and $A \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then

$$
\operatorname{diam} g(X \cap A)<\varepsilon / 3, \quad \operatorname{diam} \psi_{A}((X \cap A) \times\{0\})<\varepsilon / 3
$$

and

$$
g(A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X)=\psi_{A}((A \cap \operatorname{Bd} X) \times\{0\})
$$

therefore $d\left(g, f^{\prime}\right)<2 \varepsilon / 3$. Consequently $d\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$. Since

$$
f^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{2}-X\right)=g\left(\Delta^{2}-X\right) \subset U
$$

then $f^{\prime}\left(\Delta^{2}\right) \cap \Sigma \subset f^{\prime}(X) \subset G$. Theorem 1 is proven.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Again we return to the scene of the introduction. We assume that $T$ is a subset of $\Sigma$ such that $U \cup T$ is 1-ULC. We must show:

Theorem 2. If $n \geq 6$ and $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$, then there is a $\sigma$-compactum $T^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma$ with $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T^{\prime} \leq 0$ for which $U \cup T^{\prime}$ is 1-ULC.

Proof. By Proposition 1(f) we can sssume that $T$ is $\sigma$-compact. Theorem 3 of [9] shows that it suffices to exhibit a triangulation $Q$ of $\Sigma$ of arbitrarily small mesh for which $U$ is $1-U L C$ in $U \cup\left(\Sigma-\left|Q^{2}\right|\right)$. Moreover, this triangulation need not be $P L$ in some given $P L$ structure on $\Sigma$. Since $U$ is $1-$ ULC in $U \cup T$, it suffices to find triangulations of $\Sigma$ of arbitrarily small mesh whose 2-skeleta miss $T$. To this end, let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $Q$ be a triangulation of $\Sigma$ of mesh less than $\varepsilon / 3$. Since $n \geq 6$ and $\operatorname{dem}_{\Sigma} T \leq 1$, Proposition 2(b) supplies an $\varepsilon / 3-$ homeomorphism $h$ of $\Sigma$ such that $T \cap h\left(\left|Q^{2}\right|\right)=\emptyset$. Then $h(Q)=\{h(\alpha): \alpha \in Q\}$ is a triangulation of $\Sigma$ of mesh less than $\varepsilon$ whose 2 -skeleton misses $T$.

We remark that Theorems 1 and 2 can easily be generalized by replacing $\Sigma$ and $S^{n}$ by boundaryless connected $P L$ manifolds $M^{n-1}$ and $N^{n}$ of dimensions $n-1$ and $n$, respectively, where $M^{n-1}$ is topologically embedded as a closed subset of $N^{n}$ which separates $N^{n}$, by substituting "1-LC" for " 1 -ULC", and by making minor alterations in the proofs to accommodate the lack of compactness.
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